



# CENTER ON BUDGET AND POLICY PRIORITIES

820 First Street, NE, Suite 510, Washington, DC 20002  
Tel: 202-408-1080 Fax: 202-408-1056 center@cbpp.org www.cbpp.org

Revised March 8, 2005

## ESTIMATED VOUCHER FUNDING SHORTFALLS IN 2005, 2006 AND 2010

### Louisiana

This table displays estimates of cuts in housing voucher assistance under the actual 2005 voucher funding level, the Administration's 2006 budget request, and an estimate of the Administration's budget plan for 2010 based on the limited information available to the public.<sup>i</sup> (Please see the endnotes for the methods used to develop these estimates.) The table below can be read as follows:

"In 2005, [housing agency] will receive [2005 funding shortfall] less funding<sup>ii</sup> than it needs to support its vouchers, causing an estimated [2005 cut in families assisted] low-income families to go without housing assistance.<sup>iii</sup> Under the Administration's budget for 2006, the funding gap confronting the agency will drop to [2006 funding shortfall], allowing it to restore temporarily [2006 number of cut vouchers restored] of the vouchers that were cut in 2005. But estimates based on available information on the Administration's budget plans through 2010 show the shortfall widening to approximately [2010 funding shortfall], eliminating all of the vouchers restored in 2006 and cutting the number of families assisted by a further [2010 cut in families assisted below 2005 level]."

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
Alexandria	826	-\$138,929	-33	-\$70,101	17	-\$847,807	-145
Ascension Parish	87	-\$16,050	-3	-\$8,326	2	-\$100,697	-15
Assumption Parish HA	108	-\$13,955	-4	-\$8,287	2	-\$100,220	-20
Avoyelles Parish Police Jury	119	-\$15,549	-5	-\$7,823	2	-\$94,610	-21
Baton Rouge	267	-\$61,429	-11	-\$30,646	6	-\$370,635	-47
Bienville Parish Policy Jury	81	-\$10,297	-3	-\$5,915	1	-\$71,532	-15
Bogalusa HA	98	-\$15,057	-4	-\$8,060	2	-\$97,477	-17
Bossier Parish Section 8 Housing	641	-\$98,758	-26	-\$49,970	13	-\$604,336	-113
Broussard	646	-\$75,277	-26	-\$38,025	13	-\$459,872	-113
Caddo Parish Commission	141	-\$16,600	-6	-\$8,564	3	-\$103,579	-25
Calcasieu	536	-\$101,453	-20	-\$54,106	10	-\$654,357	-95
Caldwell Parish HA	114	-\$15,164	-4	-\$7,864	2	-\$95,108	-20
Catahoula Parish	66	-\$7,669	-3	-\$3,905	1	-\$47,224	-12
Church Point HA	88	-\$8,937	-4	-\$4,510	2	-\$54,542	-15
Claiborne Parish	84	-\$10,571	-3	-\$5,359	2	-\$64,810	-15
Colfax HA	50	-\$5,735	-2	-\$3,077	1	-\$37,215	-9

