



CENTER ON BUDGET AND POLICY PRIORITIES

820 First Street, NE, Suite 510, Washington, DC 20002
Tel: 202-408-1080 Fax: 202-408-1056 center@cbpp.org www.cbpp.org

Revised March 8, 2005

ESTIMATED VOUCHER FUNDING SHORTFALLS IN 2005, 2006 AND 2010

Texas

This table displays estimates of cuts in housing voucher assistance under the actual 2005 voucher funding level, the Administration's 2006 budget request, and an estimate of the Administration's budget plan for 2010 based on the limited information available to the public.ⁱ (Please see the endnotes for the methods used to develop these estimates.) The table below can be read as follows:

"In 2005, [housing agency] will receive [2005 funding shortfall] less fundingⁱⁱ than it needs to support its vouchers, causing an estimated [2005 cut in families assisted] low-income families to go without housing assistance.ⁱⁱⁱ Under the Administration's budget for 2006, the funding gap confronting the agency will drop to [2006 funding shortfall], allowing it to restore temporarily [2006 number of cut vouchers restored] of the vouchers that were cut in 2005. But estimates based on available information on the Administration's budget plans through 2010 show the shortfall widening to approximately [2010 funding shortfall], eliminating all of the vouchers restored in 2006 and cutting the number of families assisted by a further [2010 cut in families assisted below 2005 level]."

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
Abilene HA	1,065	-\$167,648	-36	-\$101,296	15	-\$1,225,077	-194
Alamo Area Council of Gov'ts	98	-\$11,783	-4	-\$6,077	2	-\$73,500	-17
Alamo HA	70	-\$10,599	-3	-\$5,288	1	-\$63,950	-12
Alice Sec. 8 Voucher	154	-\$21,339	-6	-\$10,646	3	-\$128,748	-27
Alpine	123	-\$14,421	-5	-\$7,563	2	-\$91,472	-22
Alto HA	45	-\$8,574	-2	-\$4,442	1	-\$53,723	-8
Amarillo	1,409	-\$260,461	-53	-\$140,547	25	-\$1,699,779	-251
Anderson County HA	393	-\$58,921	-15	-\$32,329	7	-\$390,991	-70
Anthony Sec. 8 Voucher	453	-\$107,942	-18	-\$54,568	9	-\$659,952	-80
Aransas Pass HA	211	-\$35,646	-8	-\$18,127	4	-\$219,224	-37
Ark-Tex Council of Gov'ts	1,585	-\$344,509	-64	-\$172,875	33	-\$2,090,758	-278
Arlington HA	3,260	-\$957,741	-132	-\$483,034	67	-\$5,841,844	-572
Asherton HA	32	-\$3,126	-1	-\$1,561	1	-\$18,881	-6
Athens HA	199	-\$33,209	-8	-\$16,651	4	-\$201,380	-35
Austin HA	5,023	-\$1,857,883	-203	-\$937,882	103	-\$11,342,799	-882

