

820 First Street, NE, Suite 510, Washington, DC 20002 Tel: 202-408-1080 Fax: 202-408-1056 center@cbpp.org www.cbpp.org

ESTIMATED EFFECTS OF THE LOSS OF VOUCHER FUNDING ON THE ELDERLY, PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES AND WORKING FAMILIES IN 2005 AND 2010

New Mexico

This table displays estimates of the effects of cuts in housing voucher assistance on the elderly, people with disabilities and working families under the actual 2005 voucher funding level and under the Administration's budget plan for 2010 based on the limited information available to the public. "Working families" are defined in this table as families obtaining at least some of their income from wages.

The table below can be read as follows: "In 2005, the shortfall in voucher funding needed to support its vouchers will cause **[housing agency]** to cut an estimated **[Overall Number of Vouchers Cut]** vouchers. As a result, **[2005 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families assisted]** elderly/disabled/working families will go without housing assistance. Information available on the Administration's budget plans through 2010 indicate the voucher funding shortfall will grow substantially, resulting in an estimated further cut in the number elderly/disabled/working families assisted by **[2010 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted Below 2005 Level]**."

HUD Code	Housing Agency Name	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	Overall Number of Vouchers Cut in 2005	2005 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted			2010 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted Below 2005 Level		
				Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families	Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families
NM004	Alamogordo Housing	58	-2	0	-1	-1	-1	-4	-5
NM001	Albuquerque HA	3,901	-154	-28	-46	-57	-124	-207	-255
NM057	Bernalillo Co.	1,908	-77	-10	-23	-28	-44	-100	-120
NM035	Bernalillo HA	75	-3	-1	-1	-1	-3	-2	-5
NM065	Clovis HA	608	-22	-2	-6	-8	-12	-31	-40
NM071	Cuba HA	58	-2	-1	0	-1	-5	0	-5

		Current Number of	Overall Number of Vouchers Cut in 2005	2005 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted			2010 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted Below 2005 Level		
HUD Code	Housing Agency Name	Authorized Vouchers		Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families	Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families
NM062	Dona Ana County HA	605	-24	-3	-4	-10	-13	-16	-41
	Eastern Plains Council of								
NM064	Gov/Region IV HA	557	-22	-4	-5	-8	-16	-21	-35
NM006	Gallup HA	38	-1	0	0	-1	0	0	-7
NM030	Grants HA	98	-4	0	0	-2	-1	-2	-8
NM003	Las Cruces	794	-32	-7	-5	-11	-29	-24	-49
NM034	Lordsburg PHA	10	0	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A
NM070	Los Lunas, Village	122	-5	-1	-2	-1	-4	-8	-6
NM010	Mexico	204	-7	-1	-1	-2	-3	-6	-12
NM042	Mora County HA	66	-2	0	-1	0	-1	-4	-2
NM069	Mountainair HA	136	-5	-1	-2	-1	-4	-8	-5
NM076	New Mexico Region II HA	104	-4	-1	-1	-2	-2	-4	-8
NM067	Region V HA	901	-36	-5	-6	-12	-22	-27	-54
NM063	Region VI HA	1,227	-50	-6	-12	-18	-26	-52	-77
NM039	Rio Arriba County HA	25	-1	0	0	0	-1	-1	-1
NM066	San Juan County HA	278	-10	-2	-3	-4	-11	-16	-19
NM061	San Miguel County	112	-5	-1	-1	-2	-4	-6	-7
NM009	Santa Fe Civic HA	514	-20	-3	-6	-8	-14	-26	-34
NM050	Santa Fe County Housing	241	-10	-1	-3	-4	-3	-11	-19
NM077	Socorro County HA	318	-11	-1	-2	-5	-3	-11	-24
NM038	Taos County HA	448	-18	-3	-4	-7	-12	-19	-30
NM020	Truth or Consequences HA	190	-7	-1	-2	-2	-4	-11	-10
NM033	Tucumcari HA	140	-6	-1	-1	-2	-4	-6	-10
	Total for New Mexico	13,736	-540	-81	-139	-199	-366	-622	-890

The estimated numbers of each type of family affected are based on the current proportion of an agency's vouchers now received by families of that type, based on data in HUD's Public and Indian Housing Information (PIC) Center system as of January 21, 2005 (accessed at

http://pic.hud.gov/pic/RCRPublic/rcrmain.asp). The estimates for 2010 assume that the demographic mix of families served in 2010 would be the same as in January 2005. The numbers of vouchers cut for each type of family do not add up to the total cut because some vouchers are received by families that do not

have earnings and are not headed by a person who is elderly or disabled. For an explanation of how we derived these estimates, see the papers referenced at <u>www.cbpp.org/hous2-18-05hous-states.htm</u> All figures in the table assume that agencies will respond to funding shortfalls by reducing the number of families assisted. Agencies also have some limited flexibility to reduce the level of assistance provided per family, for example by shifting rental burdens onto needy households or reducing the maximum amount of rent a voucher can cover (and therefore limiting the ability of voucher households to live outside high-poverty neighborhoods in areas that may be safer and with better schools and more job opportunities). If agencies took these measures, the reduction in the number of families assisted could be somewhat smaller.