

Table A

Housing Choice Voucher Cuts, by State

	Number of families assisted, 2012	Estimated sequestration cuts, 2013	Estimated number of families assisted, after sequestration
Alabama	29,353	-1,913	27,440
Alaska	4,249	-277	3,972
Arizona	20,982	-1,368	19,614
Arkansas	20,999	-1,369	19,630
California	306,923	-20,007	286,916
Colorado	29,687	-1,935	27,752
Connecticut	33,839	-2,206	31,633
Delaware	10,193	-664	9,528
Dist. of Columbia	4,385	-286	4,099
Florida	93,405	-6,089	87,317
Georgia	51,996	-3,389	48,607
Hawaii	9,127	-595	8,532
Idaho	6,708	-437	6,271
Illinois	79,572	-5,187	74,385
Indiana	35,650	-2,324	33,326
Iowa	21,040	-1,371	19,668
Kansas	11,296	-736	10,559
Kentucky	31,327	-2,042	29,284
Louisiana	45,733	-2,981	42,752
Maine	12,068	-787	11,281
Maryland	42,323	-2,759	39,564
Massachusetts	75,593	-4,928	70,666
Michigan	51,540	-3,360	48,181
Minnesota	30,920	-2,016	28,904
Mississippi	21,779	-1,420	20,359
Missouri	39,004	-2,543	36,461
Montana	5,514	-359	5,154
Nebraska	11,510	-750	10,760
Nevada	13,848	-903	12,945
New Hampshire	9,277	-605	8,672
New Jersey	64,080	-4,177	59,903
New Mexico	12,135	-791	11,344
New York	224,185	-14,614	209,572
North Carolina	54,938	-3,581	51,357
North Dakota	6,670	-435	6,235
Ohio	91,588	-5,970	85,617
Oklahoma	23,099	-1,506	21,594

Table A

Housing Choice Voucher Cuts, by State

	Number of families assisted, 2012	Estimated sequestration cuts, 2013	Estimated number of families assisted, after sequestration
Oregon	32,181	-2,098	30,083
Pennsylvania	74,824	-4,877	69,947
Rhode Island	9,064	-591	8,473
South Carolina	24,016	-1,565	22,450
South Dakota	5,602	-365	5,236
Tennessee	33,400	-2,177	31,223
Texas	148,000	-9,648	138,352
Utah	10,372	-676	9,696
Vermont	6,042	-394	5,648
Virginia	44,008	-2,869	41,139
Washington	48,558	-3,165	45,393
West Virginia	13,364	-871	12,493
Wisconsin	26,571	-1,732	24,839
Wyoming	2,319	-151	2,168
Total	2,147,697	-140,000	2,007,697

Notes: "Estimated Sequestration Cuts, 2013" are estimated reductions in the number of families using Housing Vouchers due to the 2013 renewal funding shortfall caused largely by sequestration. These reductions will be achieved primarily through program attrition. Estimates assume that reductions are distributed in proportion to the number of families served in each state in 2012. Where housing agencies have substantial funding reserves, the estimated reductions may not fully materialize until early 2014. Where agencies are able to trim program spending in ways other than by reducing the number of families served, the reductions will be somewhat smaller.

Source: CBPP analysis of HUD Voucher Management System and other data.