



820 First Street, NE, Suite 510, Washington, DC 20002  
 Tel: 202-408-1080 Fax: 202-408-1056 center@cbpp.org www.cbpp.org

## ESTIMATED EFFECTS OF THE LOSS OF VOUCHER FUNDING ON THE ELDERLY, PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES AND WORKING FAMILIES IN 2005 AND 2010

### South Carolina

This table displays estimates of the effects of cuts in housing voucher assistance on the elderly, people with disabilities and working families under the actual 2005 voucher funding level and under the Administration's budget plan for 2010 based on the limited information available to the public. "Working families" are defined in this table as families obtaining at least some of their income from wages.

The table below can be read as follows: "In 2005, the shortfall in voucher funding needed to support its vouchers will cause [housing agency] to cut an estimated [Overall Number of Vouchers Cut] vouchers. As a result, [2005 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families assisted] elderly/disabled/working families will go without housing assistance. Information available on the Administration's budget plans through 2010 indicate the voucher funding shortfall will grow substantially, resulting in an estimated further cut in the number elderly/disabled/working families assisted by [2010 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted Below 2005 Level]."

HUD Code	Housing Agency Name	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	Overall Number of Vouchers Cut in 2005	2005 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted			2010 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted Below 2005 Level		
				Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families	Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families
SC007	Aiken HA	815	-32	-2	-5	-12	-10	-25	-56
SC037	Anderson HA	499	-19	-4	-4	-6	-18	-19	-29
SC026	Beaufort	554	-21	-1	-4	-12	-6	-18	-58
SC015	Bennettsville HA	64	-2	0	0	-1	0	-2	-5
SC056	Charleston County	1,079	-39	-5	-5	-17	-23	-27	-84
SC001	Charleston HA	1,274	-50	-11	-8	-24	-47	-34	-108

HUD Code	Housing Agency Name	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	Overall Number of Vouchers Cut in 2005	2005 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted			2010 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted Below 2005 Level		
				Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families	Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families
SC031	Cheraw HA	175	-7	-1	-2	-2	-6	-8	-9
SC020	Chester HA	269	-11	-2	-4	-3	-8	-16	-15
SC002	Columbia HA	2,994	-116	-8	-19	-51	-37	-85	-233
SC025	Conway HA	368	-15	-2	-4	-5	-10	-16	-19
SC005	Darlington HA	93	-4	0	-1	-2	0	-3	-7
SC027	Florence HA	615	-24	-3	-6	-9	-12	-26	-39
SC036	Fort Mill HA	154	-6	-2	-1	-2	-7	-5	-9
SC028	Georgetown HA	163	-6	0	-1	-3	-1	-5	-12
SC004	Greenville HA	2,426	-98	-15	-25	-30	-64	-106	-132
SC030	Greenwood HA	776	-32	-4	-6	-14	-16	-27	-61
SC016	Greer HA	280	-11	-6	-3	-2	-25	-12	-8
SC029	Hartsville HA	210	-8	-1	-2	-3	-5	-8	-13
SC018	Lake City HA	211	-7	0	-1	-4	-2	-4	-21
SC032	Lancaster HA	247	-10	-2	-2	-2	-10	-9	-8
SC021	Marion HA	153	-5	0	-1	-2	-2	-5	-13
SC059	Marlboro County HA	235	-9	-2	-4	-2	-8	-17	-7
SC033	Mullins HA	60	-2	0	-1	-1	-2	-2	-3
SC034	Myrtle Beach HA	674	-23	-4	-9	-8	-20	-45	-44
SC035	Newberry HA	235	-9	-1	-2	-3	-5	-8	-14
SC057	North Charleston HA	2,002	-76	-5	-12	-34	-25	-57	-161
SC022	Rock Hill HA	500	-18	-2	-3	-7	-10	-15	-37
SC008	SC Regional HA #1	1,372	-55	-13	-16	-12	-58	-70	-53
SC024	SC Regional HA #3	530	-21	-1	-4	-7	-6	-19	-30
SC911	SC State HFA	2,380	-96	-18	-39	-21	-79	-171	-92
SC003	Spartanburg HA	1,243	-49	-5	-10	-20	-24	-46	-90
SC023	Sumter HA	855	-34	-3	-5	-13	-15	-24	-59
SC019	Union, SC HA	274	-11	-1	-3	-3	-6	-13	-15
SC046	York HA	233	-9	-1	-2	-4	-7	-8	-16
<b>Total for South Carolina</b>		<b>24,012</b>	<b>-936</b>	<b>-127</b>	<b>-212</b>	<b>-341</b>	<b>-572</b>	<b>-955</b>	<b>-1,560</b>

The estimated numbers of each type of family affected are based on the current proportion of an agency's vouchers now received by families of that type, based on data in HUD's Public and Indian Housing Information (PIC) Center system as of January 21, 2005 (accessed at <http://pic.hud.gov/pic/RCRPublic/rcrmain.asp>). The estimates for 2010 assume that the demographic mix of families served in 2010 would be the same as in January 2005. The numbers of vouchers cut for each type of family do not add up to the total cut because some vouchers are received by families that do not have earnings and are not headed by a person who is elderly or disabled. For an explanation of how we derived these estimates, see the papers referenced at [www.cbpp.org/hous2-18-05hous-states.htm](http://www.cbpp.org/hous2-18-05hous-states.htm). All figures in the table assume that agencies will respond to funding shortfalls by reducing the number of families assisted. Agencies also have some limited flexibility to reduce the level of assistance provided per family, for example by shifting rental burdens onto needy households or reducing the maximum amount of rent a voucher can cover (and therefore limiting the ability of voucher households to live outside high-poverty neighborhoods in areas that may be safer and with better schools and more job opportunities). If agencies took these measures, the reduction in the number of families assisted could be somewhat smaller.