

# The State of Indiana's Marketplace: Making Sense of Individual Market Rate Filings



Since the Affordable Care Act (ACA) was enacted in 2010, Indiana's uninsured rate has [dropped](#) from 14.8 to 9.6 percent, a 35 percent reduction that translates into 339,000 Hoosiers gaining coverage. Those gains are due in part to the ACA's individual market reforms, which prevent discrimination against Hoosiers with pre-existing health conditions, provide [127,000 Hoosiers](#) with tax credits that help pay for coverage, and allow all Hoosiers to shop and compare plans in a transparent marketplace.

Insurers in Indiana and across the country are in the process of submitting individual market plan offerings and premiums for 2018 to state and federal regulators. These rate filings will contribute to the ongoing debate about health insurance affordability and the state of Indiana's marketplace. Here are a few key points to keep in mind.

## Indiana's Marketplace Was Poised for Continued Stability and Success

Nationwide, it's increasingly clear that the Trump Administration inherited a marketplace that was poised for greater price stability and growing insurer competition going forward. For example, the [Kaiser Family Foundation](#) found that individual market insurers substantially narrowed the gap between premiums and costs in 2016, meaning that premium increases already in place for 2017 should put them on track to break even or earn a profit this year. For consumers, these improvements should translate into lower premium increases and more insurer competition.

Indiana's marketplace has seen low premium growth and strong competition since the ACA's major coverage reforms took effect in 2014. Even in 2017, when premiums rose significantly for much of the rest of the country, benchmark premiums for Indiana marketplace consumers fell by an average of [3 percent](#). **2017 marketplace premiums in Indiana are 19 percent below premiums for comparable employer coverage.** And Indiana's market also remains strongly competitive; [97 percent](#) of consumers had a choice of three or more insurers for 2017.

With marketplaces maturing and stabilizing around the country, Indiana's market should be poised for even greater success going forward. Consistent with that, Centene recently [announced](#) that it will be expanding its participation in Indiana's marketplace next year, offering plans in additional parts of the state.

## Trump Administration Sabotage Is Undermining Indiana's Market

Unfortunately, the Trump Administration has already acted to sabotage marketplace progress, in Indiana and around the country, by:

- [Threatening to withhold billions of dollars owed to insurers.](#) Under the ACA, insurers are required to offer plans with lower deductibles and copays ("cost-sharing reductions," or CSRs) to lower-income consumers; the federal government then reimburses them for the roughly \$10 billion annual cost. The Trump Administration has repeatedly threatened to withhold these cost-sharing reduction payments. To make up for the lost payments, insurers in Indiana would have to **raise premiums for affected plans by [14 percent](#) or [more](#) — or they might decide not to offer coverage at all.**
- [Creating uncertainty about whether it will enforce the ACA's individual mandate.](#) The individual mandate encourages healthy consumers to buy health insurance by requiring them to pay a penalty if they don't. But the Administration has [intimated](#) that it may stop enforcing the mandate. If insurers believe the mandate won't be enforced, they will **raise premiums by up to [20 percent](#)** nationwide to cover the resulting increase in per-enrollee costs.
- [Discontinuing outreach during one of the most critical weeks of open enrollment.](#) In its first week in office, the Administration abruptly halted outreach and marketing activities for the final week of the 2017 open enrollment period. That decision likely led to tens or hundreds of thousands [fewer sign-ups](#) nationwide for 2017, especially among younger, healthier consumers, which will mean **higher per-enrollee costs and premiums going forward.**
- [Finalizing rules that will cut tax credits and make it harder for people to sign up for coverage.](#) Under [new rules](#) finalized in April, millions of consumers will likely receive less help paying for coverage, the open enrollment period for 2018 will be shorter, and consumers who need coverage outside of open enrollment — if they lose coverage through their job, for example — will have a harder time signing up. These changes are likely to mean fewer sign-ups and again will contribute to **higher per-enrollee costs and premiums going forward.**

These actions put the continued health of Indiana's marketplace at risk. In a recent [analysis](#), actuaries at Oliver Wyman concluded that uncertainty about CSRs and the individual mandate will add 20 to 29 percent to individual market rate increases for 2018, leading to nationwide rate increases two-thirds higher than they otherwise would be. And, Anthem, one of Indiana's major insurers, has [warned](#) that it may *contract* its marketplace participation across multiple states if the Administration does not at least guarantee continued payment of cost-sharing reductions. It has already followed through on that warning in [Ohio](#).

## Final Marketplace Options Will Depend on What Policymakers Do Next

---

In the ACA marketplaces' first four years, every consumer nationwide had options for marketplace coverage. To ensure that the same is true this year, federal policymakers must, at a minimum:

- [Give insurers certainty that they will receive the cost-sharing reduction payments they're owed](#). Without that certainty, [governors](#) and [insurance commissioners](#) of both parties, [insurers, providers, and the Chamber of Commerce](#) have predicted that insurers won't just raise prices, many will stop offering marketplace plans altogether.
- [Commit to administering the law of the land](#). That means enforcing the ACA's individual mandate and undertaking the outreach needed to make sure consumers know about the coverage options available to them.
- [Work with insurers and state insurance commissioners](#) — as the previous Administration did — to facilitate insurer entry into new markets and make sure consumers everywhere in the country have options.

## Provided There Are Marketplace Options, Most Consumers Will Be Protected

---

Fortunately, the ACA is designed to shield most consumers from the rate increases that could result from the Trump Administration's actions, as well as from normal increases that will occur as insurers continue to bring premiums in line with costs. Consumers will be protected as long as the Administration's sabotage does not leave people in some parts of the country without marketplace options — and as long as the ACA itself stays intact.

That's because, under the ACA, most marketplace consumers don't pay sticker price for their health coverage. Instead, 73 percent of Indiana enrollees qualify for tax credits that are designed to keep coverage affordable for consumers no matter what headline premiums are. Under the ACA, people with incomes up to 400 percent of the federal poverty level — about \$100,000 for a family of four — pay no more than a set percentage of their income for benchmark health coverage. If the price of coverage increases, tax credits increase to compensate, and the amount families pay stays the same.

Most Indiana marketplace consumers could buy plans for less than \$100 per month in premiums.

Tax credit ensure that marketplace consumers have affordable options. In 2017, most Indiana marketplace consumers were able to find plans for less than \$100 per month. Marketplace consumers also benefit from the ability to shop around for coverage. Indiana marketplace consumers had a choice of [44 plans on average for 2017](#).

## The House ACA Repeal Bill Would Make Indiana's Challenges Far Worse

---

Along with sabotage by the Administration, legislative efforts to repeal the ACA threaten access to affordable coverage. The House ACA repeal bill, for example, would significantly worsen disruption in the individual market next year, raising individual market premiums by 20 percent and reducing enrollment, according to Congressional Budget Office [estimates](#).

Over the longer run, the House bill would further worsen affordability for marketplace consumers because tax credits would shrink and would no longer adjust based on people's income or the cost of their coverage. The bill would also increase headline premiums (by repealing the individual mandate) and would increase deductibles and other costs.

Overall, it would [increase total out-of-pocket costs](#) (premiums net of tax credits, deductibles, copays, and coinsurance) for current Indiana marketplace consumers by an average of nearly \$1,100 in 2020, and by far more for older and lower-income people. These increases would cause thousands of Hoosiers to lose coverage altogether and leave thousands more burdened with unaffordable premiums or deductibles that would keep them from accessing care.

June 21, 2017

For a version of this fact sheet with links to sources, see <http://www.cbpp.org/sites/default/files/atoms/files/6-21-17health-factsheets-in.pdf>.