



CENTER ON BUDGET AND POLICY PRIORITIES

820 First Street, NE, Suite 510, Washington, DC 20002
Tel: 202-408-1080 Fax: 202-408-1056 center@cbpp.org www.cbpp.org

Revised March 8, 2005

ESTIMATED VOUCHER FUNDING SHORTFALLS IN 2005, 2006 AND 2010

Ohio

This table displays estimates of cuts in housing voucher assistance under the actual 2005 voucher funding level, the Administration's 2006 budget request, and an estimate of the Administration's budget plan for 2010 based on the limited information available to the public.ⁱ (Please see the endnotes for the methods used to develop these estimates.) The table below can be read as follows:

"In 2005, [housing agency] will receive [2005 funding shortfall] less fundingⁱⁱ than it needs to support its vouchers, causing an estimated [2005 cut in families assisted] low-income families to go without housing assistance.ⁱⁱⁱ Under the Administration's budget for 2006, the funding gap confronting the agency will drop to [2006 funding shortfall], allowing it to restore temporarily [2006 number of cut vouchers restored] of the vouchers that were cut in 2005. But estimates based on available information on the Administration's budget plans through 2010 show the shortfall widening to approximately [2010 funding shortfall], eliminating all of the vouchers restored in 2006 and cutting the number of families assisted by a further [2010 cut in families assisted below 2005 level]."

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
Adams Metro	288	-\$36,382	-10	-\$20,715	5	-\$250,534	-52
Akron Metropolitan HA	4,134	-\$1,051,338	-163	-\$544,236	81	-\$6,582,024	-730
Allen MHA	1,041	-\$187,600	-42	-\$95,569	21	-\$1,155,822	-183
Ashtabula MHA	534	-\$105,527	-19	-\$60,070	9	-\$726,484	-96
Athens MHA	698	-\$131,335	-28	-\$67,887	14	-\$821,035	-123
Belmont MHA	275	-\$39,648	-11	-\$20,012	6	-\$242,030	-48
Bowling Green HA	119	-\$19,194	-5	-\$9,576	2	-\$115,810	-21
Brown MHA	38	-\$4,828	-1	-\$2,720	1	-\$32,896	-7
Butler Metropolitan HA	960	-\$218,873	-39	-\$109,192	20	-\$1,320,580	-168
Cambridge MHA	695	-\$105,318	-27	-\$55,003	13	-\$665,211	-123
Chillicothe MHA	455	-\$80,037	-18	-\$40,620	9	-\$491,267	-80
Cincinnati Metropolitan HA	7,408	-\$1,717,065	-288	-\$900,863	141	-\$10,895,098	-1,312
Clermont Metropolitan	891	-\$172,517	-36	-\$86,879	18	-\$1,050,722	-156
Clinton MHA	335	-\$56,820	-14	-\$28,347	7	-\$342,826	-59
Columbiana MHA	562	-\$75,816	-20	-\$43,798	9	-\$529,700	-102
Columbus Metropolitan HA	10,194	-\$2,336,036	-393	-\$1,235,444	190	-\$14,941,533	-1,808

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
Coshocton MHA	253	-\$27,052	-9	-\$15,064	4	-\$182,181	-45
Crawford MHA	324	-\$52,147	-13	-\$26,015	7	-\$314,631	-57
Cuyahoga Metropolitan HA	13,571	-\$3,894,636	-552	-\$1,949,961	283	-\$23,582,949	-2,378
Darke County MHA	299	-\$42,543	-12	-\$21,224	6	-\$256,683	-52
Dayton Metropolitan HA	3,504	-\$635,269	-135	-\$335,095	66	-\$4,052,657	-621
Delaware MHA	438	-\$86,826	-18	-\$43,785	9	-\$529,540	-77
Erie MHA	1,007	-\$199,292	-38	-\$106,284	18	-\$1,285,401	-179
Fairfield MHA	899	-\$167,542	-37	-\$83,584	19	-\$1,010,872	-157
Fayette MHA	322	-\$39,872	-8	-\$32,158	2	-\$388,924	-61
Gallia MHA	184	-\$18,600	-7	-\$9,985	3	-\$120,756	-33
Geauga MHA	171	-\$32,070	-7	-\$15,999	4	-\$193,498	-30
Greene MHA	1,390	-\$268,890	-52	-\$146,090	25	-\$1,766,821	-248
Hamilton County PHA	2,901	-\$760,468	-118	-\$379,385	61	-\$4,588,309	-508
Hancock MHA	798	-\$91,004	-27	-\$55,506	11	-\$671,295	-146
Hardin MHA	3	-\$447	0	-\$223	0	-\$2,695	-1
Harrison MHA	265	-\$31,312	-10	-\$16,449	5	-\$198,930	-47
Henry MHA	227	-\$29,138	-9	-\$14,953	5	-\$180,847	-40
Highland MHA	106	-\$14,465	-4	-\$7,217	2	-\$87,277	-19
Hocking MHA	306	-\$37,106	-11	-\$20,599	5	-\$249,121	-55
Huron MHA	572	-\$79,151	-21	-\$43,886	10	-\$530,762	-102
Ironton MHA	84	-\$9,874	-3	-\$5,253	2	-\$63,534	-15
Jackson County HA	208	-\$23,184	-8	-\$11,798	4	-\$142,685	-37
Jefferson Metropolitan HA	818	-\$118,835	-32	-\$61,336	16	-\$741,796	-144
Knox MHA	652	-\$97,979	-25	-\$52,823	12	-\$638,844	-116
Lake MHA	1,358	-\$364,677	-54	-\$186,981	27	-\$2,261,363	-239
Licking Metropolitan	995	-\$187,445	-40	-\$95,661	20	-\$1,156,931	-175
Logan County MHA	296	-\$46,489	-11	-\$24,903	5	-\$301,181	-53
Lorain Metropolitan HA	2,695	-\$679,637	-108	-\$346,607	54	-\$4,191,892	-474
Lucas Metropolitan HA	3,680	-\$713,066	-144	-\$370,036	71	-\$4,475,240	-650
Mansfield MHA	1,710	-\$242,398	-62	-\$135,244	29	-\$1,635,647	-307
Marietta	356	-\$48,980	-14	-\$25,115	7	-\$303,747	-63
Marion MHA	624	-\$82,078	-21	-\$50,550	8	-\$611,354	-114
Medina MHA	530	-\$108,757	-22	-\$54,257	11	-\$656,191	-93
Meigs HA	125	-\$18,888	-5	-\$9,898	2	-\$119,707	-22
Miami MHA	975	-\$165,772	-40	-\$82,702	20	-\$1,000,202	-171
Middletown Public HA	1,554	-\$345,662	-63	-\$172,445	33	-\$2,085,562	-272
Monroe MHA	179	-\$21,976	-7	-\$11,214	4	-\$135,622	-32
Morgan MHA	140	-\$18,255	-5	-\$9,491	3	-\$114,783	-25

