



July 16, 2008

## **Housing Voucher Data for Louisiana**

- Of Louisiana's 42,107 authorized vouchers, only 58 percent were used by families last year. (Some additional families received disaster voucher assistance.)
- 10,787 fewer families in Louisiana received assistance in 2007 than in 2004.
- This year, housing agencies in Louisiana have sufficient funds, including reserves, to assist 8,151 additional families, thereby using up to 75 percent of their authorized vouchers. To encourage agencies to make efficient use of these resources, agencies must be reassured that voucher renewal funding policy will be both stable and take into account the additional vouchers used by these families. Congress should enact the Section 8 Voucher Reform Act (H.R. 1851 and S. 2684) to provide confidence that renewal funding needs will be met in future years, thereby encouraging agencies to put as many of their vouchers to use as possible.

		2004	2005	2006	20	07	2008			
Agency Name	Code	% of Auth- orized Vouchers in Use	% of Auth- orized Vouchers in Use	% of Auth- orized Vouchers in Use	% of Auth- orized Vouchers in Use	Number of Vouchers in Use	as of	Auth- orized Vouchers Funded under Renewal Formula	Additional Auth. Vouchers That Could be Funded Using Remaining Reserves	Vouchers Funded
Louisiana Total		92%	82%	56%	58%	23,388	42,107	29,671	1,868	75%
Alexandria	LA023	95%	78%	74%	70%	756	1,073	795	278	100%
Ascension Parish **	LA009	98%	97%	85%	82%	75	96	90	0	94%
Assumption Parish	LA215	84%	79%	76%	74%	80	108	84	15	92%
Avoyelles Parish	LA132	99%	97%	88%	84%	101	121	101	20	100%
Baton Rouge **	LA219	77%	82%	80%	90%	247	280	229	1	82%
Bienville Parish	LA241	99%	89%	91%	90%	73	81	72	9	100%
Bogalusa **	LA024	94%	84%	71%	64%	63	98	97	0	99%
Bossier Parish	LA190	98%	100%	101%	99%	635	647	596	51	100%
Caddo Parish	LA184	96%	91%	78%	73%	103	141	101	31	94%
Calcasieu	LA172	95%	94%	77%	72%	386	536	366	164	99%
Caldwell Parish	LA125	96%	90%	92%	94%	107	114	114	0	100%
Catahoula Parish	LA222	98%	98%	89%	76%	50	66	57	9	100%
Church Point **	LA032	99%	98%	98%	98%	86	88	88	0	100%
Claiborne Parish	LA246	99%	100%	96%	87%	73	84	77	7	100%

		2004	2005	2006	20	07	2008			
		% of Auth- orized	% of Auth- orized Vouchers	% of Auth- orized Vouchers	% of Auth- orized Vouchers	Number of Vouchers	as of	Auth- orized Vouchers Funded under Renewal	Additional Auth. Vouchers That Could be Funded Using Remaining	Vouchers Funded
Agency Name	Code	in Use	in Use	in Use	in Use	in Use	2008	Formula	Reserves	Reserves)
Colfax	LA122	90%	96%	88%	92%	46	50	39	2	82%
Concordia Parish	LA159	94%	92%	73%	71%	37	53	41	12	
Covington **	LA238	101%	89%	72%	62%	68	109	67	1	62%
Crowley **	LA029	94%	91%	88%	91%	334	368	343	2	94%
Delhi	LA114	90%	80%	65%	78%	31	40	30	9	98%
Denham Springs **	LA101	97%	93%	85%	74%	93	129	103	1	81%
DeRidder	LA086	97%	97%	100%	261%	47	35	30	1	89%
Desoto Parish	LA230	97%	92%	87%	89%	189	212	198	11	99%
Donaldsonville	LA202	95%	97%	88%	91%	87	97	85	0	88%
E. Baton Rouge Parish**	LA003	97%	97%	87%	76%	1,978	2,828	2,411	11	86%
East Carroll Parish	LA195	99%	100%	99%	99%	140	142	142	0	100%
Evangeline Parish	LA182	97%	98%	94%	102%	122	120	120	0	100%
Franklin Parish	LA229	92%	92%	100%	92%	12	13	11	2	100%
Grambling	LA097	77%	81%	75%	68%	102	150	100	39	93%
Grant Parish	LA120	82%	80%	77%	76%	86	113	92	21	100%
Hammond	LA104	100%	98%	97%	97%	277	286	274	12	100%
Iberia Parish	LA189	83%	81%	66%	65%	146	226	166	33	88%
Iberville Parish	LA214	76%	79%	69%	55%	24	45	25	18	96%
Independence **	LA099	96%	95%	84%	91%	50	55	49	0	89%
Jackson Parish	LA248	94%	94%	100%	94%	17	18	18	0	100%
Jeanerette	LA168	95%	93%	90%	98%	40	41	41	0	100%
Jefferson Davis	LA188	98%	98%	97%	97%	125	129	123	4	98%
Jefferson Parish **	LA013	96%	78%	14%	42%	1,203	3,011	2,204	9	73%
Kenner **	LA012	96%	84%	53%	60%	342	572	372	2	65%
Kentwood **	LA206	96%	95%	91%	96%	53	55	53	0	
Kinder **	LA247	96%	88%	81%	80%	78	97	88	1	92%
Lafayette **	LA005	97%	98%	94%	90%	1,494	1,692	1,570	8	93%
Lafourche Parish **	LA253	68%	60%	48%	49%	133	271	177	1	66%
Lake Charles **	LA004	94%	87%	32%	54%	836	1,546	1,546	0	100%
Leesville **	LA111	86%	82%	95%	80%	45	56	49	0	88%
Lincoln Parish	LA212	98%	84%	83%	84%	113	135	115	20	100%
Mamou **	LA031	95%	95%	95%	95%	19	20	20	0	
Minden	LA037	95%	96%	94%	83%	114	137	92	26	86%
Monroe	LA006	98%	95%	96%	96%	1,423	1,495	1,495	0	100%
Morehouse Parish	LA258	85%	87%	81%		125	158	132	21	97%
Morgan City	LA221	76%	66%	63%	59%	79	134	81	44	
Natchitoches	LA115	94%	87%	82%	83%	374	449	362	81	
Natchitoches Parish	LA166	98%	99%	89%	86%	287	334	298	36	
New Iberia **	LA165	99%	93%	89%	86%	277	322	300	2	
New Orleans **	LA001	82%	59%	4%	12%	1,320	12,602	4,519	37	
New Roads **	LA205	98%	93%	83%	86%	36	42	38	0	
Newellton	LA169	93%	89%	82%	77%	100	131	119	12	
Oakdale **	LA033	93%	93%	92%	93%	111	120	116	1	98%
Oberlin **	LA269	53%	47%	32%	47%	35	75	28	0	
Oouachita Parish	LA171	100%	96%	96%	98%	507	520	520	0	
Pearl River **	LA254	89%	50%	23%	44%	31	70	30	0	43%

