



Tel: 202-408-1080 Fax: 202-408-1056

center@cbpp.org www.cbpp.org

November 1, 2006

HOUSING VOUCHERS FUNDED IN CALIFORNIA UNDER PENDING PROPOSALS

Due largely to the flaws in the formula for distributing "Section 8" housing voucher funding, the share of vouchers in use in California has fallen over the past few years, from 99 percent in 2004 to a projected 96 percent in 2006. In other words, **7,627 vouchers have already been cut** in California over the past two years.

For 2007, Congress will have to choose among three funding approaches that have been put forward. Each provides the same new funding for the program nationally in 2007, but uses a different method for distributing the money among the 2,400 state and local housing agencies. Here is the impact that each of the three formulas would have on California.

- Under the formula in the Section 8 Voucher Reform Act (or SEVRA), a bill passed by the
 House Financial Services Committee on June 14, 2006, all vouchers in use in California in
 2006 would be funded. Moreover, agencies in California would receive enough funding to
 restore many of the vouchers that have been cut in recent years. Overall, 99 percent of
 California's currently authorized vouchers would be funded under the SEVRA formula.
- The formula in the Senate appropriations bill would also renew funding for all vouchers in use in California in 2006. However, it would not provide agencies in California with enough funding to restore as many of the vouchers that have been cut in recent years as SEVRA would. Overall, <u>98 percent</u> of California's currently authorized vouchers would be funded under the Senate appropriations formula.
- Under the formula in the House appropriations bill, 45 housing agencies in California would be forced to cut assistance to 3,818 low-income families in 2007. **97 percent** of California's currently authorized vouchers would be funded under the House appropriations formula.

For a description of the pending proposals for distribution of renewal funding to state and local agencies and an analysis of their national impact, see "Fixing the Housing Voucher Formula: A No-Cost Way to Strengthen the Section 8 Program," http://www.cbpp.org/11-1-06hous.htm. The paper's Technical Appendix explains the sources and methods for the data in this table.

Agency Estimates (next page)

Agency Estimates

				Authorized Vouchers Funded in 2007			Vouchers in Use That Would Be Cut
Housing Agency	HUD Code	Authorized Vouchers as of Jan. 2006	Vouchers in Use in 2006 (Estimated)	SEVRA		House Appropriations Bill	Under House Appropriations Bill
Alameda City	ca062	1,675	1,588	1,588	1,619	1,675	0
Alameda Co.	ca067	5,456	5,456	5,456	5,456	5,335	121
Anaheim HA	ca104	6,108	5,970	6,108	6,089	5,736	234
Baldwin Park	ca120	882	632	632	645	714	0
Benicia HA	ca041	372	372	372	372	372	0
Berkeley HA	ca058	1,841	1,790	1,841	1,826	1,753	38
Burbank	ca105	1,014	1,014	1,014	1,014	1,014	0
Butte Co.	ca043	1,864	1,701	1,701	1,735	1,864	0
Calexico	ca039	244	225	244	229	216	9
California Dept.	ca912	47	37	37	38	42	0
and Com							
California Dept. of Hsg	ca913	738	678	738	692	641	37
and Comm. Dev.							
Carlsbad Housing	ca077	703	627	703	640	660	0
Agency							
Community Development	ca116	1,044	1,021	1,044	1,042	983	38
Commission							
Compton HA	ca071	803	803	803	803	803	0
Contra Costa Co.	ca011	6,781	6,320	6,781	6,446	6,251	69
Crescent City HA	ca061	590	541	590	552	520	21
Culver City	ca110	384	230	230	234	287	0