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
Baird HA	85	-\$12,166	-3	-\$6,120	2	-\$74,016	-15
Balch Springs HA	40	-\$10,198	-2	-\$5,088	1	-\$61,531	-7
Bastrop HA	24	-\$5,601	-1	-\$2,794	1	-\$33,794	-4
Bay City Sec. 8 Voucher	247	-\$44,807	-10	-\$22,353	5	-\$270,344	-43
Baytown	727	-\$213,054	-29	-\$109,737	14	-\$1,327,172	-128
Bea HA	2,026	-\$344,941	-68	-\$210,373	27	-\$2,544,269	-370
Beckville HA	264	-\$28,964	-10	-\$15,259	5	-\$184,538	-47
Beeville	346	-\$42,473	-13	-\$22,306	7	-\$269,770	-61
Bexar County HA	1,811	-\$396,611	-67	-\$219,746	31	-\$2,657,621	-324
Big Spring HA	366	-\$60,091	-15	-\$29,979	8	-\$362,562	-64
Boerne	108	-\$16,537	-4	-\$8,250	2	-\$99,777	-19
Brady HA	85	-\$9,799	-3	-\$5,151	2	-\$62,300	-15
Brazos Council of Gov'ts	1,901	-\$393,802	-72	-\$212,523	34	-\$2,570,264	-339
Breckenridge Sec. 8 Vouchers	95	-\$11,983	-4	-\$6,122	2	-\$74,035	-17
Brenham	82	-\$13,583	-3	-\$8,501	1	-\$102,814	-15
Brownsille HA	1,705	-\$303,782	-70	-\$151,552	36	-\$1,832,879	-299
Brownwood HA	501	-\$56,597	-16	-\$35,453	6	-\$428,766	-92
Burkburnett	16	-\$2,598	-1	-\$1,296	0	-\$15,673	-3
Burnet Sec. 8 Voucher HA	100	-\$16,769	-4	-\$8,450	2	-\$102,200	-18
Cameron County HA	996	-\$197,624	-41	-\$98,592	21	-\$1,192,378	-174
Cameron HA	141	-\$17,412	-5	-\$9,374	3	-\$113,368	-25
Carrizo Springs	149	-\$20,293	-6	-\$11,094	3	-\$134,174	-27
Central Texas Council of Gov'ts	3,184	-\$489,545	-117	-\$272,395	53	-\$3,294,356	-571
Cisco HA	68	-\$6,440	-2	-\$4,049	1	-\$48,965	-12
Cleburne HA	355	-\$68,061	-14	-\$34,604	7	-\$418,507	-62
Commerce	175	-\$44,211	-7	-\$22,056	4	-\$266,747	-31
Corpus Christi HA	1,157	-\$266,329	-43	-\$146,849	20	-\$1,776,006	-207
Corsicana HA	188	-\$33,373	-6	-\$20,107	3	-\$243,177	-34
Cotulla HA	47	-\$6,900	-2	-\$3,650	1	-\$44,138	-8
Crystal City HA	215	-\$19,604	-7	-\$12,369	3	-\$149,587	-39
Cuero	69	-\$8,040	-3	-\$4,050	1	-\$48,985	-12
Dallas County HA	3,678	-\$954,158	-138	-\$518,150	65	-\$6,266,534	-656
Dallas HA	16,080	-\$5,844,716	-657	-\$2,915,836	338	-\$35,264,301	-2,815
Deep East Texas Council of Gov'ts	1,700	-\$352,291	-64	-\$190,850	30	-\$2,308,150	-303
DeKalb Section 8 Voucher	66	-\$7,474	-2	-\$4,102	1	-\$49,607	-12
Del Rio HA	661	-\$78,217	-27	-\$39,021	14	-\$471,925	-116
Denton HA	1,328	-\$424,601	-54	-\$214,247	27	-\$2,591,111	-233
Devine HA	50	-\$5,400	-2	-\$2,826	1	-\$34,178	-9

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
Dilley HA	34	-\$4,616	-1	-\$2,303	1	-\$27,853	-6
Donna HA	276	-\$41,409	-11	-\$21,873	5	-\$264,538	-49
Duval County	117	-\$15,892	-4	-\$8,484	2	-\$102,606	-21
Eagle Pass	485	-\$65,842	-19	-\$34,017	10	-\$411,398	-86
Edcouch HA	54	-\$6,964	-2	-\$3,777	1	-\$45,682	-10
Edgewood Sec. 8 Voucher	34	-\$4,806	-1	-\$2,521	1	-\$30,492	-6
Edinburg HA	870	-\$131,938	-33	-\$71,909	15	-\$869,669	-155
Edna HA	132	-\$15,880	-5	-\$8,044	3	-\$97,289	-23
El Paso County HA	125	-\$27,597	-5	-\$13,768	3	-\$166,508	-22
El Paso HA	4,986	-\$974,842	-185	-\$536,324	86	-\$6,486,333	-892
Electra HA	12	-\$1,765	0	-\$881	0	-\$10,652	-2
Elgin	85	-\$16,854	-3	-\$9,025	2	-\$109,150	-15
Elsa HA	142	-\$21,082	-6	-\$10,517	3	-\$127,197	-25
Falfurrias HA	182	-\$24,630	-7	-\$12,705	4	-\$153,655	-32
Floresville	32	-\$5,847	-1	-\$3,077	1	-\$37,216	-6
Floydada	140	-\$21,520	-5	-\$11,897	2	-\$143,888	-25
Forth Worth	4,793	-\$1,218,829	-190	-\$626,078	95	-\$7,571,824	-845
Gainesville HA	415	-\$83,771	-17	-\$41,792	9	-\$505,434	-73
Galveston HA	1,193	-\$276,751	-47	-\$142,940	23	-\$1,728,727	-211
Garland	1,463	-\$433,080	-60	-\$216,057	31	-\$2,613,004	-256
Georgetown HA	87	-\$23,311	-3	-\$11,913	2	-\$144,081	-15
Gonzales HA	42	-\$3,736	-1	-\$2,830	0	-\$34,222	-8
Grande Prairie HA	2,241	-\$745,624	-91	-\$375,327	46	-\$4,539,233	-393
Grapevine HA	81	-\$20,955	-3	-\$10,743	2	-\$129,925	-14
Grayson County HA	326	-\$39,275	-13	-\$20,605	6	-\$249,199	-58
Greenville Sec. 8 Voucher HA	605	-\$136,634	-24	-\$70,454	12	-\$852,077	-107
Gregory HA	20	-\$4,358	-1	-\$2,174	0	-\$26,294	-4
Hale County HA	380	-\$36,339	-14	-\$20,401	6	-\$246,732	-68
Hallettsville	25	-\$1,857	-1	-\$1,327	0	-\$16,045	-5
Harlingen HA	698	-\$128,121	-28	-\$65,290	14	-\$789,625	-123
Harris County HA	1,840	-\$572,435	-72	-\$296,506	36	-\$3,585,966	-325
Haskell HA	37	-\$3,682	-1	-\$2,241	1	-\$27,099	-7
Henderson	67	-\$7,223	-2	-\$4,236	1	-\$51,226	-12
Hidalgo County HA	716	-\$110,909	-29	-\$56,304	15	-\$680,947	-126
Hidalgo HA	67	-\$8,722	-3	-\$4,652	1	-\$56,259	-12
Hill County HA	255	-\$29,128	-9	-\$17,182	4	-\$207,802	-46
Houston HA	14,045	-\$4,155,721	-568	-\$2,094,835	289	-\$25,335,060	-2,465
Jacksonville HA	207	-\$38,979	-8	-\$20,833	4	-\$251,953	-37

