WIC Works: Addressing the Nutrition and Health Needs of Low-Income Families for 40 Years

By Steven Carlson1 and Zoë Neuberger

Extensive research has found the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) to be a cost-effective investment that improves the nutrition and health of low-income families — leading to healthier infants, more nutritious diets and better health care for children, and subsequently to higher academic achievement for students. As a result of the research documenting WIC’s effectiveness, Administrations and Congresses of both parties have provided sufficient funding since 1997 to ensure that WIC can serve all eligible low-income pregnant women, infants, and young children who apply for it.

WIC provides nutritious foods, nutrition education, breastfeeding support, and referrals to health care and social services for millions of low-income families, and it plays a crucial role in improving lifetime health for women, their infants, and young children. Part of the nation’s nutrition safety net for over 40 years, WIC now serves more than 7 million pregnant and post-partum women, infants, and children through their fifth birthday. For a family to participate, it must have gross income of no more than 185 percent of the federal poverty level (now $37,296 for a family of three) and be at nutritional risk. To simplify program administration, an applicant who already receives SNAP (formerly food stamps), Medicaid, or Temporary Assistance for Needy Families cash assistance is automatically considered income-eligible.2

Over four decades, researchers have investigated WIC’s effects on key measures of child health such as birth weight, infant mortality, diet quality and nutrient intake, initiation and duration of breastfeeding, cognitive development and learning, immunization, use of health services, and
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What Works to Reduce Poverty

As part of Policy Futures, we examine “what works” when it comes to federal and state policies and programs to reduce poverty and promote opportunity for low-income Americans. We synthesize and amplify the work of poverty researchers around the country on program effectiveness. This effort is designed to inform discussions about new investments in anti-poverty programs as well as reforms of, and funding levels for, existing programs.
childhood anemia. Two comprehensive reviews of the research literature catalogued the findings on WIC's effectiveness through 2010. This paper builds on those reviews, extending the evidence from earlier studies with more recent research. Taken as a whole, the evidence demonstrates WIC's effectiveness.

- Women who participate in WIC give birth to healthier babies who are more likely to survive infancy.
- WIC supports more nutritious diets and better infant feeding practices. WIC participants now buy and eat more fruits, vegetables, whole grains, and low-fat dairy products, following the introduction of new WIC food packages that are more closely aligned to current dietary guidance.
- Low-income children participating in WIC are just as likely to be immunized as more affluent children, and are more likely to receive preventive medical care than other low-income children.
- Children whose mothers participated in WIC while pregnant scored higher on assessments of mental development at age 2 than similar children whose mothers did not participate, and they later performed better on reading assessments while in school.
- Improvements made to the WIC food packages in recent years have contributed to healthier food environments in low-income neighborhoods, enhancing access to fruits, vegetables, and whole grains for all consumers regardless of whether they participate in WIC.

**Why the Early Years Are So Important**

It has long been recognized that poor children lag behind non-poor children on a wide range of indicators of physical, mental, academic, and economic well-being. Poor children are more likely to have health, behavioral, learning, and emotional problems. This is especially true of poor children whose families experience deep poverty, those who are poor during early childhood, and those who are poor for a long time. Poor children are also more likely to be food insecure, and food insecurity in households with children is associated with inadequate intake of several important nutrients, deficits in cognitive development, behavioral problems, and poor health.

---


Mounting evidence suggests that the consequences of adversity during early childhood can extend well beyond childhood and affect physical, mental, and economic well-being throughout life. Harvard University’s Center on the Developing Child, for example, writes that:

Toxic stress experienced early in life and common precipitants of toxic stress — such as poverty, abuse or neglect, parental substance abuse or mental illness, and exposure to violence — can have a cumulative toll on an individual’s physical and mental health. The more adverse experiences in childhood, the greater the likelihood of developmental delays and other problems.

Poverty in early childhood may be particularly harmful:

Not only does the astonishingly rapid development of young children’s brains leave them sensitive (and vulnerable) to environmental conditions, but the family context (as opposed to schools or peers) dominates children’s everyday lives.

Urban Institute researchers have shown that children who are born into poor families are more likely to drop out of high school, have teen premarital births, have inconsistent employment records, and be poor as adults than children not born poor. Very young children living in food-insecure families are more likely to have low academic scores and to exhibit problem behaviors when they enter kindergarten. Research on the causal impact of childhood poverty — apart from other disadvantages often associated with poverty that may be detrimental to children, such as low levels of parental education or living with a single parent — reveals that family income early in childhood appears to matter for a range of employment outcomes in adulthood, including earnings and work hours.

