



CENTER ON BUDGET AND POLICY PRIORITIES

820 First Street, NE, Suite 510, Washington, DC 20002
Tel: 202-408-1080 Fax: 202-408-1056 center@cbpp.org www.cbpp.org

Revised March 8, 2005

ESTIMATED VOUCHER FUNDING SHORTFALLS IN 2005, 2006 AND 2010

North Carolina

This table displays estimates of cuts in housing voucher assistance under the actual 2005 voucher funding level, the Administration's 2006 budget request, and an estimate of the Administration's budget plan for 2010 based on the limited information available to the public.ⁱ (Please see the endnotes for the methods used to develop these estimates.) The table below can be read as follows:

"In 2005, [housing agency] will receive [2005 funding shortfall] less fundingⁱⁱ than it needs to support its vouchers, causing an estimated [2005 cut in families assisted] low-income families to go without housing assistance.ⁱⁱⁱ Under the Administration's budget for 2006, the funding gap confronting the agency will drop to [2006 funding shortfall], allowing it to restore temporarily [2006 number of cut vouchers restored] of the vouchers that were cut in 2005. But estimates based on available information on the Administration's budget plans through 2010 show the shortfall widening to approximately [2010 funding shortfall], eliminating all of the vouchers restored in 2006 and cutting the number of families assisted by a further [2010 cut in families assisted below 2005 level]."

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
Albemarle Dept of Public Housing	333	-\$49,762	-14	-\$24,826	7	-\$300,242	-58
Asheboro HA	783	-\$153,348	-32	-\$76,634	16	-\$926,815	-137
Asheville	1,355	-\$246,128	-53	-\$128,744	26	-\$1,557,035	-240
Bladenboro HA	249	-\$29,057	-10	-\$14,496	5	-\$175,318	-44
Brunswick County	472	-\$88,529	-18	-\$46,810	9	-\$566,124	-84
Charlotte	3,908	-\$1,302,448	-160	-\$649,770	82	-\$7,858,363	-684
Chatham County HA	410	-\$101,937	-17	-\$50,855	9	-\$615,042	-72
Choanoke Area Development Assn., Inc.	472	-\$60,207	-19	-\$30,036	10	-\$363,259	-83
Coastal Community Action, Inc.	444	-\$66,661	-18	-\$33,256	9	-\$402,200	-78
Columbus County PHA	456	-\$61,853	-18	-\$31,550	9	-\$381,563	-80
Concord Housing Department	629	-\$139,129	-26	-\$69,409	13	-\$839,439	-110
Durham	2,684	-\$741,668	-104	-\$388,334	51	-\$4,696,533	-475
East Spencer HA	254	-\$49,560	-10	-\$24,725	5	-\$299,021	-44
Eastern Carolina Human Services, Inc.	737	-\$109,549	-26	-\$62,837	12	-\$759,956	-133

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
Economic Improvement Council, Inc.	1,665	-\$244,669	-68	-\$122,062	35	-\$1,476,220	-291
Fayetteville Metropolitan HA	1,499	-\$336,718	-61	-\$168,885	31	-\$2,042,510	-263
Four County Community Services, Inc.	437	-\$67,739	-17	-\$34,830	9	-\$421,235	-77
Four Square Community Action, Inc.	469	-\$54,689	-19	-\$27,283	10	-\$329,966	-82
Franklin Vance Warren Opp, Inc.	518	-\$104,472	-20	-\$54,844	10	-\$663,292	-92
Gastonia HA	1,282	-\$258,928	-52	-\$129,175	27	-\$1,562,249	-224
Goldsboro HA	237	-\$41,495	-9	-\$21,677	5	-\$262,160	-42
Graham HA	1,005	-\$215,017	-41	-\$108,130	21	-\$1,307,732	-176
Greene County Public Housing Agency	286	-\$44,610	-12	-\$22,359	6	-\$270,414	-50
Greensboro	2,598	-\$578,089	-97	-\$314,498	46	-\$3,803,562	-464
Greenville HA	652	-\$103,181	-25	-\$54,044	12	-\$653,614	-115
Harnett County Housing	277	-\$32,564	-11	-\$16,614	6	-\$200,927	-49
Hickory HA	449	-\$82,146	-18	-\$40,981	9	-\$495,631	-79
Highpoint	1,303	-\$252,208	-53	-\$126,384	27	-\$1,528,502	-228
Isothermal Planning & Development Commission	1,426	-\$231,147	-58	-\$115,315	30	-\$1,394,631	-250
Jackson County Public Housing Agency	324	-\$52,967	-13	-\$27,324	6	-\$330,457	-57
Johnston County Housing Assistance Payments Program	619	-\$142,573	-25	-\$72,813	12	-\$880,609	-109
Kinston Housing Authority	757	-\$94,526	-28	-\$51,787	13	-\$626,318	-135
Laurinburg	530	-\$87,420	-22	-\$43,750	11	-\$529,118	-93
Lexington HA	512	-\$88,989	-21	-\$44,395	11	-\$536,917	-90
Lincolnton HA	275	-\$58,446	-11	-\$30,107	5	-\$364,110	-48
Lumberton HA	596	-\$89,983	-24	-\$44,891	13	-\$542,916	-104
Macon Program for Progress, Inc.	224	-\$25,427	-9	-\$13,456	4	-\$162,732	-40
Madison County HA	191	-\$29,859	-8	-\$14,896	4	-\$180,155	-33
Mid- East Regional HA	537	-\$115,005	-22	-\$57,374	11	-\$693,884	-94
Monroe HA	310	-\$74,336	-13	-\$37,085	7	-\$448,508	-54
Mountain Projects, Inc.	684	-\$112,196	-28	-\$56,082	14	-\$678,256	-120
Nash-Edgecombe Eco., Dev., Inc.	858	-\$83,313	-20	-\$72,878	3	-\$881,391	-165
NC Dept of Admin, Commission of Indian Affairs	936	-\$127,182	-37	-\$66,256	18	-\$801,302	-165
Northwest Piedmont Council of Governments	842	-\$134,183	-33	-\$69,047	17	-\$835,056	-148
Northwestern Regional HA	1,945	-\$310,253	-78	-\$156,603	40	-\$1,893,964	-341
Orange County	623	-\$174,672	-25	-\$88,950	13	-\$1,075,770	-110
Pender Count Housing Department	217	-\$38,493	-8	-\$20,698	4	-\$250,323	-39
Raleigh Housing	3,531	-\$1,139,053	-142	-\$577,359	72	-\$6,982,613	-620