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
Jefferson HA	25	-\$1,930	-1	-\$1,078	0	-\$13,032	-4
Jim Hogg County HA	75	-\$8,907	-3	-\$4,890	1	-\$59,141	-13
Kenedy	34	-\$4,064	-1	-\$2,151	1	-\$26,014	-6
Kermit HA	62	-\$7,987	-3	-\$3,985	1	-\$48,192	-11
Killeen	80	-\$14,066	-3	-\$7,228	2	-\$87,416	-14
Kingsville HA	472	-\$82,616	-19	-\$41,216	10	-\$498,469	-83
Kyle HA	10	-\$1,966	0	-\$981	0	-\$11,864	-2
La Feria HA	10	-\$1,815	0	-\$970	0	-\$11,733	-2
La Grange HA	91	-\$10,266	-4	-\$5,121	2	-\$61,938	-16
La Joya HA	128	-\$21,130	-5	-\$10,569	3	-\$127,821	-22
LaMarque	58	-\$15,692	-2	-\$7,829	1	-\$94,681	-10
Lamesa Housing Project	113	-\$13,694	-4	-\$7,082	2	-\$85,654	-20
Lancaster HA	1,073	-\$288,294	-40	-\$155,779	19	-\$1,884,002	-191
Laredo HA	1,194	-\$240,479	-49	-\$119,971	25	-\$1,450,936	-209
Liberty County	271	-\$49,704	-11	-\$24,797	6	-\$299,892	-47
Livingston HA	86	-\$12,520	-4	-\$6,246	2	-\$75,539	-15
Longview Sec. 8 Voucher	674	-\$142,573	-28	-\$71,127	14	-\$860,220	-118
Los Fresnos HA	102	-\$17,020	-4	-\$8,491	2	-\$102,692	-18
Lubbock HA	852	-\$162,763	-30	-\$93,524	13	-\$1,131,090	-154
Marble Falls HA	126	-\$19,267	-4	-\$11,569	2	-\$139,917	-23
Marfa HA	19	-\$3,116	-1	-\$1,611	0	-\$19,483	-3
Marshall	602	-\$96,121	-19	-\$61,428	7	-\$742,912	-111
Mathis HA	92	-\$15,053	-3	-\$8,504	1	-\$102,849	-17
McAllen HA	1,032	-\$151,900	-40	-\$80,075	19	-\$968,427	-183
McKinney HA	345	-\$83,723	-14	-\$42,633	7	-\$515,608	-61
Mercedes HA	260	-\$34,249	-10	-\$18,485	5	-\$223,557	-46
Merkel HA	20	-\$1,528	-1	-\$973	0	-\$11,772	-4
Mesquite HA	1,359	-\$437,477	-53	-\$227,921	26	-\$2,756,491	-240
Midland County HA	458	-\$79,348	-17	-\$42,352	8	-\$512,203	-81
Mineral Wells HA	235	-\$28,968	-9	-\$15,592	4	-\$188,573	-42
Mission HA	727	-\$88,596	-28	-\$47,247	13	-\$571,409	-129
Monahans HA	44	-\$4,030	-2	-\$2,230	1	-\$26,970	-8
Montgomery County HA	298	-\$77,751	-11	-\$43,743	5	-\$529,032	-54
Nacogdoches HA	961	-\$212,428	-38	-\$108,845	19	-\$1,316,384	-169
Navasota HA	54	-\$7,682	-2	-\$3,832	1	-\$46,349	-9
New Braunfels	292	-\$47,703	-11	-\$25,101	6	-\$303,577	-52
Nixon HA	13	-\$1,389	-1	-\$711	0	-\$8,601	-2
Oden HA	48	-\$7,684	-2	-\$3,943	1	-\$47,688	-8

