



# CENTER ON BUDGET AND POLICY PRIORITIES

820 First Street, NE, Suite 510, Washington, DC 20002  
Tel: 202-408-1080 Fax: 202-408-1056 center@cbpp.org www.cbpp.org

Revised March 8, 2005

## ESTIMATED VOUCHER FUNDING SHORTFALLS IN 2005, 2006 AND 2010

### Virginia

This table displays estimates of cuts in housing voucher assistance under the actual 2005 voucher funding level, the Administration's 2006 budget request, and an estimate of the Administration's budget plan for 2010 based on the limited information available to the public.<sup>i</sup> (Please see the endnotes for the methods used to develop these estimates.) The table below can be read as follows:

"In 2005, [housing agency] will receive [2005 funding shortfall] less funding<sup>ii</sup> than it needs to support its vouchers, causing an estimated [2005 cut in families assisted] low-income families to go without housing assistance.<sup>iii</sup> Under the Administration's budget for 2006, the funding gap confronting the agency will drop to [2006 funding shortfall], allowing it to restore temporarily [2006 number of cut vouchers restored] of the vouchers that were cut in 2005. But estimates based on available information on the Administration's budget plans through 2010 show the shortfall widening to approximately [2010 funding shortfall], eliminating all of the vouchers restored in 2006 and cutting the number of families assisted by a further [2010 cut in families assisted below 2005 level]."

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
Abemarle County of Housing	454	-\$109,836	-19	-\$54,795	10	-\$662,699	-80
Abingdon RHA	121	-\$11,835	-5	-\$6,347	2	-\$76,759	-22
Accomack-North Hampton RHA	538	-\$97,606	-22	-\$48,937	11	-\$591,842	-94
Alexandria RHA	1,722	-\$665,348	-65	-\$359,137	31	-\$4,343,421	-307
Arlington County	1,630	-\$606,600	-67	-\$302,623	34	-\$3,659,944	-285
Big Stone Gap RHA	124	-\$15,287	-4	-\$8,624	2	-\$104,293	-22
Briston Redevelopment & HA	254	-\$33,845	-10	-\$17,000	5	-\$205,603	-45
Buckingham Housing Dev. Corp.	72	-\$12,495	-3	-\$6,699	1	-\$81,015	-13
Charlottesville RHA	341	-\$74,964	-14	-\$37,398	7	-\$452,298	-60
Chesapeake RHA	1,693	-\$354,530	-56	-\$219,531	22	-\$2,655,023	-310
Covington RHA	58	-\$7,979	-2	-\$4,643	1	-\$56,158	-10
Danville RHA	722	-\$129,682	-29	-\$65,026	15	-\$786,432	-127
Fairfax County RHA	3,168	-\$1,362,189	-128	-\$685,448	65	-\$8,289,852	-556
Franklin RHA	315	-\$49,877	-12	-\$26,185	6	-\$316,687	-56
Hampton RHA	2,478	-\$630,496	-95	-\$333,478	46	-\$4,033,099	-440
Harrisonburg RHA	590	-\$117,170	-24	-\$58,454	12	-\$706,952	-103

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
Hopewell RHA	247	-\$57,787	-10	-\$30,431	5	-\$368,033	-44
James City County Housing	154	-\$38,328	-6	-\$19,121	3	-\$231,253	-27
Lee County RHA	532	-\$62,683	-21	-\$32,473	10	-\$392,725	-94
Loudoun County HA	762	-\$269,460	-27	-\$154,932	12	-\$1,873,759	-138
Lynchburg RHA	791	-\$98,646	-28	-\$56,396	12	-\$682,060	-143
Marion RHA	113	-\$15,207	-4	-\$8,217	2	-\$99,374	-20
Martinsville RHA	484	-\$64,807	-18	-\$35,007	9	-\$423,383	-86
Newport News RHA	2,216	-\$531,284	-90	-\$265,049	47	-\$3,205,518	-388
Norfolk RHA	2,775	-\$567,266	-102	-\$313,823	47	-\$3,795,393	-497
Norton RHA	110	-\$17,439	-4	-\$9,228	2	-\$111,603	-20
People, Inc. of Southwest Virginia	102	-\$14,511	-4	-\$7,240	2	-\$87,555	-18
Petersburg RHA	676	-\$174,515	-28	-\$87,063	14	-\$1,052,944	-118
Portsmouth PHA	1,316	-\$349,311	-53	-\$175,566	27	-\$2,123,304	-231
Prince William County office of Housing	1,907	-\$840,833	-73	-\$446,106	35	-\$5,395,238	-339
Richmond RHA	3,050	-\$767,075	-116	-\$409,479	56	-\$4,952,269	-542
Roanoke RHA	1,543	-\$263,091	-56	-\$146,507	26	-\$1,771,865	-277
Scott County RHA	197	-\$25,151	-8	-\$12,998	4	-\$157,202	-35
Staunton RHA	195	-\$29,149	-8	-\$15,356	4	-\$185,715	-35
Suffolk RHA	681	-\$152,466	-27	-\$77,933	14	-\$942,522	-120
Total Action Against Poverty in Roanoke	83	-\$12,422	-3	-\$6,942	1	-\$83,959	-15
Virginia Beach	1,787	-\$461,234	-72	-\$232,898	37	-\$2,816,678	-314
Virginia HA	9,172	-\$2,263,773	-372	-\$1,137,784	190	-\$13,760,432	-1,609
Waynesboro RHA	431	-\$60,968	-18	-\$30,416	9	-\$367,850	-75
Wise County RHA	751	-\$115,972	-31	-\$57,857	16	-\$699,721	-131
<b>Virginia</b>	<b>44,355</b>	<b>-\$11,533,117</b>	<b>-1,735</b>	<b>-\$6,003,147</b>	<b>855</b>	<b>-\$72,602,432</b>	<b>-7,842</b>

<sup>i</sup> The Administration has released its planned 2010 funding level for a “housing assistance” category that includes the voucher program, public housing and several other programs taken together, but has not released a funding level for the voucher program separately. We have estimated the 2010 voucher funding level by assuming that the Administration plans to cut all housing assistance programs proportionately. It is possible that the Administration actually intends to impose larger cuts in voucher assistance than we estimate and smaller cuts in other programs, or vice versa. See Appendix, “The Basis for the Estimate that the Budget Would Support 370,000 Fewer Vouchers in 2010,” <http://www.cbpp.org/2-18-05hous-app.htm>.

<sup>ii</sup> We assume that the amount of funding needed to support an agency’s vouchers in 2005 is equal to the agency’s average voucher cost in May-July 2004 plus the applicable HUD inflation adjustment, multiplied by the sum of the number of the agency’s vouchers in use in May-July 2004 and the number of new vouchers requiring funding that were issued to families losing public housing or other types of federal housing assistance. In 2006 and 2010 we assumed the agencies would need funding for approximately the same number of vouchers as in 2005, but that the average cost of these vouchers would rise based on a national average CBO voucher cost inflation estimate.

<sup>iii</sup> All figures in the table assume that agencies will respond to funding shortfalls by reducing the number of families assisted. Agencies also have some limited flexibility to reduce the level of assistance provided per family, for example by shifting rental

---

burdens onto needy households or reducing the maximum amount of rent a voucher can cover (and therefore limiting the ability of voucher households to live outside high-poverty neighborhoods in areas that may be safer and with better schools and more job opportunities). If agencies took these measures, the reduction in the number of families assisted could be somewhat smaller.