



# CENTER ON BUDGET AND POLICY PRIORITIES

820 First Street, NE, Suite 510, Washington, DC 20002  
Tel: 202-408-1080 Fax: 202-408-1056 center@cbpp.org www.cbpp.org

Revised March 8, 2005

## ESTIMATED VOUCHER FUNDING SHORTFALLS IN 2005, 2006 AND 2010

### Arkansas

This table displays estimates of cuts in housing voucher assistance under the actual 2005 voucher funding level, the Administration's 2006 budget request, and an estimate of the Administration's budget plan for 2010 based on the limited information available to the public.<sup>i</sup> (Please see the endnotes for the methods used to develop these estimates.) The table below can be read as follows:

"In 2005, [housing agency] will receive [2005 funding shortfall] less funding<sup>ii</sup> than it needs to support its vouchers, causing an estimated [2005 cut in families assisted] low-income families to go without housing assistance.<sup>iii</sup> Under the Administration's budget for 2006, the funding gap confronting the agency will drop to [2006 funding shortfall], allowing it to restore temporarily [2006 number of cut vouchers restored] of the vouchers that were cut in 2005. But estimates based on available information on the Administration's budget plans through 2010 show the shortfall widening to approximately [2010 funding shortfall], eliminating all of the vouchers restored in 2006 and cutting the number of families assisted by a further [2010 cut in families assisted below 2005 level]."

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
Arkadelphia Sec. 8 Voucher	194	-\$20,982	-8	-\$10,467	4	-\$126,593	-34
Ashley Co. sec. 8 voucher	215	-\$28,188	-9	-\$14,239	4	-\$172,209	-38
Benton sec. 8 voucher	641	-\$99,283	-22	-\$59,642	9	-\$721,315	-117
Black River Area Sec. 8	120	-\$14,801	-5	-\$7,384	3	-\$89,303	-21
Blytheville	150	-\$12,474	-5	-\$7,736	2	-\$93,554	-27
Brinkley City HA	154	-\$14,277	-5	-\$9,140	2	-\$110,544	-28
Cabot sec. 8 voucher	299	-\$46,239	-12	-\$23,068	6	-\$278,984	-52
Calhoun Co.	28	-\$2,990	-1	-\$1,509	1	-\$18,255	-5
Camden Sec. 8 Voucher	72	-\$9,262	-3	-\$4,686	1	-\$56,671	-13
Clarendon HA	25	-\$2,410	-1	-\$1,253	0	-\$15,148	-4
Clay Co. Housing dept.	170	-\$17,888	-7	-\$9,121	3	-\$110,309	-30
Conway County Housing	229	-\$32,175	-9	-\$16,893	4	-\$204,304	-41
Conway Sec. 8 Voucher	298	-\$44,436	-12	-\$22,198	6	-\$268,459	-52
Crawford Co. sec. 8 voucher	432	-\$55,004	-18	-\$27,440	9	-\$331,866	-76
Dallas Co.	38	-\$3,155	-1	-\$1,631	1	-\$19,730	-7
Desha Co. sec. 8 voucher	148	-\$22,891	-6	-\$12,044	3	-\$145,658	-26

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
DeValls Bluff sec. 8 voucher	58	-\$6,221	-2	-\$3,103	1	-\$37,532	-10
Dewitt sec. 8 voucher	140	-\$19,533	-6	-\$9,934	3	-\$120,142	-25
Drew Co. sec. 8 voucher	267	-\$35,659	-11	-\$17,852	6	-\$215,904	-47
Earle sec. 8 voucher	57	-\$9,818	-2	-\$4,935	1	-\$59,689	-10
Fayetteville sec. 8 voucher	437	-\$65,484	-18	-\$33,022	9	-\$399,365	-77
Fort Smith HA	1,077	-\$174,336	-44	-\$87,082	23	-\$1,053,171	-189
Franklin Co. sec. 8	100	-\$12,523	-4	-\$6,375	2	-\$77,097	-18
Greene Co. sec. 8 voucher	51	-\$7,573	-2	-\$3,844	1	-\$46,489	-9
Harrison sec. 8 voucher	507	-\$62,219	-21	-\$31,040	11	-\$375,403	-89
Hope sec. 8 voucher	180	-\$27,218	-7	-\$14,459	3	-\$174,872	-32
Hot Springs	658	-\$109,313	-27	-\$54,535	14	-\$659,544	-115
Howard Co.	75	-\$7,370	-3	-\$3,677	2	-\$44,465	-13
Hoxie sec. 8 voucher	103	-\$11,807	-4	-\$6,088	2	-\$73,631	-18
Jacksonville sec. 8 voucher	362	-\$57,865	-15	-\$29,009	8	-\$350,836	-63
Johnson Co. sec. 8	150	-\$17,531	-6	-\$9,110	3	-\$110,182	-27
Jonesboro Urban Renewal & HA	1,308	-\$258,018	-53	-\$130,639	27	-\$1,579,957	-230
Lake Village sec. 8 voucher	230	-\$14,079	-6	-\$10,412	2	-\$125,919	-43
Lawrence Co. sec. 8 voucher	115	-\$11,131	-4	-\$6,218	2	-\$75,204	-21
Layfayette Co.	44	-\$4,893	-2	-\$2,441	1	-\$29,519	-8
Lee Co. sec. 8 voucher	291	-\$39,014	-12	-\$19,758	6	-\$238,957	-51
Little River sec. 8 voucher	50	-\$5,547	-2	-\$2,865	1	-\$34,647	-9
Little Rock	2,025	-\$416,754	-79	-\$217,397	39	-\$2,629,217	-358
Logan County sec. 8 voucher	123	-\$13,147	-5	-\$7,181	2	-\$86,853	-22
Lonoke Co. sec. 8 voucher	243	-\$32,682	-9	-\$17,499	4	-\$211,632	-43
Magnolia	83	-\$11,169	-3	-\$5,572	2	-\$67,387	-15
Malvern sec. 8 voucher	124	-\$14,329	-5	-\$7,598	2	-\$91,886	-22
McGehee sec. 8 voucher	193	-\$26,991	-7	-\$14,150	4	-\$171,131	-34
Mississippi Co. sec. 8 voucher	450	-\$61,256	-18	-\$31,565	9	-\$381,755	-79
North Little Rock	1,133	-\$225,859	-46	-\$113,512	23	-\$1,372,823	-199
NW Regional HA	676	-\$80,980	-27	-\$41,631	13	-\$503,492	-119
Paragould sec. 8 voucher	416	-\$54,473	-15	-\$30,145	7	-\$364,570	-75
Phillips Co. sec. 8 voucher	403	-\$58,841	-16	-\$29,724	8	-\$359,484	-71
Pike Co. sec. 8 voucher	62	-\$7,257	-2	-\$3,680	1	-\$44,505	-11
Pine Bluff Sec. 8 Voucher	789	-\$115,935	-30	-\$61,668	15	-\$745,820	-140
Polk Co. sec. 8 voucher	125	-\$11,427	-5	-\$5,922	2	-\$71,621	-22
Pope Co. Public Facilities Board	202	-\$29,715	-8	-\$15,356	4	-\$185,722	-36
Prescott sec. 8 voucher	78	-\$7,856	-3	-\$4,495	1	-\$54,368	-14
Pulaski Co. sec. 8 voucher	301	-\$65,182	-11	-\$36,050	5	-\$435,994	-54
Russellville sec. 8 voucher	150	-\$18,434	-6	-\$9,300	3	-\$112,471	-26