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
Odessa	1,024	-\$217,170	-42	-\$108,344	21	-\$1,310,318	-179
Orange HA	805	-\$168,648	-33	-\$84,136	17	-\$1,017,547	-141
Palacios HA	57	-\$5,970	-2	-\$3,689	1	-\$44,617	-10
Panhandle Community Services	1,910	-\$300,579	-70	-\$165,920	33	-\$2,006,643	-342
Paris HA	181	-\$32,980	-7	-\$16,453	4	-\$198,989	-32
Pasadena	1,056	-\$259,796	-42	-\$132,280	21	-\$1,599,808	-186
Pearsall	118	-\$16,709	-5	-\$8,336	2	-\$100,812	-21
Pharr HA Voucher	628	-\$90,229	-26	-\$45,133	13	-\$545,842	-110
Pittsburgh HA	25	-\$3,170	-1	-\$1,771	0	-\$21,413	-4
Plano HA	742	-\$226,511	-29	-\$116,563	15	-\$1,409,719	-131
Pleasanton	108	-\$14,151	-4	-\$7,726	2	-\$93,441	-19
Port Arthur HA	1,968	-\$392,443	-77	-\$204,984	38	-\$2,479,090	-348
Port Isabel HA	44	-\$7,169	-2	-\$3,688	1	-\$44,606	-8
Port Lavaca	83	-\$9,111	-3	-\$4,545	2	-\$54,973	-15
Poteet HA	24	-\$1,986	-1	-\$1,034	0	-\$12,506	-4
Poth HA	14	-\$2,130	-1	-\$1,062	0	-\$12,849	-2
Quanah Sec. 8 Voucher	110	-\$12,451	-4	-\$6,629	2	-\$80,169	-20
Ralls HA	50	-\$5,811	-2	-\$3,109	1	-\$37,603	-9
Robstown HA	177	-\$43,057	-7	-\$22,277	3	-\$269,424	-31
Rockwall HA	36	-\$11,461	-1	-\$6,500	1	-\$78,610	-6
Roma HA	104	-\$11,498	-4	-\$5,907	2	-\$71,436	-18
Rosenberg HA	340	-\$80,180	-13	-\$41,976	6	-\$507,665	-60
Round Rock HA	76	-\$30,038	-3	-\$17,403	1	-\$210,471	-14
Rusk HA	175	-\$32,702	-7	-\$16,696	4	-\$201,925	-31
San Angelo	779	-\$122,305	-32	-\$61,016	16	-\$737,933	-136
San Antonio	11,421	-\$2,885,588	-466	-\$1,439,574	240	-\$17,410,297	-2,000
San Benito HA	309	-\$39,559	-10	-\$25,840	4	-\$312,512	-57
San Juan HA	151	-\$19,348	-6	-\$9,937	3	-\$120,183	-27
San Marcos	206	-\$47,463	-8	-\$24,308	4	-\$293,978	-36
Schertz HA	148	-\$36,607	-6	-\$18,345	3	-\$221,867	-26
Seguin	286	-\$51,802	-12	-\$25,843	6	-\$312,552	-50
Sinton HA	61	-\$11,150	-2	-\$5,751	1	-\$69,554	-11
Slaton	64	-\$9,990	-2	-\$5,543	1	-\$67,033	-11
Smithville HA	45	-\$11,847	-2	-\$6,233	1	-\$75,386	-8
Somervell County Sec. 8 Voucher	60	-\$7,875	-2	-\$4,158	1	-\$50,288	-11
South Plains Regional HA	484	-\$64,567	-16	-\$39,378	7	-\$476,240	-88
Stamford HA	47	-\$6,126	-2	-\$3,117	1	-\$37,692	-8
Starr County HA	180	-\$22,320	-7	-\$11,608	3	-\$140,390	-32