				Authoriz	ed Vouchers Fun	Vouchers in Use That Would Be Cut	
Housing Agency	HUD Code	Authorized Vouchers as of Jan. 2006	Vouchers in Use in 2006 (Estimated)	SEVRA	Senate Appropriations Bill	House Appropriations Bill	Under House Appropriations Bill
El Dorado Co. Dept. of Community	ca151	374	343	374	350	327	16
Services							
Encinitas	ca155	136	136	136	136	136	0
Fairfield HA	ca065	851	814	814	831	851	0
Fresno Co.	ca028	5,587	5,587	5,587	5,587	5,478	109
Fresno, City*	ca006	6,244	5,617	5,617	5,728	6,190	0
Garden Grove	ca102	2,337	2,337	2,337	2,337	2,337	0
Glendale	ca114	1,592	1,592	1,592	1,592	1,544	48
Hawaiian Gardens HA	ca136	132	102	102	104	114	0
Hawthorne	ca126	684	622	684	634	636	0
Hollister	ca122	319	319	319	319	315	4
Humboldt Co. HA	ca086	1,222	1,055	1,222	1,076	1,014	41
Imperial Valley HA	ca143	1,577	1,478	1,478	1,508	1,577	0
Inglewood HA	ca082	1,002	876	876	893	967	0
Kern Co.*	ca008	3,282	3,025	3,282	3,085	3,103	0
Kings Co. HA	ca053	688	615	615	627	688	0
Lake Co. Housing	ca144	224	208	224	213	207	2
Commission	<u> </u>						
Lakewood HA	ca135	215	183	215	187	176	7
Lassen Co.	ca152	113	86	113	87	82	4

				Authorized Vouchers Funded in 2007			Vouchers in Use That Would Be Cut
Housing Agency	HUD Code	Authorized Vouchers as of Jan. 2006	Vouchers in Use in 2006 (Estimated)	SEVRA	Senate Appropriations Bill	House Appropriations Bill	Under House Appropriations Bill
Lawndale HA	ca138	212	187	212	191	180	7
Livermore	ca074	719	719	719	719	707	12
Lomita HA	ca139	86	63	86	64	65	0
Long Beach HA	ca068	6,244	6,195	6,244	6,244	6,244	0
Los Angeles Co. HA*	ca002	20,485	18,907	18,907	19,283	20,485	0
Los Angeles, City*	ca004	44,449	43,753	44,449	44,449	44,449	0
Madera	ca069	725	725	725	725	664	61
Marin HA	ca052	2,109	2,109	2,109	2,109	2,000	109
Mariposa Co.	ca127	165	139	165	141	125	14
Mendocino Co. CDC*	ca084	925	769	925	785	785	0
Merced	ca023	2,705	2,688	2,705	2,705	2,705	0
Monterey Co.	ca033	3,818	3,733	3,818	3,807	3,579	154
Napa HA	ca073	1,188	1,188	1,188	1,188	1,158	30
Needles	ca022	20	20	20	20	20	0
Nevada Co. HA	ca146	294	245	294	250	235	10
Norwalk HA	ca118	705	684	705	697	698	0
Oakland HA	ca003	10,774	9,975	10,774	10,774	10,300	0
Oceanside CDC	ca132	1,313	1,261	1,313	1,286	1,313	0
Orange Co.	ca094	9,619	9,619	9,619	9,619	9,381	238

				Authorized Vouchers Funded in 2007			Vouchers in Use That
Housing Agency	HUD Code	Authorized Vouchers as of Jan. 2006	Vouchers in Use in 2006 (Estimated)	SEVRA	Senate Appropriations Bill	House Appropriations Bill	Would Be Cut Under House Appropriations Bill
Oxnard HA*	ca031	1,649	1,628	1,649	1,649	1,629	0
Paramount HA	ca137	203	196	203	200	203	0
Pasadena CDC	ca079	1,315	1,290	1,315	1,315	1,263	27
Pico Rivera Housing	ca117	517	453	453	461	485	0
Assistance Agency							
Pittsburg	ca060	948	897	897	915	948	0
Placer Co. HA	ca149	276	236	276	240	242	0
Plumas Co. CDC	ca070	335	299	335	305	287	12
Pomona	ca123	894	868	894	885	829	39
Port Hueneme	ca032	279	249	279	254	251	0
Redding	ca106	1,504	1,448	1,504	1,477	1,315	132
Redondo Beach HA	ca103	593	546	593	556	548	0
Richmond HA	ca010	1,750	1,575	1,575	1,606	1,676	0
Riverside Co.*	ca027	8,361	8,017	8,361	8,176	7,941	76
Roseville HA	ca128	562	530	562	541	539	0
Sacramento County*	ca007	11,217	11,079	11,079	11,217	11,217	0
San Bernardino Co.	ca019	8,244	8,244	8,244	8,244	7,813	431
San Buenaventura	ca035	1,189	1,170	1,189	1,189	1,124	46
San Diego Co.	ca108	10,404	10,404	10,404	10,404	10,404	0

