820 First Street, NE, Suite 510, Washington, DC 20002
Tel: 202-408-1080 Fax: 202-408-1056 center@cbpp.org www.cbpp.org

March 17, 2004

## LOCAL EFFECTS OF PROPOSED CUTS IN FEDERAL HOUSING ASSISTANCE DETAILED Tennessee

To find estimates for a housing agency in a particular city or county, press the "Ctrl" and "F" keys and enter the name of the city or county. Housing agencies are listed by the agency names contained in the HUD dataset used to develop this analysis. Because the HUD dataset uses a variety of name formats (e.g., the agency in Omaha could be listed as the Omaha Housing Authority or the Housing Authority of Omaha), it is not always possible to locate a city or county by scanning alphabetically.

The table shows estimates of reductions that would occur if the proposed funding cuts were distributed proportionally across housing agencies based on the size of each agency's voucher program. The estimates are based in part on data that housing agencies reported to HUD covering the period through July 2003. Individual agencies may have more current data they can use to update the estimates. For a small number of agencies the HUD data may contain errors; individual agencies will be able to verify whether the July 2003 number of authorized Section 8 vouchers is accurate.

		Impact of Administration Proposal in 2005			Impact of Administration Proposal in 2009			
		111 2003			111 2009			
			Reduction	Increase		Reduction	Increase	
			in Number	in Annual		in Number	in Annual	
			of Families	Rent if		of Families	Rent if	
			Assisted if	Cut Were		Assisted if	Cut Were	
			Cut Were	Carried		Cut Were	Carried	
	Ni	Reduction	Cut were Carried Out		Reduction	Cut were Carried Out		
	Number of	in Voucher		Raising	in Voucher		,	
	Authorized		by			by	Raising	
l	Vouchers as	Subsidy	Eliminating	Rent	Subsidy	Eliminating	Rent	
Housing Agency	of July 2003	Funding	Vouchers	Burdens	Funding	Vouchers	Burdens	
Athens HA	52	\$21,306	6	\$401	\$56,777	15	\$1,043	
Briston HA	200	\$99,860	24			58	\$1,272	
Brownsville	169	\$72,466		\$420		49	\$1,092	
Chattanooga HA	3,012	\$2,063,729	365	\$671	\$5,499,504	876	\$1,745	
City of Dayton HA	354	\$150,284	43	\$416		103	\$1,081	
Cleveland HA	208	\$96,891	25	\$456		61	\$1,186	
Crossville HA	333	\$134,690	40				\$1,030	
Dickson HA	644	\$349,900	78	\$532	\$932,427	187	\$1,384	
E TN Human								
Resource Agency	646	\$329,504	78	\$499		188	\$1,299	
Elizabethton HA	203	\$103,580	25	\$500		59	\$1,299	
Etowah	122	\$46,076	15			35	\$962	
Jackson HA	1,028	\$568,833	125	\$542	\$1,515,848		\$1,409	
Johnson City HA	468	\$241,402	57	\$505	\$643,298	136	\$1,314	
Kingsport HA	1,129	\$586,291	137	\$508		328	\$1,322	
Knox County HA	562	\$343,393	68	\$598	\$915,087	163	\$1,556	
Knoxville's								
Community								
Development County	2,539	\$1,459,069	308			739	\$1,463	
Lafollette HA	328	\$142,414	40	\$425	\$379,509	95	\$1,106	
Maryville HA	304	\$155,995	37	\$502	. ,	88	\$1,307	
Memphis HA	5,238	\$4,137,986	635	\$773	\$11,027,064	1,524	\$2,012	
Metropolitan								
Development HA	5,227	\$3,787,857	634		\$10,094,026	1,521	\$1,846	
Morristown HA	401	\$152,693	49	\$373		117	\$970	
Murfreesboro HA	573	\$385,657	69	\$659	\$1,027,714	167	\$1,714	
Oak Ridge	260	\$124,652	32	\$469	\$332,177	76	\$1,221	
Pulaski	102	\$36,547	12	\$351	\$97,393	30	\$912	

Tullahoma HA Tennessee	116	\$50,112 <b>\$19,101,956</b>		¥	\$133,541 <b>\$50,903,624</b>	_	+ ,
Tennessee HA	5,863	\$3,338,339	711	\$557	\$8,896,134	1,706	\$1,450
Sweetwater HA	58	\$18,888	7	\$319	\$50,333	17	\$829
SE TN Human Resource Agency	227	\$103,542	28	\$447	\$275,923	66	\$1,162