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
Concordia Parish Policy Jury	51	-\$4,974	-2	-\$2,482	1	-\$30,012	-9
Covington	109	-\$22,783	-4	-\$11,366	2	-\$137,464	-19
Crowley HA	365	-\$50,326	-14	-\$26,874	7	-\$325,016	-65
Delhi HA	40	-\$3,138	-1	-\$1,772	1	-\$21,434	-7
Denham Springs HA	120	-\$16,310	-5	-\$8,417	2	-\$101,800	-21
DeRidder Community Development	35	-\$4,686	-1	-\$2,344	1	-\$28,351	-6
Desoto Parish Policy Jury	212	-\$28,602	-9	-\$14,417	4	-\$174,359	-37
Donaldsonville	95	-\$15,531	-4	-\$8,396	2	-\$101,544	-17
East Baton Rouge Parish	2,377	-\$537,244	-95	-\$273,663	48	-\$3,309,703	-418
East Carroll Parish Police Jury	142	-\$23,717	-6	-\$11,916	3	-\$144,114	-25
Evangeline Parish Police Jury	120	-\$15,388	-5	-\$7,874	2	-\$95,223	-21
Franklin Parish Policy Jury	13	-\$1,782	0	-\$963	0	-\$11,649	-2
Grambling HA	150	-\$17,503	-5	-\$11,591	2	-\$140,181	-28
Grant Parish HA	113	-\$10,445	-4	-\$6,377	2	-\$77,122	-21
Hammond	286	-\$38,718	-12	-\$19,498	6	-\$235,810	-50
Iberia Parish Government	226	-\$27,002	-8	-\$16,165	3	-\$195,501	-41
Iberville Parish	42	-\$3,921	-1	-\$2,515	1	-\$30,418	-8
Independence HA	55	-\$7,613	-2	-\$3,821	1	-\$46,214	-10
Jackson Parish	18	-\$2,039	-1	-\$1,017	0	-\$12,303	-3
Jeanerette Public Housing	41	-\$4,751	-2	-\$2,492	1	-\$30,135	-7
Jefferson Davis Policy Jury Section 8	129	-\$19,255	-5	-\$9,966	3	-\$120,534	-23
Jefferson Parish	2,667	-\$548,450	-103	-\$290,229	50	-\$3,510,050	-473
Kenner HA	572	-\$127,434	-22	-\$66,041	11	-\$798,702	-101
Kentwood	55	-\$8,035	-2	-\$4,057	1	-\$49,072	-10
Kinder	97	-\$11,164	-4	-\$5,662	2	-\$68,473	-17
Lafayette	952	-\$170,783	-39	-\$85,201	20	-\$1,030,426	-167
Lafourche Parish HA	271	-\$25,443	-7	-\$18,842	2	-\$227,880	-51
Lake Charles	1,542	-\$271,686	-59	-\$144,075	29	-\$1,742,447	-274
Leesville HA	56	-\$5,918	-2	-\$3,335	1	-\$40,329	-10
Lincoln Parish Policy Jury	135	-\$18,173	-5	-\$10,836	2	-\$131,054	-25
Mamou	20	-\$2,183	-1	-\$1,136	0	-\$13,738	-4
Minden HA	137	-\$19,551	-5	-\$10,252	3	-\$123,991	-24
Monroe HA	1,469	-\$245,916	-59	-\$124,207	30	-\$1,502,161	-258
Morehouse Parish Police Jury HA	158	-\$17,095	-6	-\$9,694	3	-\$117,240	-28
Morgan City HA	134	-\$11,890	-4	-\$7,889	1	-\$95,415	-25
Natchitoches	449	-\$49,660	-17	-\$26,913	8	-\$325,483	-80
Natchitoches Parish HA	334	-\$44,796	-13	-\$22,827	7	-\$276,070	-59
New Iberia	322	-\$42,418	-13	-\$21,162	7	-\$255,929	-56

