

ESTIMATED EFFECTS OF THE LOSS OF VOUCHER FUNDING ON THE ELDERLY, PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES AND WORKING FAMILIES IN 2005 AND 2010

Iowa

This table displays estimates of the effects of cuts in housing voucher assistance on the elderly, people with disabilities and working families under the actual 2005 voucher funding level and under the Administration's budget plan for 2010 based on the limited information available to the public. "Working families" are defined in this table as families obtaining at least some of their income from wages.

The table below can be read as follows: "In 2005, the shortfall in voucher funding needed to support its vouchers will cause [housing agency] to cut an estimated [Overall Number of Vouchers Cut] vouchers. As a result, [2005 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families assisted] elderly/disabled/working families will go without housing assistance. Information available on the Administration's budget plans through 2010 indicate the voucher funding shortfall will grow substantially, resulting in an estimated further cut in the number elderly/disabled/working families assisted by [2010 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted Below 2005 Level]."

HUD Code	Housing Agency Name	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	Overall Number of Vouchers Cut in 2005	2005 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted			2010 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted Below 2005 Level		
				Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families	Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families
ia114	Albia Low Rent Housing Agency	96	-4	-1	-1	-2	-2	-6	-8
ia089	Ames	202	-8	0	-4	-4	-2	-16	-20
ia124	Area XV Multi-Co. Housing Agency	374	-14	-4	-6	-5	-17	-27	-23
ia123	Betterndorf HA	100	-4	0	-1	-2	-1	-4	-7
ia015	Burlington	277	-11	-1	-3	-4	-4	-13	-19
ia024	Cedar Rapids HA	1,265	-52	-5	-17	-18	-20	-71	-78
ia042	Centerville Housing Agency	98	-4	-1	-1	-1	-6	-6	-3

HUD Code	Housing Agency Name	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	Overall Number of Vouchers Cut in 2005	2005 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted			2010 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted Below 2005 Level		
				Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families	Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families
ia131	Central IA Regional HA	769	-29	-10	-8	-9	-47	-38	-41
ia002	Charles City Housing and Redevelopment Authority	163	-6	-1	-2	-3	-4	-8	-12
ia098	Clinton HA	424	-17	-4	-6	-6	-16	-28	-25
ia045	Davenport Housing Commission	660	-22	-2	-8	-8	-13	-42	-41
ia054	Decorah Low Rent Housing Agency	86	-3	-1	-1	-1	-7	-5	-6
ia020	Des Moines Municipal Housing Agency	2,688	-110	-20	-34	-37	-85	-146	-160
ia087	Dubuque	1,024	-40	-6	-14	-13	-25	-65	-60
ia126	Eastern IA Regional HA	883	-35	-8	-8	-13	-34	-36	-57
ia056	Emmetsburg Low Rent Housing Agency	99	-4	-2	-1	-1	-8	-3	-6
ia038	Evansdale Municipal HA	107	-4	-1	-1	-1	-6	-3	-5
ia107	Fort Dodge Housing Agency	617	-25	-4	-6	-9	-15	-24	-40
ia047	Fort Madison HA	74	-3	0	-1	-1	-2	-6	-5
ia084	Grinnell Low Rent HA	166	-7	-1	-2	-2	-3	-10	-9
ia022	Iowa City HA	1,213	-48	-5	-21	-19	-21	-94	-85
ia030	Keokuk HA	171	-6	-1	-2	-2	-4	-10	-11
ia119	Knoxville Low Rent Housing Agency	263	-11	-4	-3	-3	-19	-14	-13
ia113	Low Rent Housing Agency of Cedar Falls	326	-13	-1	-4	-5	-4	-18	-21
ia021	Manning HA	20	-1	0	0	0	-1	0	-2
ia125	Marshalltown Rent Assistance Program	449	-17	-4	-5	-5	-21	-25	-23
ia108	Mason City	435	-17	-2	-7	-8	-9	-29	-34
ia133	Mid IA Regional HA	329	-13	-5	-4	-4	-22	-19	-16
ia023	Municipal Housing Agency	652	-26	-3	-8	-9	-11	-37	-38
ia049	Muscatine Municipal HA	376	-14	-2	-5	-6	-11	-22	-28
ia121	New Hampton HA	80	-3	-1	-1	-1	-4	-5	-5

HUD Code	Housing Agency Name	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	Overall Number of Vouchers Cut in 2005	2005 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted			2010 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted Below 2005 Level		
				Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families	Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families
ia127	North IA Regional HA	381	-14	-6	-4	-4	-27	-18	-20
ia132	Northland Regional HA	233	-10	-2	-4	-4	-11	-15	-16
ia129	NW IA Regional HA	522	-21	-8	-5	-7	-33	-24	-32
ia100	Oskaloosa Municipal Housing Agency	230	-9	-1	-4	-3	-5	-16	-11
ia004	Ottumwa sec. 8 voucher	237	-9	-1	-4	-3	-4	-19	-13
ia122	Region XII Regional HA	908	-36	-12	-12	-11	-51	-53	-50
ia128	SE IA Regional HA	252	-10	-3	-2	-3	-11	-10	-15
ia018	Sioux City HA	1,169	-46	-7	-15	-18	-31	-66	-82
ia136	Siouxland Regional HA	353	-14	-5	-4	-5	-20	-19	-24
ia117	Southern IA Regional HA	996	-39	-8	-9	-14	-35	-39	-63
ia057	Spirit Lake Low Rent Housing Agency	142	-4	-1	-2	-2	-10	-13	-13
ia130	Upper Explorerland Regional HA	391	-15	-6	-4	-4	-27	-19	-17
ia120	Warren Co. HA	171	-7	-2	-2	-3	-7	-7	-14
ia050	Waterloo HA	1,040	-42	-3	-14	-14	-15	-62	-62
Total for Iowa		21,511	-849	-163	-270	-298	-731	-1,209	-1,333

The estimated numbers of each type of family affected are based on the current proportion of an agency's vouchers now received by families of that type, based on data in HUD's Public and Indian Housing Information (PIC) Center system as of January 21, 2005 (accessed at <http://pic.hud.gov/pic/RCRPublic/rcrmain.asp>). The estimates for 2010 assume that the demographic mix of families served in 2010 would be the same as in January 2005. The numbers of vouchers cut for each type of family do not add up to the total cut because some vouchers are received by families that do not have earnings and are not headed by a person who is elderly or disabled. For an explanation of how we derived these estimates, see the papers referenced at www.cbpp.org/hous2-18-05hous-states.htm. All figures in the table assume that agencies will respond to funding shortfalls by reducing the number of families assisted. Agencies also have some limited flexibility to reduce the level of assistance provided per family, for example by shifting rental burdens onto needy households or reducing the maximum amount of rent a voucher can cover (and therefore limiting the ability of voucher households to live outside high-poverty neighborhoods in areas that may be safer and with better schools and more job opportunities). If agencies took these measures, the reduction in the number of families assisted could be somewhat smaller.