



July 16, 2008

Housing Voucher Data for Ohio

- Of Ohio's 89,624 authorized vouchers, only 95 percent were used by families last year.
- This was an improvement over 2005 and 2006, when the voucher program was underfunded and changes in funding policy undermined the effectiveness of the program. Nevertheless, a lower percentage of Ohio's vouchers were in use in 2007 than 2004.
- This year, housing agencies in Ohio have sufficient funds, including reserves, to assist 3,824 additional families, thereby using up to 99 percent of their authorized vouchers. To encourage agencies to make efficient use of these resources, agencies must be reassured that voucher renewal funding policy will be both stable and take into account the additional vouchers used by these families. Congress should enact the Section 8 Voucher Reform Act (H.R. 1851 and S. 2684) to provide confidence that renewal funding needs will be met in future years, thereby encouraging agencies to put as many of their vouchers to use as possible.

		2004	2005	2006	20	07	2008				
									Additional Author-	0/ 0	
							Author-	Author- ized	ized Vouchers	% of Author-	
		% of	% of	% of	% of		ized	Vouchers			
		Author-	Author-	Author-	Author-	Number		Funded	be Funded	Vouchers	
		ized	ized	ized	ized	of	as of	under	Using	Funded	
		Vouchers	Vouchers	Vouchers	Vouchers	Vouchers	January	Renewal	Remaining	(Counting	
Agency Name	Code	in Use	2008	Formula	Reserves	Reserves)					
Ohio Total		96%	94%	92%	95%	85,144	89,624	87,208	1,760	99%	
Adams	OH046	89%	92%	79%	89%	256	288	235	20	89%	
Akron	OH007	95%	94%	94%	95%	4,279	4,556	4,556	0	100%	
Allen	OH044	95%	96%	101%	101%	1,052	1,041	1,041	0	100%	
Ashtabula	OH029	90%	95%	93%	102%	484	474	469	5	100%	
Athens	OH041	97%	99%	100%	101%	704	698	698	0	100%	
Belmont	OH020	97%	97%	100%	98%	270	275	272	3	100%	
Bowling Green	OH085	99%	100%	97%	92%	110	119	106	13	100%	
Brown	OH081	95%	97%	100%	89%	34	38	38	0	100%	
Butler	OH015	100%	96%	97%	102%	1,012	996	977	19	100%	
Cambridge	OH033	98%	99%	98%	100%	696	695	689	6	100%	

		2004	2005	2006	20	07	2008			
		% of Author- ized	% of Author- ized	% of Author- ized	% of Author- ized	Number of	Author- ized Vouchers as of	Author- ized Vouchers Funded under	Additional Author- ized Vouchers That Could be Funded Using	% of Author- ized Vouchers Funded
				Vouchers				Renewal	Remaining	(Counting
Agency Name	Code	in Use	in Use	in Use	in Use	in Use	2008	Formula	Reserves	Reserves)
Chillicothe	OH024	89%	91%	89%	100%	456	455	455	0	100%
Cincinnati	OH004	97%	97%	90%	92%	9,789	10,598	10,598	0	100%
Clermont	OH038		95%	97%	99%	882	891	891	0	100%
Clinton Columbiana	OH053 OH026	93% 97%	91% 94%	91% 90%	100% 81%	293 457	293 562	287	6	100%
Columbiana	OH026 OH001	97%	94%	90%	101%	10,910		476 10,765	86	100% 100%
Coshocton	OH037	92%	88%	91/6	91%	231	253	232	21	100%
Crawford	OH037		96%	107%	100%	324	324	312	12	100%
Cuyahoga	OH003	99%	98%	95%	97%	13,576		14,038	0	100%
Darke Co.	OH045		95%	99%	99%	295	299	285	14	100%
Dayton	OH005	93%	91%	92%	92%	3,301	3,652	3,652	0	100%
Delaware	OH079		96%	98%	100%	439	441	441	0	100%
Erie	OH028	94%	95%	97%	97%	1,001	1,047	1,047	0	100%
Fairfield	OH070	100%	100%	100%	100%	899	899	899	0	100%
Fayette	OH056	97%	97%	98%	97%	239	247	247	0	100%
Gallia	OH047	94%	85%	79%	80%	148	184	155	29	100%
Geauga	OH042	98%	98%	98%	95%	163	171	158	13	100%
Greene	OH022	94%	94%	96%	94%	1,301	1,390	1,390	0	100%
Hancock	OH082	86%	81%	55%	72%	575	797	469	105	72%
Harrison	OH067	96%	96%	94%	95%	253	265	265	0	100%
Henry	OH071	95%	99%	97%	100%	227	227	212	15	100%
Highland	OH086	103%	96%	98%	96%	96	100	98	2	100%
Hocking	OH032	93%	85%	88%	83%	253	306	261	32	96%
Huron	OH030	92%	87%	93%	94%	539	572	505	49	97%
Ironton	OH019			89%		72	84	76	8	100%
Jackson Co.	OH040		97%	99%	96%	200	208	208	0	100%
Jefferson	OH014		94%	91%	96%	783	818	798		100%
Knox	OH050		98%	100%	100%	651	652	652	0	100%
Lake	OH025		91%	91%	98%	1,328		1,358		100%
Licking	OH043		99%	94%	98%	1,001	1,030	996		100%
Logan Co.	OH072		100%	97%	94%	279		267	17	96%
Lorain	OH012		95%	99%	98%	2,671	2,719	2,719	0	100%
Lucas	OH006		93%	88%	96%	3,441	3,598	3,598		100%
Mansfield Marietta	OH016 OH077		91% 94%	85% 79%	92% 76%	1,577 349	1,710	1,547	48 106	93% 100%
Marion Marion	OH077 OH076		94%	90%	76%	492	462 624	356 467	73	87%
Medina	OH076		95%	90%	92%	492		515	15	100%
Meigs	OH027		89%	102%	92%	113		125	0	100%
Miami	OH062		94%	95%	88%	854		864	111	100%
Middletown	OH065		97%	91%	97%	1,613		1,662	0	100%
Monroe	OH058		97%	91%	91%	162	179	166	9	98%
Morgan	OH066		102%	99%	101%	142		140	-	100%
				100%	90%	92	102	90	11	99%
Morrow	OH083	99%	9/%	100 70	2070					
Morrow Noble	OH083 OH069		97% 88%				92	92	0	
	OH083 OH069 OH073	99%	88% 84%	95% 80%	95% 98%	87 730	92			
Noble	OH069	99% 98%	88%	95%	95%	87	92 742	92	0	100%