Sound investments that reduce early childhood adversity can strengthen the foundations of physical and mental health, with lifelong consequences for educational achievement, economic productivity, health, and longevity. According to the American Academy of Pediatrics:

---


11 Duncan GJ, Ziol-Guest K, Kalil A (2010). Early-childhood poverty and adult attainment, behavior, and health. Child Development, 81(1):306-325. The authors estimate that a $10,000 annual increase in low-income families’ income before a child’s fifth birthday is associated with a 68 percent increase in adult earnings and more than 500 additional work hours per year after age 25.
When developing biological systems are strengthened by positive early experiences, children are more likely to thrive and grow up to be healthy, contributing adults. Sound health in early childhood provides a foundation for the construction of sturdy brain architecture and the achievement of a broad range of skills and learning capacities.  

Nutrition influences health at every stage of life. Good nutrition during pregnancy is especially important to support fetal development and protect mothers from pregnancy-related risks of gestational diabetes, excessive weight gain, hypertension, and iron deficiency anemia. Good nutrition in early childhood can promote development and foster healthy behaviors that may carry over into adulthood.

Researchers at the University at Buffalo School of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences recently found substantial differences in the solid foods fed to babies from different socioeconomic classes. Specifically, the babies of less educated mothers and poorer households were more likely to be fed diets high in sugar and fat, while diets that more closely followed infant feeding guidelines were linked to higher education and higher income. These disparities are important because of new evidence that links early postnatal nutrition to long-term health outcomes.

WIC aims to improve the health and nutritional well-being of low-income women and their young children by intervening at critical times of growth and development. Thus, WIC has the potential to improve the life chances of millions of infants and children.

Impacts on Pregnancy and Birth Outcomes

Numerous studies have shown that women who participate in WIC give birth to healthier babies who are more likely to survive infancy. Seminal USDA research early in WIC’s history found that prenatal WIC participation resulted in longer pregnancies, fewer premature births, lower incidence of moderately low and very low birth-weight infants, and fewer infant deaths. While much has changed since those early years, the evidence remains strong that WIC helps improve birth outcomes.

---


outcomes. Study after study has shown that participation in WIC during pregnancy is associated with longer gestations, higher birth weights, and generally healthier infants, and that the effects tend to be largest for children born to the most disadvantaged mothers.

- **WIC helps mothers give birth to healthier infants.** Maternal and child health experts carefully monitor birth weight and gestational age of newborns because they are important indicators of an infant’s health and likely survival. Babies born early or with low birth weight are at higher risk of early death. Low birth-weight babies who survive are more likely to experience cognitive and developmental delays and struggle with disabilities during their childhood and adolescence; they also face higher risks of chronic disease as adults.

WIC supports healthier pregnancies and births by providing the nutritious foods pregnant women and their babies need, referring mothers for essential medical care, and encouraging them to adopt healthy behaviors (such as not smoking during pregnancy).

The available research strongly suggests that women who participate in WIC give birth to healthier infants than eligible non-participants. A review of more than three dozen studies published between 1979 and 2004 concluded that WIC increased average birth weight, reduced the incidence of low birth weight, and improved several other key birth outcomes. A subsequent review of the next generation of studies published through 2010 echoed this conclusion, noting consistent findings that WIC increased average birth weight and reduced the incidence of low and very low birth weight.

There has been less consensus on the size of WIC’s positive impact. Research in the last decade has generally shown that WIC participation is associated with increases in birth weights ranging from about 25 to 70 grams. (See Figure 1.) One influential study estimated that WIC reduced the probability of low birth weight by about 30 percent and the probability of very low birth weight by about half. Few of these studies, however, account for the fact that women whose pregnancies last longer have more opportunity to enroll in WIC (see

---

16 This review, sponsored by USDA’s Economic Research Service, points out that “the consistency of the results across studies is noteworthy. This is especially true when . . . the bulk of the literature is comprised of relatively large, well-conducted studies, [and] includes both national samples and state-level data . . . from a number of different time periods” (USDA, Economic Research Service 2004).