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
New Orleans	10,555	-\$2,698,068	-416	-\$1,394,183	207	-\$16,861,340	-1,863
New Roads	42	-\$6,086	-2	-\$3,036	1	-\$36,722	-7
Newellton HA	129	-\$13,802	-5	-\$7,444	2	-\$90,024	-23
Oakdale HA	120	-\$13,220	-4	-\$7,211	2	-\$87,209	-21
Oberlin	75	-\$3,795	-2	-\$3,803	0	-\$45,991	-15
Ouachita Parish Policy Jury	514	-\$92,554	-21	-\$46,174	11	-\$558,430	-90
Pearl River	70	-\$11,756	-3	-\$6,660	1	-\$80,545	-13
Pineville HA	165	-\$24,425	-7	-\$12,258	3	-\$148,245	-29
Plaquemine	134	-\$14,108	-5	-\$7,038	3	-\$85,122	-23
Ponchatoula HA	60	-\$7,472	-2	-\$3,791	1	-\$45,846	-11
Port Allen	138	-\$20,797	-5	-\$12,164	2	-\$147,108	-25
Rapides Parish HA	267	-\$41,966	-11	-\$21,385	5	-\$258,633	-47
Rayne	93	-\$8,825	-3	-\$4,798	2	-\$58,032	-17
Red River	45	-\$6,000	-2	-\$2,993	1	-\$36,199	-8
Ruston Section 8	233	-\$38,522	-9	-\$20,050	4	-\$242,487	-41
Sabine Parish	108	-\$13,156	-4	-\$6,772	2	-\$81,904	-19
Shreveport HA	3,174	-\$581,251	-122	-\$308,198	59	-\$3,727,362	-563
Slidell	304	-\$60,503	-10	-\$37,250	4	-\$450,509	-56
St Martin Parish Govn't Hsg Dept	341	-\$39,258	-12	-\$21,952	6	-\$265,483	-61
St. Bernard Parish Government	280	-\$49,095	-11	-\$25,717	5	-\$311,027	-50
St. Charles Parish HA	305	-\$48,932	-12	-\$25,098	6	-\$303,532	-54
St. John the Baptist HA	102	-\$21,717	-4	-\$12,372	2	-\$149,632	-18
St. Landry Parish HA	646	-\$79,489	-26	-\$40,027	13	-\$484,089	-113
St. Martinville Section 8 Program	50	-\$10,006	-2	-\$5,226	1	-\$63,201	-9
St. Mary Parish HA	200	-\$12,522	-8	-\$6,332	4	-\$76,574	-35
Sulphur HA	105	-\$16,884	-4	-\$8,671	2	-\$104,869	-19
Tallulah HA	55	-\$5,386	-2	-\$3,100	1	-\$37,496	-10
Tangipahoa Parish Council	185	-\$28,650	-7	-\$14,967	4	-\$181,010	-33
Terrebonne Parish	512	-\$84,725	-20	-\$44,009	10	-\$532,252	-90
Thibodaux HA	251	-\$29,514	-8	-\$18,103	3	-\$218,944	-46
Union Parish Police Jury	101	-\$10,587	-4	-\$6,062	2	-\$73,315	-18
Vernon Parish	66	-\$6,007	-3	-\$2,997	1	-\$36,245	-12
Ville Platte Section 8	112	-\$13,964	-5	-\$6,967	2	-\$84,254	-20
Vinton HA	50	-\$5,407	-2	-\$2,830	1	-\$34,221	-9
Washington Parish HA	155	-\$18,668	-6	-\$9,313	3	-\$112,635	-27
Webster Parish Police Jury	177	-\$34,453	-7	-\$18,295	3	-\$221,263	-31
West Baton Rouge Parish	80	-\$11,167	-3	-\$5,714	2	-\$69,105	-14
West Carroll Parish Policy Jury	65	-\$7,238	-2	-\$3,848	1	-\$46,533	-12

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
West Monroe	463	-\$76,864	-19	-\$38,346	10	-\$463,762	-81
White Castle	14	-\$1,763	-1	-\$880	0	-\$10,638	-2
Winn Parish	92	-\$10,003	-4	-\$5,172	2	-\$62,547	-16
<b>Louisiana</b>	38,694	-\$7,366,358	-1,508	-\$3,842,026	741	-\$46,465,702	-6,846

<sup>i</sup> The Administration has released its planned 2010 funding level for a “housing assistance” category that includes the voucher program, public housing and several other programs taken together, but has not released a funding level for the voucher program separately. We have estimated the 2010 voucher funding level by assuming that the Administration plans to cut all housing assistance programs proportionately. It is possible that the Administration actually intends to impose larger cuts in voucher assistance than we estimate and smaller cuts in other programs, or vice versa. See Appendix, “The Basis for the Estimate that the Budget Would Support 370,000 Fewer Vouchers in 2010,” <http://www.cbpp.org/2-18-05hous-app.htm>.

<sup>ii</sup> We assume that the amount of funding needed to support an agency’s vouchers in 2005 is equal to the agency’s average voucher cost in May-July 2004 plus the applicable HUD inflation adjustment, multiplied by the sum of the number of the agency’s vouchers in use in May-July 2004 and the number of new vouchers requiring funding that were issued to families losing public housing or other types of federal housing assistance. In 2006 and 2010 we assumed the agencies would need funding for approximately the same number of vouchers as in 2005, but that the average cost of these vouchers would rise based on a national average CBO voucher cost inflation estimate.

<sup>iii</sup> All figures in the table assume that agencies will respond to funding shortfalls by reducing the number of families assisted. Agencies also have some limited flexibility to reduce the level of assistance provided per family, for example by shifting rental burdens onto needy households or reducing the maximum amount of rent a voucher can cover (and therefore limiting the ability of voucher households to live outside high-poverty neighborhoods in areas that may be safer and with better schools and more job opportunities). If agencies took these measures, the reduction in the number of families assisted could be somewhat smaller.