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
Roanoke Chowan Regional HA	871	-\$125,956	-33	-\$68,385	15	-\$827,056	-155
Rockingham	55	-\$7,661	-2	-\$3,822	1	-\$46,226	-10
Rocky Mount HA	265	-\$48,106	-10	-\$25,137	5	-\$304,010	-47
Rowan County HA	554	-\$133,609	-21	-\$73,139	10	-\$884,553	-99
Salisbury HA	49	-\$12,982	-2	-\$6,476	1	-\$78,325	-9
Sandhills Community Action Program, Inc.	564	-\$94,887	-23	-\$48,134	11	-\$582,132	-99
Sanford HA	366	-\$55,459	-14	-\$29,285	7	-\$354,176	-65
Section (8) Housing	267	-\$37,102	-11	-\$18,626	6	-\$225,258	-47
Statesville HA	704	-\$171,051	-29	-\$85,334	15	-\$1,032,040	-123
The New Reidsville HA	220	-\$29,355	-9	-\$15,246	4	-\$184,380	-39
Thomasville HA	100	-\$16,708	-4	-\$9,579	2	-\$115,845	-18
Troy	25	-\$2,728	-1	-\$1,380	1	-\$16,685	-4
Twin Rivers Opportunities, Inc.	880	-\$144,024	-35	-\$73,609	18	-\$890,228	-155
Wadesboro HA	131	-\$16,879	-5	-\$9,805	2	-\$118,581	-24
Wake Count HA	193	-\$54,036	-8	-\$27,431	4	-\$331,758	-34
Washington HA	370	-\$61,551	-14	-\$33,092	7	-\$400,213	-66
Western Carolina Community Action, Inc.	646	-\$105,259	-26	-\$54,177	13	-\$655,220	-114
Western Piedmont Council of Governments	1,017	-\$163,597	-40	-\$84,238	20	-\$1,018,784	-179
Williamston HA	157	-\$17,434	-5	-\$10,796	2	-\$130,572	-29
Wilmington	1,722	-\$335,656	-66	-\$177,743	32	-\$2,149,633	-306
Wilson HA	550	-\$81,470	-22	-\$41,940	11	-\$507,222	-97
Winston-Salem	4,100	-\$990,676	-167	-\$494,232	86	-\$5,977,276	-718
North Carolina	55,606	-\$11,532,570	-2,199	-\$5,926,721	1,096	-\$71,678,125	-9,807

ⁱ The Administration has released its planned 2010 funding level for a “housing assistance” category that includes the voucher program, public housing and several other programs taken together, but has not released a funding level for the voucher program separately. We have estimated the 2010 voucher funding level by assuming that the Administration plans to cut all housing assistance programs proportionately. It is possible that the Administration actually intends to impose larger cuts in voucher assistance than we estimate and smaller cuts in other programs, or vice versa. See Appendix, “The Basis for the Estimate that the Budget Would Support 370,000 Fewer Vouchers in 2010,” <http://www.cbpp.org/2-18-05hous-app.htm>.

ⁱⁱ We assume that the amount of funding needed to support an agency’s vouchers in 2005 is equal to the agency’s average voucher cost in May-July 2004 plus the applicable HUD inflation adjustment, multiplied by the sum of the number of the agency’s vouchers in use in May-July 2004 and the number of new vouchers requiring funding that were issued to families losing public housing or other types of federal housing assistance. In 2006 and 2010 we assumed the agencies would need funding for approximately the same number of vouchers as in 2005, but that the average cost of these vouchers would rise based on a national average CBO voucher cost inflation estimate.

ⁱⁱⁱ All figures in the table assume that agencies will respond to funding shortfalls by reducing the number of families assisted. Agencies also have some limited flexibility to reduce the level of assistance provided per family, for example by shifting rental burdens onto needy households or reducing the maximum amount of rent a voucher can cover (and therefore limiting the ability of voucher households to live outside high-poverty neighborhoods in areas that may be safer and with better schools and more job opportunities). If agencies took these measures, the reduction in the number of families assisted could be somewhat smaller.