CENTER ON BUDGET AND POLICY PRIORITIES

CHANGES IN HUD FAIR MARKET RENTS FROM FISCAL YEAR 2004 TO FISCAL YEAR 2005 Connecticut

			Two-Bedroom Fair Market Rent		Three-Bedroom Fair Market Rent			Four-Bedroom Fair Market Rent			
County	2004 MSA Name	Towns	2004	2005	Change from 2004 to 2005		2005	Change from 2004 to 2005		2005	Change from 2004 to 2005
Fairfield	Bridgeport, CT	Bridgeport, Easton, Fairfield, Monroe, Shelton, Stratford,									
County	PMSA	Trumbull	\$900	\$925	2.8%	\$1,126	\$1,105	-1.9%	\$1,404	\$1,316	-6.3%
Fairfield	Danbury, CT	Bethel, Brookfield, Danbury, New Fairfield, Newton, Redding,									
County	PMSA	Ridgefield, Sherman	\$1,084	\$1,109	2.3%	\$1,430	\$1,348	-5.7%	\$1,649	\$1,592	-3.5%
Fairfield County	Stamford Norwalk, CT PMSA	Darien, Greenwich, New Canaan, Norwalk, Stamford, Weston, Westport, Wilton	\$1,493	\$1,437	-3.8%	\$2,001	\$1,873	-6.4%	\$2,210	\$2,262	2.4%

-		Avon, Berlin, Bloomfield,									
		Bristol, Burlington,									
		Canton, East Granby,									
		East Hartford, East									
		Windsor, Enfield,									
		Farmington,									
		Glastonbury, Granby,									
		Hartford, Manchester,									
		Marlborough, New									
		Britain, Newington,									
		Plainville, Rocky Hill,									
		Simsbury, Southington,									
		South Windsor, Suffield,									
Hartford		West Hartford,									
	Lottord CT MCA	Wethersfield, Windsor, Windsor Locks	\$827	\$873	E C0/	¢4 007	\$1,053	1 50/	¢1.050	¢1 014	2.60/
County Hartford	Hartford, CT MSA		\$8Z1	\$873	5.6%	\$1,037	\$1,053	1.5%	\$1,259	\$1,214	-3.6%
County		Hartland	\$727	\$806	10.9%	\$1,010	\$967	-4.3%	\$1,191	\$1,131	-5.0%
County		Bridgewater, New	φ121	\$000	10.9%	\$1,010	\$907	-4.3%	\$1,191	φ1,131	-5.0%
Litchfield	Danbury, CT	Milford, Roxbury,									
County	PMSA	Washington	\$1,084	\$1,109	2.3%	\$1,430	\$1,348	-5.7%	\$1,649	\$1,592	-3.5%
County		Washington	ψ1,00 4	ψ1,103	2.570	ψ1,430	ψ1,5+0	-5.770	φ1,043	ψ1,002	-0.070
		Barkhamsted, Harwinton,									
Litchfield		New Hartford, Plymouth,									
County	Hartford, CT MSA		\$827	\$873	5.6%	\$1,037	\$1,053	1.5%	\$1,259	\$1,214	-3.6%
County		Winchester	ψ021	φ070	0.070	φ1,007	φ1,000	1.070	ψ1,200	ψ1,214	0.070
Litchfield	Waterbury, CT	Bethlehem, Thomaston,									
County	PMSA	Watertown, Woodbury	\$881	\$755	-14.3%	\$1,098	\$904	-17.7%	\$1,231	\$937	-23.9%
		Canaan, Colebrook,	\$55	<i></i>		<i><i><i>ϕ</i>.,<i>c</i>.<i>c</i>.<i>c</i></i></i>	400		¢.,_o.	\$00	
		Cornwall, Goshen, Kent,									
		Litchfield, Morris,									
		Norfolk, North Canaan,									
Litchfield		Salisbury, Sharon,									
County		Torrington, Warren	\$842	\$765	-9.1%	\$1,050	\$1,015	-3.3%	\$1,196	\$1,328	11.0%
1		Cromwell, Durham, East									
1		Haddam, East Hampton,									
Middlesex		Haddam, Middlefield,									
County	Hartford, CT MSA	Middletown, Portland	\$827	\$873	5.6%	\$1,037	\$1,053	1.5%	\$1,259	\$1,214	-3.6%
	New Haven										
Middlesex	Meriden, CT										
County	PMSA	Clinton, Killingworth	\$939	\$903	-3.8%	\$1,204	\$1,080	-10.3%	\$1,395	\$1,183	-15.2%

\$1,035 \$1,375 \$1,316 \$1,183 \$937	-19.3% -6.3% -15.2%
\$1,375 \$1,316 \$1,183	-19.3% -6.3% -15.2%
\$1,316 \$1,183	-6.3%
\$1,316 \$1,183	-6.3%
\$1,183	-15.2%
\$1,183	-15.2%
\$1,183	-15.2%
\$937	-23.9%
\$937	-23.9%
\$937	-23.9%
\$1,035	-9.2%
	0.270
\$1,214	-3.6%
\$1,034	-21.8%
¢1 211	-3.6%
φı,∠14	-3.0%
	\$1,035 \$1,214 \$1,034 \$1,214

Windham		Ashford, Chaplin,									
County	Hartford, CT MSA	Windham	\$827	\$873	5.6%	\$1,037	\$1,053	1.5%	\$1,259	\$1,214	-3.6%
	New London										
Windham	Norwich, CTRI										
County	MSA	Canterbury, Plainfield	\$797	\$774	-2.9%	\$997	\$926	-7.1%	\$1,140	\$1,035	-9.2%
Windham	Worcester, MA										
County	CT PMSA	Thompson	\$827	\$840	1.6%	\$1,033	\$1,004	-2.8%	\$1,158	\$1,043	-9.9%
		Brooklyn, Eastford,									
		Hampton, Killingly,									
		Pomfret, Putnam,									
Windham		Scotland, Sterling,									
County		Woodstock	\$727	\$689	-5.2%	\$911	\$871	-4.4%	\$1,142	\$907	-20.6%

*HUD recently conducted a Random Digit Dialing survey of rents in this area. However, the results of this survey were not available at the time that final 2005 FMRs were published. Housing agencies in this area have the option of using either 2004 or final 2005 FMRs until HUD publishes revised final FMRs based on the RDD survey.

Counties in yellow have a decrease of at least 9.1% in the FMR for at least one bedroom size shown. Decreases of this size are particularly significant because housing agencies are allowed to set payment standards (the maximum amount of rent a voucher can cover) between 90% and 110% of FMR. In areas where the FMR decrease is 9.1% or more (that is, where 110% of the 2005 FMR is less than 100% of the 2004 FMR), housing agencies will have to reduce the amount of rent vouchers can cover unless the agency gets special approval from HUD or happens to have a current payment standard below 100% of the FMR.

Note: For background information on Fair Market Rents and discussion of the implications of Fair Market Rent changes for participants in the Housing Voucher Program and other federal housing programs, see www.cbpp.org/10-12-04hous.htm. For data on Fair Market Rents for other bedroom sizes see www.nlihc.org/2005fmrs/index.htm.