



820 First Street, NE, Suite 510, Washington, DC 20002  
 Tel: 202-408-1080 Fax: 202-408-1056 center@cbpp.org www.cbpp.org

## ESTIMATED EFFECTS OF THE LOSS OF VOUCHER FUNDING ON THE ELDERLY, PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES AND WORKING FAMILIES IN 2005 AND 2010

### North Carolina

This table displays estimates of the effects of cuts in housing voucher assistance on the elderly, people with disabilities and working families under the actual 2005 voucher funding level and under the Administration's budget plan for 2010 based on the limited information available to the public. "Working families" are defined in this table as families obtaining at least some of their income from wages.

The table below can be read as follows: "In 2005, the shortfall in voucher funding needed to support its vouchers will cause [housing agency] to cut an estimated [Overall Number of Vouchers Cut] vouchers. As a result, [2005 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families assisted] elderly/disabled/working families will go without housing assistance. Information available on the Administration's budget plans through 2010 indicate the voucher funding shortfall will grow substantially, resulting in an estimated further cut in the number elderly/disabled/working families assisted by [2010 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted Below 2005 Level]."

HUD Code	Housing Agency Name	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	Overall Number of Vouchers Cut in 2005	2005 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted			2010 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted Below 2005 Level		
				Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families	Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families
NC075	Albemarle Dept of Public Housing	333	-14	-2	-3	-6	-9	-15	-24
NC081	Asheboro HA	783	-32	-6	-8	-8	-26	-36	-36
NC007	Asheville	1,355	-53	-11	-18	-14	-48	-84	-62
NC089	Bladenboro HA	249	-10	-2	-3	-3	-8	-13	-11
NC147	Brunswick County	472	-18	-3	-6	-6	-15	-26	-26
NC003	Charlotte	3,908	-160	-14	-29	-53	-62	-123	-226

HUD Code	Housing Agency Name	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	Overall Number of Vouchers Cut in 2005	2005 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted			2010 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted Below 2005 Level		
				Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families	Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families
NC120	Chatham County HA	410	-17	-3	-4	-6	-13	-19	-27
NC139	Choanoke Area Development Assn., Inc.	472	-19	-5	-5	-5	-23	-22	-21
NC141	Coastal Community Action, Inc.	444	-18	-5	-6	-6	-21	-27	-25
NC138	Columbus County PHA	456	-18	-6	-5	-3	-28	-22	-14
NC008	Concord Housing Department	629	-26	-3	-5	-11	-14	-22	-46
NC013	Durham	2,684	-104	-17	-40	-33	-76	-181	-152
NC134	East Spencer HA	254	-10	-2	-3	-4	-10	-13	-15
NC144	Eastern Carolina Human Services, Inc.	737	-26	-3	-8	-9	-17	-39	-45
NC145	Economic Improvement Council, Inc.	1,665	-68	-10	-13	-29	-44	-55	-125
NC009	Fayetteville Metropolitan HA	1,499	-61	-8	-14	-20	-34	-60	-87
NC150	Four County Community Services, Inc.	437	-17	-2	-4	-5	-10	-17	-22
NC146	Four Square Community Action, Inc.	469	-19	-5	-7	-5	-23	-31	-20
NC155	Franklin Vance Warren Opp, Inc.	518	-20	-5	-8	-6	-22	-36	-27
NC057	Gastonia HA	1,282	-52	-9	-11	-19	-38	-47	-83
NC015	Goldsboro HA	237	-9	-2	-3	-2	-9	-14	-10
NC059	Graham HA	1,005	-41	-7	-10	-13	-30	-42	-56
NC163	Greene County Public Housing Agency	286	-12	-2	-2	-5	-9	-8	-21
NC011	Greensboro	2,598	-97	-12	-28	-39	-56	-134	-185
NC022	Greenville HA	652	-25	-3	-6	-10	-14	-25	-45
NC158	Harnett County Housing	277	-11	-3	-6	-3	-11	-25	-14
NC056	Hickory HA	449	-18	-3	-5	-6	-13	-20	-26
NC006	Highpoint	1,303	-53	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A
NC161	Isothermal Planning & Development Commission	1,426	-58	-9	-16	-15	-40	-67	-62
NC154	Jackson County Public Housing Agency	324	-13	-2	-4	-4	-10	-19	-17