17 USDA, Food and Nutrition Service (2012).


19 These increases are likely to be clinically relevant. “Small WIC impacts on birth outcomes may be sufficient for program benefits to exceed costs, given the relatively modest program costs per pregnant mother and the substantial medical and other social savings associated with averting even a small number of poor birth outcomes” (Ludwig J and Miller M (2005). Interpreting the WIC debate. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management* 24(4):691-701.)

discussion of gestational age bias in the appendix), so the positive outcomes seemingly associated with WIC participation may reflect the longer pregnancy.

FIGURE 1

WIC Participation Improves Birth Weight

Estimated impact on birth weight (grams)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sonchak (2016)</td>
<td>6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoynes et al. (2011)</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joyce et al. (2008)</td>
<td>40*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bitler and Currie (2005)</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joyce et al. (2005)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lazariu-Bauer et al. (2004)</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Birth weight adjusted for gestational age (length of pregnancy).


Studies that correct gestational age at birth still find that WIC makes a positive, but more modest, difference. One study, for example, reports an 11 percent reduction in the incidence of small-for-gestational-age births; another found infants participating in WIC to be about 6 percent less likely to be low birth weight and 5 percent less likely to be small for gestational age; and a third found that African American mothers who participate in WIC are 5 percent less likely to deliver a low birth weight infant and their infants are 5 percent less likely to be small for gestational age.21

It is likely, however, that correcting for gestational age at birth may understate WIC’s positive impact on birth outcomes because it effectively eliminates any positive effect WIC has on extending the duration of healthy pregnancies. Participation in WIC could help extend healthy pregnancies, for example, by better connecting mothers to prenatal health care. An important new study takes a different approach, using linked birth/death certificate and WIC records in California to compare women eligible for WIC in each week of gestation. This approach addresses gestational age bias by comparing birth outcomes among women whose pregnancies reach the same length and who have the same opportunity to use WIC. This

research concludes that participation in WIC is associated with large reductions in the risk of adverse birth outcomes beginning about the 29th week of pregnancy: the risk of premature birth was reduced by 29 to 48 percent, the risk of low birth weight by 23 to 36 percent, and the risk of perinatal death by 22 to 31 percent.22 (See Figure 2.)

**FIGURE 2**

**WIC Participation Reduces Risk of Adverse Birth Outcomes**

Percent reduction in risk at each week of pregnancy

![Graph showing percent reduction in risk at each week of pregnancy.](image)

*Fetal or infant death 20 through 46 weeks after last menstrual period.

- **Prenatal WIC participation lowers the risk of infant mortality.** Infant mortality — death in the first year of life after a live birth — takes a serious toll on the health and well-being of many families and is a key indicator of the health and well-being of communities and the nation. About 23,000 infants die each year, according to the most recent information from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC); infant mortality rates are about twice as high among African American mothers as white mothers. Many factors contribute to infant mortality, including the quality of health care and maternal nutrition.

WIC reduces the risk of infant mortality by connecting expectant mothers to essential prenatal health care, promoting healthy eating through nutrition assessments and counseling, and providing healthy foods tailored to the specific needs of pregnant women and their babies.

---

Several early studies suggested that prenatal WIC participation was associated with reductions in infant mortality.\textsuperscript{23} Although researchers have paid less attention to this issue since then, a recent study in Ohio found a lower infant mortality rate among WIC participants (8.0 infant deaths per 1,000 live births) than non-WIC participants (10.6). (See Figure 3.) The difference was especially striking for African Americans, with a rate of 9.6 among WIC participants compared to 21.0 among non-WIC participants, significantly reducing the racial disparity between African American and white mothers.\textsuperscript{24} A similar examination of infant mortality rates in Kansas found a comparable difference among African Americans (9.8 among WIC participants compared to 17.7 among non-participants). WIC participation, however, was associated with a slightly higher infant mortality rate among white mothers.\textsuperscript{25}

### Impacts on Nutrition

WIC supports healthier diets, promotes breastfeeding and better infant feeding practices, and may improve food security among children. Since the introduction of improved food packages better aligned with current dietary guidance, WIC participants are purchasing and consuming more fruits, vegetables, whole grains, and low-fat dairy.

\textsuperscript{23} See, for example, the summary in Table 18 of USDA, Economic Research Service (2004).