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
Stockton PHA	104	-\$15,286	-4	-\$8,407	2	-\$101,679	-19
Tarrant County HA	2,105	-\$584,633	-84	-\$299,499	42	-\$3,622,160	-371
Tatum HA	63	-\$12,416	-2	-\$6,540	1	-\$79,098	-11
Taylor	78	-\$22,940	-3	-\$11,444	2	-\$138,410	-14
Terrell HA	458	-\$118,674	-18	-\$59,902	9	-\$724,457	-80
Texarkana	392	-\$53,983	-14	-\$31,457	6	-\$380,445	-71
Texas City HA	313	-\$81,696	-12	-\$42,618	6	-\$515,426	-55
Texas Dept. of Housing	2,130	-\$417,318	-73	-\$247,168	31	-\$2,989,270	-387
Texoma Council Gov'ts	591	-\$90,667	-22	-\$50,217	10	-\$607,324	-106
Travis County HA	564	-\$202,778	-22	-\$107,449	10	-\$1,299,499	-100
Tulia HA	54	-\$5,493	-2	-\$3,730	1	-\$45,116	-10
Tyler HA	1,033	-\$245,175	-42	-\$122,314	22	-\$1,479,274	-181
Uvalde HA	217	-\$26,152	-8	-\$13,878	4	-\$167,843	-39
Victoria HA	347	-\$53,699	-13	-\$28,899	6	-\$349,505	-62
Waco HA	1,865	-\$356,520	-74	-\$183,234	37	-\$2,216,049	-329
Walker County HA	251	-\$41,063	-10	-\$20,902	5	-\$252,788	-44
Weatherford HA	517	-\$91,644	-20	-\$47,751	10	-\$577,506	-91
Weslaco HA	348	-\$52,500	-14	-\$26,192	7	-\$316,763	-61
Wichita Falls HA Program	989	-\$178,098	-39	-\$91,630	20	-\$1,108,178	-174
Willacy County HA	57	-\$8,628	-2	-\$4,488	1	-\$54,281	-10
Wills Point Sec. 8 Voucher	40	-\$6,759	-2	-\$3,505	1	-\$42,393	-7
Yoakum	16	-\$2,052	-1	-\$1,170	0	-\$14,148	-3
Zapata County HA	118	-\$16,189	-5	-\$8,077	2	-\$97,679	-21
Texas	141,405	-\$34,676,130	-5,545	-\$17,901,121	2,740	-\$216,497,258	-24,986

ⁱ The Administration has released its planned 2010 funding level for a “housing assistance” category that includes the voucher program, public housing and several other programs taken together, but has not released a funding level for the voucher program separately. We have estimated the 2010 voucher funding level by assuming that the Administration plans to cut all housing assistance programs proportionately. It is possible that the Administration actually intends to impose larger cuts in voucher assistance than we estimate and smaller cuts in other programs, or vice versa. See Appendix, “The Basis for the Estimate that the Budget Would Support 370,000 Fewer Vouchers in 2010,” <http://www.cbpp.org/2-18-05hous-app.htm>.

ⁱⁱ We assume that the amount of funding needed to support an agency’s vouchers in 2005 is equal to the agency’s average voucher cost in May-July 2004 plus the applicable HUD inflation adjustment, multiplied by the sum of the number of the agency’s vouchers in use in May-July 2004 and the number of new vouchers requiring funding that were issued to families losing public housing or other types of federal housing assistance. In 2006 and 2010 we assumed the agencies would need funding for approximately the same number of vouchers as in 2005, but that the average cost of these vouchers would rise based on a national average CBO voucher cost inflation estimate.

ⁱⁱⁱ All figures in the table assume that agencies will respond to funding shortfalls by reducing the number of families assisted. Agencies also have some limited flexibility to reduce the level of assistance provided per family, for example by shifting rental

burdens onto needy households or reducing the maximum amount of rent a voucher can cover (and therefore limiting the ability of voucher households to live outside high-poverty neighborhoods in areas that may be safer and with better schools and more job opportunities). If agencies took these measures, the reduction in the number of families assisted could be somewhat smaller.