

820 First Street NE, Suite 510 Washington, DC 20002

Tel: 202-408-1080 Fax: 202-408-1056

center@cbpp.org www.cbpp.org

Revised March 15, 2006

WINNERS AND LOSERS UNDER ADMINISTRATION'S 2007 HOUSING VOUCHER FUNDING PLAN Louisiana

The table below compares estimates of the number of vouchers that would be funded in 2007 under the Administration's budget proposal with the number funded in 2006 and the number in use in 2005. Under the Administration's plan:

• An estimated **67 housing agencies in Louisiana** would be forced **to cut assistance to 400 low-income** families in 2007, compared to the number they are able to help in 2006.

When the number of vouchers the Administration is proposing to fund in 2007 is compared to the total number Congress has authorized agencies to administer (rather than the number funded in 2006), the shortfalls faced by some agencies are even deeper:

• At **57 Louisiana housing agencies**, **5 percent or more of the vouchers** Congress authorized the agency to issue to needy families **would be left unused in 2007 because of inadequate funding**. Statewide, the number of vouchers funded would be 3 percent below the number agencies are authorized to issue.

Because the Administration has proposed a flawed and inequitable formula for distributing voucher funds in 2007, these funding shortfalls would occur at the same time that other agencies would receive more funding than they need to cover vouchers that are funded in 2006. Indeed, if it were distributed more efficiently, the total amount of funding the Administration requested to renew housing vouchers in 2007 likely would be adequate to cover the vouchers that were funded in 2006 at every agency — averting all of the cuts below 2006 levels listed in this table. For further information on the potential cuts and other issues raised by the Administration's budget proposal, see http://www.cbpp.org/3-13-06hous.htm.

		Actual 2006 A	Appropriation	2007 Admi	ninistration Budget Request		
Housing Agency	Total Authorized Vouchers ¹	Potential Increase (or Loss) in Vouchers from 2005 Level ²	Total Vouchers Funded ³	Change in Vouchers Funded from 2006 Level	Total Vouchers Funded ⁴	Percent of Total Authorized Vouchers Funded ⁵	
Alexandria	1,073	245	1,073	263	1,336	125%	
Ascension Parish	87	-4	80	-2	78	90%	
Assumption Parish HA	108	7	92	-1	91	84%	
Avoyelles Parish Police Jury	119	-4	112	-1	111	93%	

Actual 2006 Appropriation			Appropriation	2007 Administration Budget Request			
		Potential Increase (or Loss) in	фргоргіаціон	Change in Vouchers	mstration Bud	Percent of Total	
	Total	Vouchers	Total	Funded	Total	Authorized	
	Authorized	from 2005	Vouchers	from 2006	Vouchers	Vouchers	
Housing Agency	Vouchers ¹	Level ²	Funded ³	Level	Funded ⁴	Funded ⁵	
Baton Rouge	267	47	267	21	288	108%	
Bienville Parish Policy Jury	81	10	81	-1	80	99%	
Bogalusa HA	98	11	94	-2	92	94%	
Bossier Parish Section 8 Housing	641	3	641	146	787	123%	
Caddo Parish Commission	141	-10	119	-1	118	84%	
Calcasieu	536	26	534	-8	526	98%	
Caldwell Parish HA	114	11	113	-2	111	97%	
Catahoula Parish	66	-4	62	-1	61	92%	
Church Point HA	88	-1	85	-2	83	94%	
Claiborne Parish	84	0	84	5	89	106%	
Colfax HA	50	1	50	1	51	102%	
Concordia Parish Policy Jury	51	0	47	-1	46	90%	
Covington	109	6	105	-2	103	94%	
Crowley HA	365	-17	318	-4	314	86%	
Delhi HA	40	1	34	0	34	85%	
Denham Springs HA	120	1	113	-1	112	93%	
DeRidder Community Development	35	0	34	0	34	97%	
Desoto Parish Policy Jury	212	1	200	-3	197	93%	
Donaldsonville	95	-5	87	-1	86	91%	
East Baton Rouge Parish	2,377	-107	2,224	-31	2,193	92%	
East Carroll Parish Police Jury	142	-2	140	-2	138	97%	
Evangeline Parish Police Jury	120	2	120	5	125	104%	
Franklin Parish Policy Jury	13	1	13	0	13	100%	
Grambling HA	150	1	120	-1	119	79%	
Grant Parish HA	113	4	94	-2	92	81%	
Hammond	286	-20	260	-4	256	90%	
Iberia Parish Government	226	-16	169	-3	166	73%	
Iberville Parish	42	-1	31	-1	30	71%	
Independence HA	55	0	53	-1	52	95%	
Jackson Parish	18	-2	15	0	15	83%	
Jeanerette Public Housing	41	-4	35	0	35	85%	
Jefferson Davis Policy Jury Section 8	129	1	126	-2	124	96%	
Jefferson Parish	2,642	189	2,642	-32	2,610	99%	
Kenner HA	572	-1	525	-11	514	90%	
Kentwood	55	3	55	2	57	104%	
Kinder	97	2	87	-1	86	89%	
Lafayette	1,598	-83	1,488	-21	1,467	92%	
Lafourche Parish HA	271	6	172	-2	170	63%	

