



July 16, 2008

Housing Voucher Data for South Dakota

• Of South Dakota's 5,844 authorized vouchers, only 87 percent were used by families last year.

• 406 fewer families in South Dakota received assistance in 2007 than in 2004.

• This year, housing agencies in South Dakota have sufficient funds, including reserves, to assist 659 additional families, thereby using up to 98 percent of their authorized vouchers. To encourage agencies to make efficient use of these resources, agencies must be reassured that voucher renewal funding policy will be both stable and take into account the additional vouchers used by these families. Congress should enact the Section 8 Voucher Reform Act (H.R. 1851 and S. 2684) to provide confidence that renewal funding needs will be met in future years, thereby encouraging agencies to put as many of their vouchers to use as possible.

		2004	2005	2006	20	07	2008				
Agency Name	Code	% of Author- ized Vouchers in Use	% of Author- ized Vouchers in Use	% of Author- ized Vouchers in Use	% of Author- ized Vouchers in Use	Number of Vouchers in Use	Author- ized Vouchers as of January 2008	Author- ized Vouchers Funded under Renewal Formula	Additional Author- ized Vouchers That Could be Funded Using Remaining Reserves	% of Author- ized Vouchers Funded (Counting Reserves)	
South Dakota Total	Couc	97%	92%	92%	87%	5,063	5,844	5,119	603	98%	
Aberdeen	SD034	100%	96%	95%	88%	431	492	421	71	100%	
Brookings	SD056	98%	92%	85%	78%	229	307	260	47	100%	
Butte Co.	SD059	100%	100%	100%	95%	19	20	20	0	100%	
Canton	SD039	102%	97%	100%	97%	59	61	61	0	100%	
Huron	SD036	93%	92%	94%	97%	221	228	212	16	100%	
Lawrence Co.	SD048	98%	99%	86%	90%	236	262	224	24	95%	
Lennow	SD010	93%	93%	93%	96%	26	27	27	0	100%	
Maddison	SD011	98%	95%	81%	81%	90	111	89	16	95%	
Meade Co.	SD047	96%	97%	99%	99%	204	207	198	9	100%	
Milbank	SD037	100%	100%	95%	98%	63	64	62	2	100%	
Miller	SD038	81%	81%	56%	63%	10	16	11	5	100%	
Mitchell	SD014	94%	91%	83%	86%	96	112	99	13	100%	
Mobridge	SD057	97%	85%	93%	88%	114	129	118	11	100%	

Center on Budget and Policy Priorities

		2004	2005	2006	20	07	2008				
							Author-	Author- ized	Additional Author- ized Vouchers	% of Author-	
		% of	% of	% of	% of		ized	Vouchers	That Could	ized	
		Author-	Author-	Author-	Author-	Number		Funded	be Funded	Vouchers	
		ized	ized	ized	ized	of	as of	under	Using	Funded	
		Vouchers	Vouchers	Vouchers	Vouchers	Vouchers	January	Renewal	Remaining	` 0	
Agency Name	Code	in Use	2008	Formula	Reserves	Reserves)					
Pennington Co.	SD045	100%	98%	100%	98%	1,206	1,234	1,207	27	100%	
Pierre	SD035	99%	93%	87%	79%	160	203	175	28	100%	
Redfield	SD026	93%	97%	87%	85%	57	67	49	13	93%	
Sioux Falls	SD016	98%	89%	97%	85%	1,336	1,571	1,389	182	100%	
Vermillion	SD055	80%	70%	59%	59%	152	258	153	25	69%	
Watertown	SD043	89%	86%	74%	70%	210	315	207	91	95%	
Wessington Springs	SD021	100%	94%	94%	76%	13	17	12	5	100%	
Yankton	SD058	93%	92%	94%	92%	131	143	125	18	100%	

Note: Authorized voucher figures for each agency are based on data from HUD's Resident Characteristics Report. The percentage of authorized vouchers in use in each year was determined by analysis of voucher leasing data reported by housing agencies to HUD's Voucher Management System (VMS). The number of vouchers funded in 2008 under the renewal formula was calculated using actual renewal funding awards and an estimate of the additional funds assumed to be available from excess reserves (the "offset" amount); these estimates do not take into account amounts received under the \$50 million in supplemental adjustment funds available under the law. The number of additional authorized vouchers that could be funded using remaining reserves is based on estimates of each agency's fund balance at the end of 2007, excluding the 2008 offset, and per-voucher costs for 2008.