Adequate nutrition during infancy and early childhood is essential to the growth, health, and development of children to their full potential. Moreover, it is important to establish healthful eating behaviors early in life. CDC research teams have linked detailed data on infants’ feeding practices to information on their diet, health, and development six years later to show the importance of early nutrition for long-term health outcomes. Infants who are breastfed longer and introduced to foods or beverages other than breast milk later, for example, tend to have lower rates of ear, throat, and sinus infections by age 6; infants who consume sugar-sweetened beverages are twice as likely to consume them and to be obese at age 6; and infants who consume fruits and vegetables infrequently are more likely to be infrequent consumers at age 6.\(^{26}\)

WIC provides supplemental foods designed to meet the special nutritional needs of low-income women, infants, and their young children. In December 2007, USDA updated the rules governing WIC foods based on recommendations from the Institute of Medicine to align them more closely with the latest nutrition science and guidance, including the 2005 Dietary Guidelines for Americans and the American Academy of Pediatrics’ infant feeding practice guidelines. The changes were designed to promote sound nutrition and healthy weight by providing vouchers for fruits and vegetables; adding whole grain and soy products; reducing milk, cheese, and juice allowances; restricting the fat content of milk; reducing saturated fat, cholesterol, and sugar; and giving state agencies more flexibility to accommodate the food preferences of specific cultural groups.\(^{27}\) All states were required to implement the new food packages by October 2009. In January 2017, the Institute of Medicine (now called the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine) completed a new review and made recommendations to align the food packages with the most recent Dietary Guidelines, increase flexibility to better support breastfeeding, enhance options to meet cultural needs, and reduce WIC agency and vendor burdens.\(^{28}\)

- **WIC supports more nutritious diets.** A healthy diet helps put children on a path to realizing their full potential. Moreover, establishing healthful eating habits early in life can help prevent the onset of diet-related disease.

WIC improves the quality of participants’ diets by providing healthy foods tailored to meet the nutrient needs of mothers and their children during pregnancy, breastfeeding, infancy, and childhood. Participants can use WIC vouchers only for specific healthy foods, such as whole

---


\(^{27}\) On February 28, 2014, USDA released a final rule, replacing the interim rule issued in December 2007. The final rule raises the dollar amount for children’s fruit and vegetable purchases by more than 30 percent. It also expands whole-grain options available to participants, provides yogurt as a partial milk substitute for women and children, allows parents of older infants to purchase fresh fruits and vegetables instead of jarred infant food if they choose, and gives state and local agencies more flexibility to meet the nutritional and cultural needs of participants.

grains, dairy, fish, peanut butter, beans, and fruits and vegetables. In addition, WIC makes nutrition education available to parents and caretakers. Mothers — individually or in groups — meet with a nutritionist, registered dietitian, or trained paraprofessional to learn about the important relationships among nutrition, physical activity, and health. They also discuss issues such as healthy eating during and after pregnancy, developing healthy eating habits in children, reading food labels when shopping, and cooking healthy meals.

There is strong evidence that the introduction of WIC increased infants’ and children’s intakes of some essential vitamins and minerals, especially iron. While vitamin and mineral intake has improved for most children since those early years, more recent research suggests that WIC participation increases the iron density of preschoolers’ diets, reduces the intake of fat as a percentage of food energy, increases the intake of carbohydrates as a percentage of food energy, and reduces consumption of added sugars.29

Introduction of the revised food packages enhanced WIC’s impact on healthy diets. Several researchers examined the impact of the changes in the food packages on participants’ overall food purchases and consumption choices. Regardless of locale, population group, or research method, the results are generally consistent: WIC participants are purchasing and consuming more fruits, vegetables, whole grains, and low-fat dairy. For example:

![FIGURE 4](image)

**Revised WIC Food Package Increased Consumption of Healthy Foods Among California WIC Participants**

- Family eats more whole grains* 51%
- Child usually drinks lower-fat milk* 29%
- Respondent usually drinks lower-fat milk* 20%
- Family eats more vegetables* 18%
- Families consume fruit more frequently** 10%
- Families consume vegetables more frequently** 5%

*Percentage change in the number of survey respondents or their families who consumed healthy food.

**Percentage change in the number of days in the past week on which survey respondents consumed fruits or vegetables.


- Surveys of WIC participants in California before and after implementation revealed that the new food package increases the consumption of fruit, vegetables, whole grains, and lower-fat milk. Consumption of whole-grain foods increased more than 50 percent, the percentage of caregivers and children who usually consumed lower-fat

milk increased by 20 to 30 percent, nearly 20 percent of WIC families ate more vegetables, and the frequency of fruit and vegetable consumption increased by 5 to 10 percent.  