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
Scott Co. sec. 8 voucher	107	-\$10,780	-4	-\$6,490	1	-\$78,487	-19
Searcy HA	130	-\$15,852	-5	-\$8,940	2	-\$108,121	-23
Sevier Co.	70	-\$7,722	-3	-\$3,852	1	-\$46,590	-12
Siloam Springs	493	-\$75,505	-20	-\$37,668	10	-\$455,561	-86
Springdale sec. 8 voucher	141	-\$18,964	-6	-\$9,461	3	-\$114,422	-25
St. Francis Co. sec. 8 voucher	588	-\$79,857	-23	-\$41,462	11	-\$501,442	-104
Star City sec. 8 voucher	55	-\$6,644	-2	-\$3,907	1	-\$47,248	-10
Stuttgart sec. 8 voucher	316	-\$50,925	-13	-\$25,622	7	-\$309,876	-55
Texarkana HA	360	-\$49,677	-12	-\$29,806	5	-\$360,476	-66
Trumann City Vouchers	144	-\$21,368	-6	-\$10,939	3	-\$132,295	-25
Trumann Poinsett Co. Vouchers	111	-\$15,503	-4	-\$7,828	2	-\$94,676	-19
Union Co.	393	-\$46,939	-16	-\$23,698	8	-\$286,607	-69
Walnut Ridge sec. 8 voucher	157	-\$16,512	-6	-\$8,587	3	-\$103,851	-28
Warren HA	67	-\$8,194	-3	-\$4,129	1	-\$49,935	-12
West Memphis sec. 8 voucher	343	-\$60,814	-14	-\$30,725	7	-\$371,586	-60
White River Regional HA	1,651	-\$208,292	-67	-\$103,914	35	-\$1,256,747	-289
Wilson sec. 8 voucher	40	-\$5,566	-2	-\$2,848	1	-\$34,444	-7
Wynne HA	244	-\$26,680	-10	-\$13,310	5	-\$160,977	-43
Yell Co. Public Facilities Board	87	-\$11,020	-3	-\$5,954	2	-\$72,011	-16
<b>Arkansas</b>	<b>22,546</b>	<b>-\$3,372,708</b>	<b>-887</b>	<b>-\$1,746,436</b>	<b>440</b>	<b>-\$21,121,507</b>	<b>-3,981</b>

<sup>i</sup> The Administration has released its planned 2010 funding level for a “housing assistance” category that includes the voucher program, public housing and several other programs taken together, but has not released a funding level for the voucher program separately. We have estimated the 2010 voucher funding level by assuming that the Administration plans to cut all housing assistance programs proportionately. It is possible that the Administration actually intends to impose larger cuts in voucher assistance than we estimate and smaller cuts in other programs, or vice versa. See Appendix, “The Basis for the Estimate that the Budget Would Support 370,000 Fewer Vouchers in 2010,” <http://www.cbpp.org/2-18-05hous-app.htm>.

<sup>ii</sup> We assume that the amount of funding needed to support an agency’s vouchers in 2005 is equal to the agency’s average voucher cost in May-July 2004 plus the applicable HUD inflation adjustment, multiplied by the sum of the number of the agency’s vouchers in use in May-July 2004 and the number of new vouchers requiring funding that were issued to families losing public housing or other types of federal housing assistance. In 2006 and 2010 we assumed the agencies would need funding for approximately the same number of vouchers as in 2005, but that the average cost of these vouchers would rise based on a national average CBO voucher cost inflation estimate.

<sup>iii</sup> All figures in the table assume that agencies will respond to funding shortfalls by reducing the number of families assisted. Agencies also have some limited flexibility to reduce the level of assistance provided per family, for example by shifting rental burdens onto needy households or reducing the maximum amount of rent a voucher can cover (and therefore limiting the ability of voucher households to live outside high-poverty neighborhoods in areas that may be safer and with better schools and more job opportunities). If agencies took these measures, the reduction in the number of families assisted could be somewhat smaller.