



820 First Street, NE, Suite 510, Washington, DC 20002
Tel: 202-408-1080 Fax: 202-408-1056 center@cbpp.org www.cbpp.org

February 17, 2005

LOCAL EFFECTS OF CUTS IN HOUSING VOUCHER ASSISTANCE IN 2005

Oregon

The table shows voucher estimates based in part on data that housing agencies reported to HUD covering the period through July 2004. Individual agencies will have more current data they can use to update the estimates. The funding figures in the column “Voucher renewal funding allocated by HUD” come directly from HUD data. The estimated annual per voucher subsidy cost (PUC) is derived by adjusting the agency’s voucher cost data in mid-2004 by the applicable HUD annual adjustment factor. Agencies’ actual voucher costs in 2005 may be more or less than the estimate.

The column “number of vouchers cut” is the number of vouchers the appropriations act directs HUD to fund — authorized vouchers in use in May – July 2004 plus any additional vouchers issued to replace other federal housing subsidies that need renewal funding in 2005 — that are unfunded due to the appropriations shortfall. If the estimated “number of vouchers cut” is less than the total number of expiring vouchers not funded, it is likely that the agency was not using all its vouchers in mid-2004. The number of vouchers in use in February 2005 that are not funded may be less than the estimates provided if an agency is using fewer vouchers than in mid-2004 or if the agency’s average per unit cost has declined substantially. The number of vouchers in use that are not funded may be more than the estimates provided if the agency’s per unit cost is currently greater than the estimate. For some agencies (marked with an asterisk) our estimates include adjustments made in light of HUD’s final funding figures, and may be more subject to error.

Agencies may be able to remedy a funding shortfall through use of reserve funds (if accessed quickly) or other policy changes, rather than by reducing the number of families receiving voucher assistance.

HUD Code	Housing Agency name	Authorized vouchers in January 2005	Number of expiring vouchers	Expiring vouchers eligible for funding under bill formula	Voucher renewal funding allocated by HUD (HAP)	Annual per voucher subsidy cost (PUC)	Number of expiring vouchers funded (based on PUC)	Total expiring vouchers not funded	Vouchers cut in 2005
OR034*	Central Oregon Regional HA*	1,003	1,003	1,003	\$5,032,010	\$5,231	962	-41	-41
OR001	Clackamas County	1,542	1,517	1,453	\$9,241,116	\$6,632	1,393	-124	-59
OR020	Coos Curry HA	725	725	631	\$2,390,021	\$3,948	605	-120	-26
OR003	Douglas County	651	651	638	\$2,492,187	\$4,073	612	-39	-26
OR006*	Housing & Community Svcs Agency of Lane County*	2,628	2,628	2,628	\$13,817,485	\$5,482	2,521	-107	-107
OR015	Jackson County	1,414	1,414	1,414	\$6,954,492	\$5,128	1,356	-58	-58
OR031	Josephine Housing Council	827	827	728	\$2,854,609	\$4,086	699	-128	-30
OR017	Klamath HA	734	734	731	\$2,770,752	\$3,951	701	-33	-30
OR005	Lincoln County	492	491	486	\$1,862,734	\$3,992	467	-24	-20
OR019	Linn-Benton HA	2,391	2,389	2,369	\$11,979,557	\$5,271	2,273	-116	-97
OR027	Malheur County HA	349	348	348	\$1,363,093	\$4,086	334	-14	-14
OR014	Marion County HA	1,168	1,168	1,126	\$4,386,204	\$4,061	1,080	-88	-46
OR025	MID Columbia HA	822	822	589	\$2,254,496	\$3,991	565	-257	-24
OR032	Northeast Oregon HA	710	710	690	\$2,775,320	\$4,196	661	-49	-28
OR028	Northwest Oregon HA	1,075	1,075	953	\$4,953,034	\$5,417	914	-161	-39
OR002	Portland	7,275	7,275	7,275	\$44,467,743	\$6,373	6,978	-297	-297
OR011	Salem HA	2,792	2,792	2,757	\$12,740,824	\$4,818	2,644	-148	-113
OR035	Siletz Indian Housing Authority	37	37	36	\$253,441	\$7,244	35	-2	-1
OR007	Umatilla County HA	329	329	327	\$1,170,608	\$3,736	313	-16	-13
OR008	Urban Renewal Agency of Polk	699	699	665	\$3,619,988	\$5,678	638	-61	-27
OR022	Washington County HA	2,569	2,568	2,422	\$16,456,577	\$7,084	2,323	-245	-99
OR016	Yamhill County	1,301	1,292	1,277	\$7,199,745	\$5,876	1,225	-67	-52
Total for Oregon		31,533	31,493	30,547	\$161,036,036		29,299	-2,194	-1,247