

820 First Street NE, Suite 510 Washington, DC 20002

Tel: 202-408-1080 Fax: 202-408-1056

center@cbpp.org www.cbpp.org

Revised March 15, 2006

## WINNERS AND LOSERS UNDER ADMINISTRATION'S 2007 HOUSING VOUCHER FUNDING PLAN Florida

The table below compares estimates of the number of vouchers that would be funded in 2007 under the Administration's budget proposal with the number funded in 2006 and the number in use in 2005. Under the Administration's plan:

• An estimated **65 housing agencies in Florida** would be forced **to cut assistance to 1018 low-income** families in 2007, compared to the number they are able to help in 2006.

When the number of vouchers the Administration is proposing to fund in 2007 is compared to the total number Congress has authorized agencies to administer (rather than the number funded in 2006), the shortfalls faced by some agencies are even deeper:

• At 44 Florida housing agencies, 5 percent or more of the vouchers Congress authorized the agency to issue to needy families would be left unused in 2007 because of inadequate funding. Statewide, the number of vouchers funded would be 4 percent below the number agencies are authorized to issue.

Because the Administration has proposed a flawed and inequitable formula for distributing voucher funds in 2007, these funding shortfalls would occur at the same time that other agencies would receive more funding than they need to cover vouchers that are funded in 2006. Indeed, if it were distributed more efficiently, the total amount of funding the Administration requested to renew housing vouchers in 2007 likely would be adequate to cover the vouchers that were funded in 2006 at every agency — averting all of the cuts below 2006 levels listed in this table. For further information on the potential cuts and other issues raised by the Administration's budget proposal, see <a href="http://www.cbpp.org/3-13-06hous.htm">http://www.cbpp.org/3-13-06hous.htm</a>.

		Actual 2006 A	Appropriation	2007 Administration Budget Request			
Housing Agency	Total Authorized Vouchers <sup>1</sup>	Potential Increase (or Loss) in Vouchers from 2005 Level <sup>2</sup>	Total Vouchers Funded³	Change in Vouchers Funded from 2006 Level	Total Vouchers Funded <sup>4</sup>	Percent of Total Authorized Vouchers Funded <sup>5</sup>	
Alachua Co. HA	397	2	382	-5	377	95%	
Avon Park HA	45	-5	23	-1	22	49%	
Baker Co. Housing Assistance	147	8	136	-2	134	91%	
Bartow HA	55	4	55	2	57	104%	
Boca Raton HA	571	0	571	37	608	106%	
Bradenton	199	1	199	61	260	131%	
Bradenton Housing Agency	519	-5	451	-6	445	86%	

		Actual 2006 A	Appropriation	2007 Administration Budget Request			
Housing Agency	Total Authorized Vouchers <sup>1</sup>	Potential Increase (or Loss) in Vouchers from 2005 Level <sup>2</sup>	Total Vouchers Funded <sup>3</sup>	Change in Vouchers Funded from 2006 Level	Total Vouchers Funded <sup>4</sup>	Percent of Total Authorized Vouchers Funded <sup>5</sup>	
Brevard Co.	1,912	22	1,700	-23	1,677	88%	
Broward Co. HA	5,017	74	4,784	-69	4,715	94%	
Citrus Co. Housing Services	101	-3	94	-1	93	92%	
Clearwater HA	1,265	-24	1,032	-16	1,016	80%	
Cocoa	149	1	138	-2	136	91%	
Collier Co. HA	440	-10	415	-6	409	93%	
Crestview HA	197	9	197	30	227	115%	
Dania Beach HA	499	6	493	-7	486	97%	
Daytona Beach Housing	1,049	221	1,049	-19	1,030	98%	
Deerfield Beach HA	482	-24	446	-6	440	91%	
Deland HA	749	210	749	305	1,054	141%	
Delray Beach HA	1,105	225	1,105	-7	1,098	99%	
Fernandina Beach HA	62	1	62	2	64	103%	
Flagler Co. HA	180	0	180	-1	179	99%	
Fort Lauderdale	2,038	74	2,038	125	2,163	106%	
Fort Myers CRA	1,530	-15	1,495	-20	1,475	96%	
Fort Pierce	795	-2	647	-10	637	80%	
Fort Walton Beach HA	657	57	625	-8	617	94%	
Gainsville HA	1,227	54	1,186	-17	1,169	95%	
Green Cove Springs	148	-5	137	-2	135	91%	
Haines Co. Housing Assistance	92	3	92	-1	91	99%	
Hendry Co.	43	3	41	0	41	95%	
Hernando Co. HA	285	17	283	-4	279	98%	
Hialeah HA	4,305	230	3,686	-51	3,635	84%	
Hillsborough Co. Community Improvement	1,993	-70	1,818	-26	1,792	90%	
Hollywood Housing	597	12	583	-9	574	96%	
Holmes County HA	194	7	194	4	198	102%	
Homestead HA	1,618	4	1,618	111	1,729	107%	
Indian River Co. HA	345	12	313	-5	308	89%	
Jacksonville HA	6,517	26	6,161	-93	6,068	93%	
Jefferson Co. Board of Co.							
Commissioners	129	2	128	-2	126	98%	
Key West HA	254	-9	231	-3	228	90%	
Lake Co. Housing Agency	485	-28	436	-6	430	89%	
Lake Wales HA	172	39	172	37	209	122%	
Lakeland HA	1,218	-32	1,167	-18	1,149	94%	
Lee Co. HA	211	22	209	-3	206	98%	
Levy Co. HA	142	3	141	-2	139	98%	

