

820 First Street, NE, Suite 510, Washington, DC 20002 Tel: 202-408-1080 Fax: 202-408-1056 center@cbpp.org www.cbpp.org

ESTIMATED EFFECTS OF THE LOSS OF VOUCHER FUNDING ON THE ELDERLY, PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES AND WORKING FAMILIES IN 2005 AND 2010

Kentucky

This table displays estimates of the effects of cuts in housing voucher assistance on the elderly, people with disabilities and working families under the actual 2005 voucher funding level and under the Administration's budget plan for 2010 based on the limited information available to the public. "Working families" are defined in this table as families obtaining at least some of their income from wages.

The table below can be read as follows: "In 2005, the shortfall in voucher funding needed to support its vouchers will cause **[housing agency]** to cut an estimated **[Overall Number of Vouchers Cut]** vouchers. As a result, **[2005 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families assisted]** elderly/disabled/working families will go without housing assistance. Information available on the Administration's budget plans through 2010 indicate the voucher funding shortfall will grow substantially, resulting in an estimated further cut in the number elderly/disabled/working families assisted by **[2010 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted Below 2005 Level]**."

	Housing Agency Name	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	Overall Number of Vouchers Cut in 2005	2005 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted			2010 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted Below 2005 Level		
HUD Code				Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families	Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families
ky161	Appalachian Foothills HA	780	-29	-3	-9	-7	-14	-43	-33
ky142	Ashland CDA PHA	616	-24	-3	-9	-8	-14	-40	-35
	Barbourville Urban Renewal &								
ky150	Comm. Dev.	198	-8	-1	-3	-2	-5	-13	-7
ky071	Bardstown	169	-7	-1	-2	-2	-6	-7	-7
ky135	Boone Co. Assisted Housing Dept.	978	-39	-8	-10	-14	-34	-45	-60
ky171	Bowling Green	509	-21	-4	-6	-7	-15	-27	-29

HUD Code	Housing Agency Name	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	Overall Number of Vouchers Cut in 2005	2005 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted			2010 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted Below 2005 Level		
				Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families	Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families
ky145	Breckinridge Co. HA	212	-9	-2	-3	-3	-10	-12	-11
ky136	Campbell Co. Dept. of Housing	635	-26	-5	-10	-7	-21	-46	-29
ky047	Campbellsville HA	274	-11	-2	-5	-2	-8	-22	-8
ky133	Covington CDA	1,089	-44	-6	-15	-12	-27	-67	-52
ky160	Cumberland Valley Regional HA	715	-29	-3	-12	-6	-14	-53	-28
ky021	Cynthiana	175	-7	-2	-1	-2	-10	-6	-9
ky157	Floyd Co.	326	-12	-1	-4	-2	-4	-18	-11
ky003	Frankfort sec. 8 voucher	458	-17	-3	-4	-6	-15	-18	-30
ky061	Georgetown HA	356	-14	-3	-3	-5	-11	-14	-22
ky173	Glasgow Community Development	338	-14	-3	-5	-3	-14	-20	-14
ky053	Greensburg HA	118	-5	-1	-2	-1	-5	-9	-4
ky146	Harrodsburg	160	-7	-2	-3	-1	-9	-15	-3
ky012	Henderson	649	-26	-5	-8	-7	-21	-33	-31
ky011	Hopkinsville	484	-20	-3	-7	-8	-14	-31	-36
ky901	KY Housing Corp.	4,802	-190	-32	-76	-40	-144	-339	-178
	Lake Cumberland Housing Agency,								
ky163	Inc.	514	-20	-3	-9	-2	-15	-42	-11
ky169	Laurel Co. Fiscal Court	330	-13	-2	-6	-4	-9	-24	-16
ky086	Lawrence Co. sec. 8 voucher	130	-5	-1	-1	-1	-3	-6	-6
ky022	Lebanon HA	72	-3	0	-1	-1	-2	-6	-3
ky130	Lexington-Fayette Urban Co. HA	2,017	-81	-7	-19	-28	-28	-81	-124
ky001	Louisville Metro HA	8,838	-356	-14	-53	-132	-62	-233	-574
ky007	Madisonville sec. 8 voucher	253	-10	-2	-3	-3	-8	-12	-15
ky040	Mayfield	245	-10	-2	-2	-3	-9	-10	-11
ky017	Maysville	108	-4	-1	-1	-1	-5	-4	-5
ky015	Newport	432	-18	-5	-6	-3	-21	-27	-14
ky009	Owensboro	289	-12	-1	-4	-4	-5	-17	-19
ky137	Paducah sec. 8 voucher	473	-18	-2	-6	-6	-9	-28	-26
ky027	Paintsville	87	-3	0	-1	-1	-1	-4	-4
ky140	Paris-Bourbon Co. CDA	472	-19	-4	-5	-7	-19	-22	-31

HUD Code	Housing Agency Name	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	Overall Number of Vouchers Cut in 2005	2005 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted			by Type:	Estimated Vouchers Cut pes of Families Assisted Below 2005 Level		
				Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families	Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families	
ky121	Pike County HA	568	-17	-1	-5	-4	-7	-31	-22	
ky107	Pikeville	341	-14	-1	-4	-3	-6	-19	-13	
ky141	Pineville/Bell Co. CDA	401	-15	-2	-5	-4	-9	-25	-19	
ky035	Prestonburg sec. 8 voucher	144	-6	0	-2	-1	-2	-10	-5	
ky132	Richmond sec. 8 housing	623	-25	-6	-8	-9	-25	-35	-37	
ky008	Somerset	245	-10	-2	-3	-3	-6	-14	-13	
ky056	Springfield sec. 8 voucher	69	-3	-1	-1	-1	-4	-3	-3	
ky138	Urban Renewal & CDA	297	-12	-1	-4	-3	-5	-16	-14	
ky143	Williamsburg CDA	553	-22	-3	-8	-6	-13	-35	-27	
	Total for Kentucky	31,542	-1,253	-155	-356	-373	-687	-1,579	-1,649	

The estimated numbers of each type of family affected are based on the current proportion of an agency's vouchers now received by families of that type, based on data in HUD's Public and Indian Housing Information (PIC) Center system as of January 21, 2005 (accessed at

http://pic.hud.gov/pic/RCRPublic/rcrmain.asp). The estimates for 2010 assume that the demographic mix of families served in 2010 would be the same as in January 2005. The numbers of vouchers cut for each type of family do not add up to the total cut because some vouchers are received by families that do not have earnings and are not headed by a person who is elderly or disabled. For an explanation of how we derived these estimates, see the papers referenced at www.cbpp.org/hous2-18-05hous-states.htm. All figures in the table assume that agencies will respond to funding shortfalls by reducing the number of families assisted. Agencies also have some limited flexibility to reduce the level of assistance provided per family, for example by shifting rental burdens onto needy households or reducing the maximum amount of rent a voucher can cover (and therefore limiting the ability of voucher households to live outside high-poverty neighborhoods in areas that may be safer and with better schools and more job opportunities). If agencies took these measures, the reduction in the number of families assisted could be somewhat smaller.