



820 First Street, NE, Suite 510, Washington, DC 20002
Tel: 202-408-1080 Fax: 202-408-1056 center@cbpp.org www.cbpp.org

February 17, 2005

LOCAL EFFECTS OF CUTS IN HOUSING VOUCHER ASSISTANCE IN 2005

Arizona

The table shows voucher estimates based in part on data that housing agencies reported to HUD covering the period through July 2004. Individual agencies will have more current data they can use to update the estimates. The funding figures in the column “Voucher renewal funding allocated by HUD” come directly from HUD data. The estimated annual per voucher subsidy cost (PUC) is derived by adjusting the agency’s voucher cost data in mid-2004 by the applicable HUD annual adjustment factor. Agencies’ actual voucher costs in 2005 may be more or less than the estimate.

The column “number of vouchers cut” is the number of vouchers the appropriations act directs HUD to fund — authorized vouchers in use in May – July 2004 plus any additional vouchers issued to replace other federal housing subsidies that need renewal funding in 2005 — that are unfunded due to the appropriations shortfall. If the estimated “number of vouchers cut” is less than the total number of expiring vouchers not funded, it is likely that the agency was not using all its vouchers in mid-2004. The number of vouchers in use in February 2005 that are not funded may be less than the estimates provided if an agency is using fewer vouchers than in mid-2004 or if the agency’s average per unit cost has declined substantially. The number of vouchers in use that are not funded may be more than the estimates provided if the agency’s per unit cost is currently greater than the estimate. For some agencies (marked with an asterisk) our estimates include adjustments made in light of HUD’s final funding figures, and may be more subject to error.

Agencies may be able to remedy a funding shortfall through use of reserve funds (if accessed quickly) or other policy changes, rather than by reducing the number of families receiving voucher assistance.

HUD Code	Housing Agency name	Authorized vouchers in January 2005	Number of expiring vouchers	Expiring vouchers eligible for funding under bill formula	Voucher renewal funding allocated by HUD (HAP)	Annual per voucher subsidy cost (PUC)	Number of expiring vouchers funded (based on PUC)	Total expiring vouchers not funded	Vouchers cut in 2005
az028*	Chandler Housing & Redevelopment Division*	480	480	480	\$3,772,865	\$8,195	460	-20	-20
az034	Cochise Co. sec. 8 voucher	404	404	381	\$1,457,995	\$3,986	366	-38	-16
az037	Douglas HA	193	193	179	\$698,877	\$4,060	172	-21	-7
az021*	Eloy sec. 8 voucher*	159	159	159	\$818,757	\$5,369	153	-6	-6
az006	Flagstaff	333	333	324	\$2,390,464	\$7,691	311	-22	-13
az045	Gila Co. HA	53	53	53	\$257,079	\$5,062	51	-2	-2
az003	Glendale sec. 8 voucher	1,054	1,054	1,038	\$7,037,489	\$7,068	996	-58	-42
az009*	Maricopa Co. Housing dept.*	1,599	1,599	1,599	\$11,191,227	\$7,299	1,533	-65	-65
az005	Mesa Housing Services	1,422	1,422	1,361	\$8,512,773	\$6,522	1,305	-117	-56
az043	Mohave Co. HA	284	284	227	\$1,176,982	\$5,411	218	-66	-9
az023	Nogales sec. 8 voucher	192	192	166	\$687,140	\$4,306	160	-32	-7
az038	Peoria HA	82	82	75	\$541,370	\$7,487	72	-10	-3
az001	Phoenix	5,320	5,282	5,276	\$36,186,951	\$7,150	5,061	-221	-215
az033	Pima Co. city of Tucson	802	802	626	\$3,774,089	\$6,281	601	-201	-26
az010	Pinal Co. Division of Housing	584	584	503	\$2,839,028	\$5,888	482	-102	-21
az032	Scottsdale	672	672	665	\$4,771,443	\$7,480	638	-34	-27
az025	South Tucson sec. 8 voucher	132	132	106	\$725,486	\$7,167	101	-31	-4
az901	State of AZ PHA	59	59	52	\$230,506	\$4,589	50	-9	-2
az031	Tempe HA	1,082	1,082	1,065	\$8,260,237	\$8,087	1,021	-61	-43
az004	Tucson Community Services	3,789	3,780	3,639	\$20,472,450	\$5,865	3,491	-289	-149
az041	Williams HA	47	47	44	\$261,705	\$6,261	42	-5	-2
az008	Winslow HA	128	128	126	\$632,043	\$5,237	121	-7	-5
az013*	Yuma Co. Housing Dept.*	401	401	401	\$1,866,557	\$4,853	385	-16	-16
az035*	Yuma*	1,122	1,122	1,122	\$6,391,477	\$5,939	1,076	-46	-46
Total for Arizona		20,393	20,345	19,668	\$124,954,990		18,865	-1,481	-803