		Actual 2006 Appropriation		2007 Administration Budget Request			
		Potential Increase (or	фрифиации	Change in	iistration bud	Percent of	
	Total	Loss) in	77.4.1	Vouchers	77.4.1	Total	
	Authorized	Vouchers from 2005	Total Vouchers	Funded from 2006	Total Vouchers	Authorized Vouchers	
Housing Agency	Vouchers <sup>1</sup>	Level <sup>2</sup>	Funded <sup>3</sup>	Level	Funded <sup>4</sup>	Funded <sup>5</sup>	
Manatee Co. HA	757	67	734	-14	720	95%	
Marianna HA	117	3	117	3	120	103%	
Miami	118	0	118	108	226	192%	
Miami Beach	2,508	53	2,441	-34	2,407	96%	
Miami-Dade HA	13,935	245	13,818	-206	13,612	98%	
Milton HA	292	15	292	3	295	101%	
Monroe Co. HA	200	-18	170	-2	168	84%	
New Smyrna Beach HA	253	0	243	-4	239	94%	
NW FL Regional HA	826	30	826	2	828	100%	
Ocala HA	1,111	30	1,086	-20	1,066	96%	
Orange Co. sec. 8	1,173	2	1,108	-16	1,092	93%	
Orlando HA	2,789	31	2,733	-38	2,695	97%	
Ormond Beach HA	193	11	193	4	197	102%	
Osceola Co. HA	193	-7	173	-2	171	89%	
Pahokee HA, Inc.	36	-3	31	0	31	86%	
Palatka HA	295	25	295	-3	292	99%	
Palm Beach Co. HA	2,595	12	2,397	-34	2,363	91%	
Panama City HA	418	0	415	-6	409	98%	
Pasco Co. HA	1,424	113	1,424	46	1,470	103%	
Pensacola sec. 8	2,255	598	2,255	211	2,466	109%	
Pinellas Co. HA	2,737	62	2,601	-36	2,565	94%	
Plant City HA	187	2	177	-2	175	94%	
Polk Co. HA	110	-2	97	-1	96	87%	
Pompano Beach HA	829	-22	739	-10	729	88%	
Punta Gorda HA	341	179	341	-1	340	100%	
Riviera Beach	515	9	430	-6	424	82%	
Sanford	90	6	81	-2	79	88%	
Sarasota County Board of							
Commissioners	434	13	434	-1	433	100%	
Sarasota HA	764	-7	734	-10	724	95%	
Seminole Co. HA	334	-9	308	-4	304	91%	
Springfield	446	-12	434	-6	428	96%	
St. Pete	2,610	209	2,343	-40	2,303	88%	
Stuart	77	0	77	6	83	108%	
Sumter Co. HA	132	11	126	-2	124	94%	
Tallahassee HA	1,807	75	1,748	-26	1,722	95%	
Tampa HA	4,714	76	4,714	216	4,930	105%	
Titusville HA	392	13	392	-4	388	99%	

		Actual 2006 A	ppropriation	2007 Administration Budget Request			
Housing Agency	Total Authorized Vouchers <sup>1</sup>	Potential Increase (or Loss) in Vouchers from 2005 Level <sup>2</sup>	Total Vouchers Funded <sup>3</sup>	Change in Vouchers Funded from 2006 Level	Total Vouchers Funded <sup>4</sup>	Percent of Total Authorized Vouchers Funded <sup>5</sup>	
Tricounty Community Council, Inc.	155	3	155	-2	153	99%	
Volusia Co.	322	14	322	-1	321	100%	
Wakulla Co.	250	3	161	-2	159	64%	
Walton Co. Housing	364	19	364	14	378	104%	
West Palm Beach HA	2,015	74	1,940	-30	1,910	95%	
Winter Haven HA	88	13	76	-2	74	84%	
Florida	91,336	3053	87,325	309	87,634	96%6	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Figures for total authorized vouchers are based on HUD data as of January 2006.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Compares number of authorized vouchers funded in 2006 (3rd data column) with number of vouchers actually used in January – September 2005, based on agency data submitted to HUD. For some agencies, a portion of the increase in vouchers that can be used in 2006 compared with vouchers leased in 2005 is due to the award of new vouchers during 2005 to replace other federal housing subsidies. These new vouchers were in use in part but not all of 2005.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Based on CBPP estimates of funding available to each agency. Includes only vouchers funded up to each agency's authorized level, as of January 2006. Assumes each agency's average voucher cost remains level in the last three months of 2005 and increases at the applicable HUD inflation factor beginning January 1, 2006. Figures for some agencies include tenant protection vouchers awarded in 2005 and before; because information released by HUD on tenant protection vouchers is incomplete, the actual number of such vouchers is somewhat uncertain.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Based on CBPP estimates of funding each agency would receive under the proposed formula and of likely per unit costs in 2007. Does not include renewal of tenant protection vouchers that will be awarded in 2006, as these approximately 26,000 vouchers cannot be allocated to the agency level in advance of award. Our estimates assume that these additional vouchers will be renewed, subject to the same proration as other renewal funding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Under HUD's SEMAP performance measurement system, agencies that use fewer than 95 percent of their authorized vouchers are considered deficient performers. These figures compare the funding available in 2007 under the President's request to renew vouchers that were authorized as of January 2006 (listed in the first data column). Under the Administration's proposal and our estimates, vouchers awarded subsequent to January 2006 also would receive renewal funding through calendar year 2007, subject to the same proration as other renewal funding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The statewide percentage of authorized vouchers funded compares the total number of vouchers renewed in 2007 to the total number authorized in 2006. If some agencies in the state are funded for more than 100 percent of their authorized vouchers, the statewide percentage understates the share of authorized vouchers left unfunded in particular communities.