		Actual 2006 Appropriation		2007 Administration Budget Request		
Housing Agency	Total Authorized Vouchers ¹	Potential Increase (or Loss) in Vouchers from 2005 Level ²	Total Vouchers Funded ³	Change in Vouchers Funded from 2006 Level	Total Vouchers Funded ⁴	Percent of Total Authorized Vouchers Funded ⁵
Lake Charles	1,542	71	1,482	-20	1,462	95%
Leesville HA	56	1	47	-1	46	82%
Lincoln Parish Policy Jury	135	4	118	-2	116	86%
Mamou	20	1	20	2	22	110%
Minden HA	137	-5	128	-2	126	92%
Monroe HA	1,469	77	1,465	-20	1,445	98%
Morehouse Parish Police Jury HA	158	-1	136	-2	134	85%
Morgan City HA	134	24	112	-2	110	82%
Natchitoches	449	27	414	-7	407	91%
Natchitoches Parish HA	334	0	334	20	354	106%
New Iberia	322	14	315	-4	311	97%
New Orleans	9,490	1,249	9,404	-131	9,273	98%
New Roads	42	3	42	1	43	102%
Newellton HA	129	6	122	-2	120	93%
Oakdale HA	120	4	114	-2	112	93%
Oberlin	75	19	53	-1	52	69%
Oouachita Parish Policy Jury	514	26	514	24	538	105%
Pearl River	70	7	55	-1	54	77%
Pineville HA	165	-7	156	-2	154	93%
Plaquemine	134	-10	112	-1	111	83%
Ponchatoula HA	60	0	60	-1	59	98%
Port Allen	138	4	118	-1	117	85%
Rapides Parish HA	267	0	267	12	279	104%
Rayne	93	0	84	-1	83	89%
Red River	45	0	45	1	46	102%
Ruston Section 8	233	11	233	29	262	112%
Sabine Parish	108	3	97	-2	95	88%
Shreveport HA	3,174	266	3,174	33	3,207	101%
Slidell	304	0	247	-3	244	80%
St Martin Parish Govn't Hsg Dept	341	40	307	-4	303	89%
St. Bernard Parish Government	280	4	255	-4	251	90%
St. Charles Parish HA	305	8	284	-4	280	92%
St. John the Baptist HA	102	-12	80	-1	79	77%
St. Landry Parish HA	646	5	632	-9	623	96%
St. Martinville Section 8 Program	50	0	50	-1	49	98%
St. Mary Parish HA	200	-1	193	-3	190	95%
Sulphur HA	108	-6	95	-2	93	86%
Tallulah HA	55	-3	39	-1	38	69%

		Actual 2006 A	appropriation	2007 Administration Budget Request			
Housing Agency	Total Authorized Vouchers ¹	Potential Increase (or Loss) in Vouchers from 2005 Level ²	Total Vouchers Funded ³	Change in Vouchers Funded from 2006 Level	Total Vouchers Funded ⁴	Percent of Total Authorized Vouchers Funded ⁵	
Tangipahoa Parish Council	185	1	170	-2	168	91%	
Terrebonne Parish	512	-23	466	-6	460	90%	
Thibodaux HA	251	0	198	-3	195	78%	
Union Parish Police Jury	101	3	84	-1	83	82%	
Vernon Parish	66	2	66	2	68	103%	
Ville Platte Section 8	112	-2	110	-1	109	97%	
Vinton HA	50	6	47	-1	46	92%	
Washington Parish HA	155	0	155	3	158	102%	
Webster Parish Police Jury	177	8	176	-2	174	98%	
West Baton Rouge Parish	80	8	80	1	81	101%	
West Carroll Parish Policy Jury	65	7	65	0	65	100%	
West Monroe	463	41	463	31	494	107%	
White Castle	14	0	13	0	13	93%	
Winn Parish	92	8	92	2	94	102%	
Louisiana	37,854	2,186	36,382	204	36,586	97%6	

¹ Figures for total authorized vouchers are based on HUD data as of January 2006.

² Compares number of authorized vouchers funded in 2006 (3rd data column) with number of vouchers actually used in January – September 2005, based on agency data submitted to HUD. For some agencies, a portion of the increase in vouchers that can be used in 2006 compared with vouchers leased in 2005 is due to the award of new vouchers during 2005 to replace other federal housing subsidies. These new vouchers were in use in part but not all of 2005.

³ Based on CBPP estimates of funding available to each agency. Includes only vouchers funded up to each agency's authorized level, as of January 2006. Assumes each agency's average voucher cost remains level in the last three months of 2005 and increases at the applicable HUD inflation factor beginning January 1, 2006. Figures for some agencies include tenant protection vouchers awarded in 2005 and before; because information released by HUD on tenant protection vouchers is incomplete, the actual number of such vouchers is somewhat uncertain.

⁴ Based on CBPP estimates of funding each agency would receive under the proposed formula and of likely per unit costs in 2007. Does not include renewal of tenant protection vouchers that will be awarded in 2006, as these approximately 26,000 vouchers cannot be allocated to the agency level in advance of award. Our estimates assume that these additional vouchers will be renewed, subject to the same proration as other renewal funding.

⁵ Under HUD's SEMAP performance measurement system, agencies that use fewer than 95 percent of their authorized vouchers are considered deficient performers. These figures compare the funding available in 2007 under the President's request to renew vouchers that were authorized as of January 2006 (listed in the first data column). Under the Administration's proposal and our estimates, vouchers awarded subsequent to January 2006 also would receive renewal funding through calendar year 2007, subject to the same proration as other renewal funding.

⁶ The statewide percentage of authorized vouchers funded compares the total number of vouchers renewed in 2007 to the total number authorized in 2006. If some agencies in the state are funded for more than 100 percent of their

authorized vouchers, the statewide percentage understates the share of authorized vouchers left unfunded in particular communities.