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
Morrow MHA	102	-\$14,755	-4	-\$7,361	2	-\$89,024	-18
Noble MHA	92	-\$13,017	-4	-\$6,494	2	-\$78,537	-16
Parma Public HA	742	-\$172,758	-29	-\$88,532	15	-\$1,070,715	-131
Perry MHA	218	-\$28,698	-9	-\$14,539	4	-\$175,838	-38
Pickaway MHA	635	-\$112,254	-26	-\$56,536	13	-\$683,751	-111
Pike MHA	525	-\$75,620	-20	-\$39,533	10	-\$478,113	-93
Portage MHA	1,655	-\$299,147	-49	-\$204,463	16	-\$2,472,791	-308
Portsmouth Metropolitan HA	616	-\$85,489	-25	-\$43,140	13	-\$521,735	-108
Preble County MHA	52	-\$6,815	-2	-\$3,683	1	-\$44,548	-9
Sandusky MHA	334	-\$40,729	-11	-\$26,270	4	-\$317,715	-62
Seneca MHA	203	-\$25,461	-8	-\$13,078	4	-\$158,163	-36
Shelby MHA	219	-\$30,337	-9	-\$15,135	5	-\$183,041	-38
Springfield MHA	1,210	-\$191,925	-47	-\$100,978	23	-\$1,221,232	-214
Stark Metropolitan	1,502	-\$259,802	-60	-\$132,163	30	-\$1,598,383	-264
Trumbull Metropolitan HA	916	-\$150,680	-35	-\$80,629	17	-\$975,132	-163
Tuscarawas MHA	574	-\$75,631	-23	-\$37,731	12	-\$456,323	-100
Vinton MHA	196	-\$24,087	-8	-\$12,017	4	-\$145,332	-34
Warren MHA	448	-\$85,172	-18	-\$42,572	9	-\$514,864	-78
Wayne MHA	923	-\$128,049	-35	-\$69,700	16	-\$842,960	-165
Williams MHA	161	-\$16,442	-6	-\$8,557	3	-\$103,488	-28
Youngstown Metro	2,113	-\$422,697	-86	-\$210,877	44	-\$2,550,358	-370
Zanesville Metropolitan HA	909	-\$135,735	-37	-\$67,716	19	-\$818,962	-159
Ohio	87,491	-\$18,535,416	-3,420	-\$9,632,414	1,685	-\$116,495,008	-15,470

ⁱ The Administration has released its planned 2010 funding level for a “housing assistance” category that includes the voucher program, public housing and several other programs taken together, but has not released a funding level for the voucher program separately. We have estimated the 2010 voucher funding level by assuming that the Administration plans to cut all housing assistance programs proportionately. It is possible that the Administration actually intends to impose larger cuts in voucher assistance than we estimate and smaller cuts in other programs, or vice versa. See Appendix, “The Basis for the Estimate that the Budget Would Support 370,000 Fewer Vouchers in 2010,” <http://www.cbpp.org/2-18-05hous-app.htm>.

ⁱⁱ We assume that the amount of funding needed to support an agency’s vouchers in 2005 is equal to the agency’s average voucher cost in May-July 2004 plus the applicable HUD inflation adjustment, multiplied by the sum of the number of the agency’s vouchers in use in May-July 2004 and the number of new vouchers requiring funding that were issued to families losing public housing or other types of federal housing assistance. In 2006 and 2010 we assumed the agencies would need funding for approximately the same number of vouchers as in 2005, but that the average cost of these vouchers would rise based on a national average CBO voucher cost inflation estimate.

ⁱⁱⁱ All figures in the table assume that agencies will respond to funding shortfalls by reducing the number of families assisted. Agencies also have some limited flexibility to reduce the level of assistance provided per family, for example by shifting rental burdens onto needy households or reducing the maximum amount of rent a voucher can cover (and therefore limiting the ability of voucher households to live outside high-poverty neighborhoods in areas that may be safer and with better schools and more job opportunities). If agencies took these measures, the reduction in the number of families assisted could be somewhat smaller.