- Analyses of more than 3.5 million WIC records in New York before and after implementation showed rapid and consistent increases in daily fruit, vegetable, whole grain, and low- and non-fat milk consumption among young children.
- Scanner data from a New England supermarket chain revealed that after implementation, WIC participants purchased more vegetables (up 9 percent), fruits (up 26 percent), reduced-fat milk (up 56 percent), and 100-percent whole grains (up 211 percent).

---

**FIGURE 5**

**Adding Healthier Foods to WIC Food Packages in Connecticut and Massachusetts Boosted Participants’ Healthy Food Purchases**

Increase in amount purchased

- Vegetables: 9%
- Fruits: 26%
- Reduced-fat milk: 56%
- 100% whole-grain breads: 21%


---


Six months after the WIC food package revisions were implemented, researchers observing the behaviors of African American and Hispanic children and their mothers in 12 WIC clinics in Chicago found a significant decrease in whole-milk consumption, a significant increase in lower-fat milk consumption for all except African American mothers, an increase in fruit consumption among Hispanic mothers, and an increase in the availability of whole grains in the household. A follow-up study after 18 months found continued improvements in intakes of total fat, saturated fat, fiber, and overall dietary quality among Hispanic children. In addition, the prevalence of reduced-fat milk intake significantly increased for African American and Hispanic children, and the prevalence of whole-milk intake significantly decreased for all groups.

Researchers in Texas report that the probability of consuming whole milk among WIC participants decreased by 45 percent and the probability of consuming reduced-fat milk increased by 33 percent shortly after implementation of the revised food package. In a separate study, they also found increases in lower-fat milk, fruit, vegetable, and whole-grain intake among Native American children.

Researchers in Georgia report that African American children participating in WIC significantly increased their intake of low-fat milk after the food package changes. The percentage of children consuming low-fat milk increased from 41 percent before the change to 79 percent four weeks after the change.

Average scores for young, low-income children on the Healthy Eating Index — a measure of diet quality that assesses conformance to the Dietary Guidelines for Americans — increased more than twice as much (11 percent) among WIC participants as among non-participants (5 percent) between 2003-2008 (before implementation) and 2011-2012 (after implementation).

**WIC promotes and supports breastfeeding.** Breastfeeding is a beneficial source of nutrition that provides the healthiest start for an infant. The American Academy of Pediatrics recommends that new mothers breastfeed exclusively for about the first six months of a

---


baby’s life and continue for as long as mutually desired by mother and baby. In addition to its nutritional benefits, breastfeeding protects against a number of illnesses and allergies, and is associated with reductions in Sudden Infant Death Syndrome and obesity. It also promotes a unique and emotional connection between mother and baby.

WIC promotes breastfeeding as the optimal infant feeding choice and supports mothers along the way. WIC offers new mothers breastfeeding counseling, peer support, and enhanced benefits (while also providing safe and appropriate food for formula-fed infants). Mothers who choose to breastfeed receive counseling, educational materials, and follow-up support from other mothers with personal experience. Breastfeeding mothers also retain their eligibility for WIC benefits longer. Mothers who exclusively breastfeed receive a food package that is both larger and more varied.

In general, despite WIC’s strong policy and operational emphasis on promoting breastfeeding, mothers participating in WIC have been less likely than non-participating mothers to breastfeed their infants. Whether this is because providing free infant formula creates an incentive for formula feeding or because mothers who are less likely to breastfeed are also more likely to participate in WIC remains unclear. It is clear, however, that women who are African American, less educated, lower income, or younger are less likely to breastfeed than other women and more likely to participate in WIC. Breastfeeding attitudes and intentions may also matter: women who are still breastfeeding at the time they bring their infants to the WIC clinic for the first time are substantially more likely to have had stronger prenatal intentions, more positive attitudes, and better perceived social support than mothers who stopped breastfeeding.

There are indications that the difference between breastfeeding rates among all women and WIC participants has narrowed. Between 2002 and 2013, the percentage of all children who were breastfed increased from 71.4 percent to 81.1 percent. Over roughly the same period, from 2002 to 2014, the percentage of infants participating in WIC who were breastfed increased from 48.3 percent to 69.8 percent. (See Figure 6.) Thus, while mothers

42 Thorn B, Tadler C, Huret N, Ayo E, Trippe C, Mendelson M, Patian KL, Schwartz G, Tran V (2015). WIC Participant and Program Characteristics 2014. Prepared by Insight Policy Research. Alexandria, VA: Food and Nutrition Service, USDA, https://www.fns.usda.gov/wic-participant-and-program-characteristics-2014. While these are the best data available, it is important to note that some of the increase in breastfeeding rates among women participating in WIC may be due to improved reporting over this period. In 2002, 68 state agencies reported WIC breastfeeding rates; by 2014 this had grown to 83 state agencies (covering 99 percent of all WIC participants). While the true increase between 2002 and 2014 may be somewhat smaller than reported, the growth in breastfeeding rates among WIC mothers still exceeds the national trend.
participating in WIC are less likely than others to begin breastfeeding, the gap is substantially smaller than it was.