HUD Code	Housing Agency Name	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	Overall Number of Vouchers Cut in 2005	2005 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted			2010 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted Below 2005 Level		
				Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families	Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families
NC164	Johnston County Housing Assistance Payments Program	619	-25	-7	-9	-6	-33	-38	-26
NC004	Kinston Housing Authority	757	-28	-5	-8	-8	-24	-38	-39
NC018	Laurinburg	530	-22	-1	-5	-7	-6	-20	-30
NC039	Lexington HA	512	-21	-5	-7	-5	-22	-31	-22
NC070	Lincolnton HA	275	-11	-2	-3	-4	-10	-16	-16
NC014	Lumberton HA	596	-24	-4	-6	-7	-18	-26	-28
NC165	Macon Program for Progress, Inc.	224	-9	-1	-3	-4	-5	-12	-19
NC175	Madison County HA	191	-8	-2	-3	-1	-7	-12	-6
NC087	Mid-East Regional HA	537	-22	-2	-5	-7	-8	-23	-28
NC065	Monroe HA	310	-13	-2	-2	-4	-7	-10	-19
NC152	Mountain Projects, Inc.	684	-28	-6	-8	-11	-24	-34	-46
NC137	Nash-Edgecombe Eco., Dev., Inc.	858	-20	-2	-4	-7	-20	-35	-61
NC901	NC Dept of Admin, Commission of Indian Affairs	936	-37	-5	-11	-10	-25	-48	-43
NC166	Northwest Piedmont Council of Governments	842	-33	-10	-12	-7	-45	-55	-31
NC167	Northwestern Regional HA	1,945	-78	-12	-24	-24	-51	-106	-102
NC104	Orange County	623	-25	-5	-9	-3	-21	-39	-15
NC173	Pender Count Housing Department	217	-8	-1	-2	-3	-6	-8	-14
NC002	Raleigh Housing	3,531	-142	-11	-24	-70	-50	-105	-304
NC118	Roanoke Chowan Regional HA	871	-33	-8	-7	-11	-36	-33	-51
NC025	Rockingham	55	-2	-1	-1	-1	-2	-3	-3
NC019	Rocky Mount HA	265	-10	-1	-2	-4	-4	-7	-20
NC102	Rowan County HA	554	-21	-4	-4	-7	-18	-20	-35
NC016	Salisbury HA	49	-2	0	0	0	-1	-1	-2
NC149	Sandhills Community Action Program, Inc.	564	-23	-4	-5	-9	-16	-20	-39
NC035	Sanford HA	366	-14	-2	-3	-5	-10	-16	-23

HUD Code	Housing Agency Name	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	Overall Number of Vouchers Cut in 2005	2005 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted			2010 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted Below 2005 Level		
				Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families	Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families
NC160	Section (8) Housing	267	-11	-2	-2	-3	-8	-10	-12
NC072	Statesville HA	704	-29	-3	-3	-12	-14	-15	-51
NC098	The New Reidsville HA	220	-9	-2	-2	-3	-8	-10	-13
NC071	Thomasville HA	100	-4	0	-1	-2	-1	-5	-9
NC043	Troy	25	-1	0	-1	0	-1	-3	0
NC151	Twin Rivers Opportunities, Inc.	880	-35	-4	-8	-12	-17	-34	-53
NC050	Wadesboro HA	131	-5	-1	-1	-1	-8	-5	-6
NC021	Wake Count HA	193	-8	-1	-4	-2	-3	-18	-8
NC032	Washington HA	370	-14	-2	-3	-5	-8	-14	-24
NC140	Western Carolina Community Action, Inc.	646	-26	-4	-8	-8	-18	-36	-36
NC159	Western Piedmont Council of Governments	1,017	-40	-9	-13	-8	-39	-57	-38
NC077	Williamston HA	157	-5	-1	-1	-3	-7	-5	-15
NC001	Wilmington	1,722	-66	-7	-21	-26	-31	-98	-122
NC020	Wilson HA	550	-22	-5	-5	-7	-20	-24	-33
NC012	Winston-Salem	4,100	-167	-13	-37	-59	-57	-158	-251
<b>Total for North Carolina</b>		<b>55,606</b>	<b>-2,199</b>	<b>-322</b>	<b>-557</b>	<b>-721</b>	<b>-1,439</b>	<b>-2,491</b>	<b>-3,224</b>

The estimated numbers of each type of family affected are based on the current proportion of an agency's vouchers now received by families of that type, based on data in HUD's Public and Indian Housing Information (PIC) Center system as of January 21, 2005 (accessed at <http://pic.hud.gov/pic/RCRPublic/rcrmain.asp>). The estimates for 2010 assume that the demographic mix of families served in 2010 would be the same as in January 2005. The numbers of vouchers cut for each type of family do not add up to the total cut because some vouchers are received by families that do not have earnings and are not headed by a person who is elderly or disabled. For an explanation of how we derived these estimates, see the papers referenced at [www.cbpp.org/hous2-18-05hous-states.htm](http://www.cbpp.org/hous2-18-05hous-states.htm). All figures in the table assume that agencies will respond to funding shortfalls by reducing the number of families assisted. Agencies also have some limited flexibility to reduce the level of assistance provided per family, for example by shifting rental burdens onto needy households or reducing the maximum amount of rent a voucher can cover (and therefore limiting the ability of voucher households to live outside high-poverty

neighborhoods in areas that may be safer and with better schools and more job opportunities). If agencies took these measures, the reduction in the number of families assisted could be somewhat smaller.