				Authorized Vouchers Funded in 2007			Vouchers in Use That Would Be Cut
Housing Agency	HUD Code	Authorized Vouchers as of Jan. 2006	Vouchers in Use in 2006 (Estimated)	SEVRA	Senate Appropriations Bill	House Appropriations Bill	Under House Appropriations Bill
San Diego Housing Commission*	ca063	12,184	10,857	12,184	11,072	10,025	832
San Francisco HA*	ca001	7,409	7,372	7,409	7,409	7,319	54
San Joaquin Co.	ca024	4,871	4,514	4,514	4,604	4,871	0
San Jose	ca056	6,429	6,429	6,429	6,429	6,288	141
San Juan Bautista	ca141	25	16	16	17	25	0
San Luis Obispo	ca064	1,825	1,780	1,825	1,816	1,734	47
San Mateo Co.	ca014	4,023	4,023	4,023	4,023	4,023	0
Santa Ana	ca093	2,558	2,470	2,558	2,519	2,534	0
Santa Barbara City HA*	ca076	1,955	1,819	1,955	1,855	1,838	0
Santa Barbara Co.	ca021	3,396	3,396	3,396	3,396	3,263	133
Santa Clara Co.	ca059	9,465	9,465	9,465	9,465	9,233	232
Santa Cruz Co.	ca072	3,636	3,636	3,636	3,636	3,585	51
Santa Fe Springs HA	ca147	224	177	224	180	170	6
Santa Monica sec. 8	ca111	1,092	1,092	1,092	1,092	1,076	16
voucher							
Santa Paula	ca075	577	577	577	577	560	17
Santa Rosa HA	ca088	1,391	1,391	1,391	1,391	1,391	0
Shasta Co. HA	ca096	644	611	611	623	644	0

	HUD	Authorized Vouchers as	Vouchers in Use in 2006	Authorized Vouchers Funded in 2007 Senate House Appropriations Appropriations			Vouchers in Use That Would Be Cut Under House Appropriations
Housing Agency	Code	of Jan. 2006	(Estimated)	SEVRA	Bill	Bill	Bill
Solano Co. HA	ca131	250	244	250	249	248	0
Sonoma Co. CDC	ca085	2,722	2,722	2,722	2,722	2,640	82
South Gate HA	ca119	654	540	654	551	565	0
Stanislaus Co.*	ca026	3,995	3,995	3,995	3,995	3,995	0
Suisun City HA	ca066	318	318	318	318	318	0
Sutter Co. Consolidated	ca048	803	803	803	803	803	0
Area HA							
Tehama Co.	ca154	144	128	144	131	122	7
Torrance	ca121	690	631	690	644	664	0
Tulare Co. HA*	ca030	2,825	2,825	2,825	2,825	2,825	0
Upland HA	ca009	624	594	624	606	596	0
Vacaville HA	ca125	1,143	1,045	1,143	1,066	1,086	0
Vallejo	ca055	2,266	2,219	2,266	2,263	2,266	0
Ventura Co.	ca092	2,532	2,415	2,532	2,463	2,451	0
West Hollywood HA	ca145	97	97	97	97	96	1
Yolo Co. HA	ca044	1,466	1,243	1,252	1,268	1,319	0
Yuba Co. HA	ca107	449	386	386	394	449	0
Total		296,602	285,943	292,264	289,914	287,434	3,818

^{*}Agency received new "tenant protection" vouchers from HUD in 2005 to replace demolished public housing units or privately-owned units that no longer receive project-based federal housing assistance. Incomplete information was available to estimate the additional funding due these agencies in 2007 to renew these vouchers under each of the approaches. As a result, estimates for these agencies may be too low, and under the House approach may be too low or too high.