**FIGURE 6**

Breastfeeding Rate Among WIC Participants Has Risen Substantially
Percentage of infants participating in WIC who were breastfed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rate</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

45% increase over 12 years


In response to recommendations from the Institute of Medicine, USDA strengthened the incentives and support for breastfeeding when the new food packages were implemented in 2009. To encourage mothers to begin breastfeeding, WIC no longer routinely provides formula for the first month after birth. To encourage greater duration and intensity of breastfeeding, WIC provides only a limited amount of formula in subsequent months to partially breastfeeding infants. Mothers who require more formula now receive the full-formula food package, even if they are still partially breastfeeding. The full-formula package provides less food for the mother than the partially breastfeeding and fully breastfeeding packages, and the maternal benefits end when the infant is six months old (for the partially or fully breastfeeding packages, they last throughout the infant’s first year).

Even as the Institute of Medicine recommended these changes, there was concern that they might have unintended negative consequences on program participation and breastfeeding practices. Fortunately, USDA’s subsequent evaluation of breastfeeding practices during the first month of infant life found no evidence of adverse impacts.

43 As the committee’s report noted, “[a] breastfeeding mother — especially one who intends to combine breastfeeding and formula feeding, who needs to return to work, or who faces other personal challenges to breastfeeding — may need some formula to nourish her infant adequately during the first month postpartum. Some mothers who might otherwise try breastfeeding may choose formula feeding to be sure they can obtain formula (a high-cost item) if they run into breastfeeding difficulties.”

44 This evaluation assessed initiation, intensity, and duration of breastfeeding. It also found that after the change, fewer WIC participants who were mothers of new infants received the partially breastfeeding package and more received the
Moreover, some early evidence suggests that the new policies may encourage breastfeeding, as intended. An expert panel assembled by the Institute of Medicine recently reviewed the available evidence, and concluded that “[c]ollectively, the studies suggest that the enhanced food packages, together with improved support for breastfeeding in anticipation of the new packages, may have had a small effect on improving breastfeeding outcomes.” An analysis of WIC records in New York showed rapid and consistent increases in breastfeeding initiation (from 72 percent in 2008 to over 77 percent in 2011). An analysis of WIC administrative records for more than 180,000 infants in Los Angeles found that issuance rates of the fully breastfeeding package at enrollment increased by 86 percent, while issuance rates of packages that included infant formula decreased significantly. In a sample of predominately Latina women in Los Angeles, the prevalence of breastfeeding initiation increased after the implementation of the new food package, and the prevalence of exclusive breastfeeding at 3 and 6 months roughly doubled. Finally, a small study in central Texas found small increases in the prevalence of breastfeeding initiation and in breastfeeding duration among WIC infants. The evidence on the relationship between the new food packages and breastfeeding is not yet conclusive, however.

There is also some evidence that early participation in WIC may improve breastfeeding rates. Women who enter WIC in the first trimester of their pregnancy are more likely to begin breastfeeding and continue breastfeeding longer than women who enter in their third trimester. Among mothers with more than one child, for example, early participation in WIC

-----
46 Chiasson et al. (2013).
47 Whaley SE, Koleilat M, Whaley M, Gomez J, Meehan K, Saluja K (2012). Impact of policy changes on infant feeding decisions among low-income women participating in the Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children. American Journal of Public Health, 102(12):2269-2273. It is possible that some of this increase was due to a successful breastfeeding promotion campaign for WIC participants and staff that began shortly before the introduction of the revised food packages. As noted above, Wilde et al. (2011) report a reduction in the number of mothers receiving the partial breastfeeding package but an increase in the number receiving the full formula package in a random sample of 17 local WIC agencies.
50 At least one study, while observing that the rate at which children are ever breastfed increased across the nation, concluded that the increase is not associated with changes in the WIC food package. See Joyce T, Reeder J (2015). Changes in breastfeeding among WIC participants following implementation of the new food package. Maternal and Child Health Journal, 19(4):868-876.
increased the chances of breastfeeding at three months by 15 percent, at six months by 25 percent, and at 12 months by 33 percent.\footnote{WIC supports better infant feeding practices. The American Academy of Pediatrics recommends that parents introduce solid foods into their baby’s diet around six months and delay the introduction of cow’s milk until a child’s first birthday. Babies who start eating solid food too early are more likely to be overweight or obese later in life. Cow’s milk is not only difficult for infants to digest, but fails to provide all of the fat, calories, and nutrients (especially iron) that babies need to grow well and be healthy.