		2004	2005	2006	20	07		2008			
		% of Author- ized Vouchers	% of Author- ized Vouchers	% of Author- ized Vouchers	% of Author- ized Vouchers	Number of Vouchers	as of	Author- ized Vouchers Funded under Renewal	Additional Author- ized Vouchers That Could be Funded Using Remaining	% of Author- ized Vouchers Funded (Counting	
Agency Name	Code	in Use	in Use	in Use	in Use	in Use	2008	Formula	Reserves	Reserves)	
Pike	OH060	97%	94%	92%	92%	483	525	481	32	98%	
Portage *	OH031	100%	90%	91%	89%	1,440	1,617	1,617	0	100%	
Portsmouth	OH010	97%	87%	97%	99%	609	616	616	0	100%	
Preble Co.	OH080	92%	85%	73%	69%	36	52	36	6	81%	
Sandusky	OH054	76%	70%	60%	67%	224	334	207	53	78%	
Seneca	OH075	100%	89%	95%	90%	183	203	173	30	100%	
Shelby	OH061	99%	100%	103%	98%	215	219	195	22	99%	
Springfield	OH021	95%	85%	84%	80%	1,043	1,299	1,075	224	100%	
Stark	OH018	99%	98%	95%	100%	1,504	1,502	1,502	0	100%	
Trumbull	OH008	100%	89%	87%	101%	924	918	876	42	100%	
Tuscarawas	OH063	99%	94%	100%	92%	529	574	574	0	100%	
Vinton	OH078	99%	96%	97%	89%	175	196	175	21	100%	
Warren	OH049	100%	97%	100%	96%	428	448	448	0	100%	
Wayne	OH036	96%	98%	89%	98%	906	923	923	0	100%	
Williams	OH074	96%	100%	98%	94%	152	161	153	8	100%	
Youngstown	OH002	96%	85%	84%	86%	1,908	2,213	1,896	317	100%	
Zanesville	OH009	100%	100%	96%	100%	912	909	886	23	100%	

Note: Authorized voucher figures for each agency are based on data from HUD's Resident Characteristics Report. The percentage of authorized vouchers in use in each year was determined by analysis of voucher leasing data reported by housing agencies to HUD's Voucher Management System (VMS). The number of vouchers funded in 2008 under the renewal formula was calculated using actual renewal funding awards and an estimate of the additional funds assumed to be available from excess reserves (the "offset" amount); these estimates do not take into account amounts received under the \$50 million in supplemental adjustment funds available under the law. The number of additional authorized vouchers that could be funded using remaining reserves is based on estimates of each agency's fund balance at the end of 2007, excluding the 2008 offset, and per-voucher costs for 2008. Agencies marked with an asterisk are funded according to their agreements with HUD under the Moving to Work demonstration. Such agencies are permitted to shift voucher funds to support public housing.