		2004	2005	2006	20	07	2008			
					% of Auth- orized Vouchers		as of January	Auth- orized Vouchers Funded under Renewal	Additional Auth. Vouchers That Could be Funded Using Remaining	Vouchers Funded (Counting
Agency Name	Code	in Use	in Use	in Use	in Use	in Use	2008	Formula	Reserves	Reserves)
Pineville	LA057	99%	97%	96%	98%	161	165	157	8	
Plaquemine	LA179	96%	92%	85%	85%	115	136	124	12	100%
Ponchatoula **	LA075	95%	100%	87%	82%	49	60	51	0	
Port Allen	LA199	83%	82%	71%	73%	101	139	102	19	
Rapides Parish	LA129	97%	100%	101%	94%	250	267	250	17	100%
Rayne **	LA174	92%	90%	81%	82%	76	93	79	0	
Red River	LA163	100%	100%	100%	100%	45	45	45	0	100%
Ruston	LA232	96%	95%	97%	91%	239	290	254	36	100%
Sabine Parish **	LA074	94%	87%	86%	85%	92	108	99	1	93%
Shreveport	LA002	94%	92%	84%	82%	2,592	3,174	2,850	324	100%
Slidell **	LA103	83%	60%	21%	33%	100	304	234	1	77%
St Martin Parish **	LA178	89%	78%	72%	74%	252	341	258	2	76%
St. Bernard Parish	LA187	95%	60%	0%	12%	33	280	77	203	100%
St. Charles Parish **	LA094	98%	90%	77%	77%	236	305	223	1	73%
St. John the Baptist	LA181	86%	89%	79%	58%	73	149	71	5	51%
St. Landry Parish **	LA067	104%	96%	94%	94%	606	646	638	3	99%
St. Martinville	LA173	100%	100%	96%	96%	48	50	50	0	100%
St. Mary Parish **	LA220	99%	97%	96%	95%	190	200	180	1	91%
Sulphur **	LA063	96%	96%	89%	89%	96	108	89	1	83%
Tallulah	LA242	84%	73%	64%	73%	40	55	45	9	98%
Tangipahoa Parish **	LA207	96%	91%	86%	91%	175	200	186	1	94%
Terrebonne Parish	LA211	96%	95%	88%	89%	460	525	491	34	100%
Thibodaux **	LA194	84%	78%	69%	61%	154	251	203	1	81%
Union Parish	LA196	84%	79%	69%	79%	80	101	83	14	96%
Vernon Parish **	LA128	100%	98%	97%	86%	57	66	56	0	85%
Ville Platte	LA192	100%	100%	100%	98%	112	115	108	7	100%
Vinton **	LA046	94%	82%		72%	36	50	34	0	68%
W. Baton Rouge Parish	LA204	96%	91%	84%	83%	67	82	76		
Washington Parish	LA217	99%	100%	97%	91%	141	155	155		
Webster Parish	LA213	96%	96%	88%	79%	140	177	155		100%
West Carroll Parish	LA233	94%	88%	89%	92%	60	65	58		
West Monroe	LA186	95%	91%	89%	85%	395	463	405		
White Castle **	LA266	100%	93%	86%	86%	12	14	11	0	
Winn Parish	LA257	93%	90%	84%	91%	84		75		

Note: Authorized voucher figures for each agency are based on data from HUD's Resident Characteristics Report. The percentage of authorized vouchers in use in each year was determined by analysis of voucher leasing data reported by housing agencies to HUD's Voucher Management System (VMS). The number of vouchers funded in 2008 under the renewal formula was calculated using actual renewal funding awards and an estimate of the additional funds assumed to be available from excess reserves (the "offset" amount); these estimates do not take into account amounts received under the \$50 million in supplemental adjustment funds available under the law. The number of additional authorized vouchers that could be funded using remaining reserves is based on estimates of each agency's fund balance at the end of 2007, excluding the 2008 offset, and per-voucher costs for 2008. Agencies marked with a double asterisk were potentially affected by Hurricanes Katrina and Rita in 2005. Leasing data for these agencies may be unusually low due to reporting problems or an actual decline in leasing resulting from the dislocation of voucher holders. In addition, these agencies are assumed to have no voucher funding reserves, as many were granted temporary waivers allowing them to use voucher funds for other purposes (such as rehabilitation of damaged public housing).