WIC supports healthy infant feeding by excluding all cow’s milk from infant food packages, introducing infant cereal, fruits, and vegetables at six months, and, for fully breastfed babies, introducing infant meats at six months. The inclusion of infant formula in food packages for those mothers who are unable or choose not to breastfeed ensures that all infants have a safe and appropriate source of good nutrition.

New data from a national survey of infant feeding practices show that the early introduction of solid foods is no longer a major issue among WIC participants. Few mothers participating in WIC (20 percent) introduce cereals, fruits, vegetables, or meat before 4 months, compared to at least 60 percent 20 years ago. In addition, cow’s milk is introduced to most infants near their first birthday, consistent with current guidance: at 8 months of age, only 10 percent of infants have consumed cow’s milk, and by 11 months, 37 percent have.\footnote{WIC may improve food security. Food security — access to a safe and secure source of enough food to sustain an active, healthy life — is important for children to develop normally and grow up healthy. Food insecurity among children is associated with many adverse consequences for cognitive development as well as for school readiness, academic performance, and educational attainment; physical, mental, and social health; and behavior. Recent research shows that even marginal food security is associated with poor health and developmental outcomes.}

WIC could improve food insecurity and reduce hunger as part of the national nutrition safety net, though these goals are not a central part of its mission. In general, food hardships fall as food spending rises. WIC’s monthly food package effectively supplements household food budgets, increasing participants’ resources to buy food and potentially improving food security.\footnote{WIC may improve food security. Food security — access to a safe and secure source of enough food to sustain an active, healthy life — is important for children to develop normally and grow up healthy. Food insecurity among children is associated with many adverse consequences for cognitive development as well as for school readiness, academic performance, and educational attainment; physical, mental, and social health; and behavior. Recent research shows that even marginal food security is associated with poor health and developmental outcomes.}


\footnotetext[54]{We would expect WIC’s impact on food security to be relatively modest given the value of the monthly WIC food benefit — about $61 per person in fiscal year 2016. (Note that the average monthly cost to the federal government was}
Relatively few studies have looked directly at the relationship between WIC and food security. There is some indication, however, that participation in WIC is associated with improvements in food security, especially among children. A recent study estimates that participation in WIC reduces the prevalence of food insecurity among children by at least 20 percent. In addition, indirect evidence of WIC’s impact is found in increases in food insecurity among children who age out of WIC after their fifth birthday but have not yet enrolled in kindergarten so do not receive free or reduced-price meals at school. And the risk of household food insecurity after giving birth among the most at-risk mothers in Massachusetts was about one-third lower if they enrolled in WIC in the first trimester of their pregnancy rather than the third.

Impacts on Immunization, Health, and Cognitive Development

Low-income children participating in WIC have immunization rates comparable to more affluent children and significantly higher than low-income children who never participated — and are more likely to receive preventive medical care than other low-income children. Participation in WIC may also help reduce childhood obesity and reduce the prevalence of anemia. In addition, new evidence suggests that early exposure to WIC may improve children’s educational prospects.

• **WIC helps ensure that children are properly immunized.** Immunizing children against disease is important to help them stay healthy and to protect others from diseases that once injured or killed thousands of children. Because of advances in medical science, immunized children are protected against more diseases than ever before. Widespread immunization also helps protect those who are too young or unable to be vaccinated. And by reducing, and in some cases eliminating, harmful diseases, today’s vaccinations can protect future generations from harm.

As an adjunct to health care services, WIC screens the immunization records of all infants and children under age 2 and refers parents to immunization services to help ensure that coverage is up to date. A recent study of families enrolled in Medicaid in South Carolina found that WIC participation was associated with a modest increase in the probability that an infant is vaccinated. By way of comparison, the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (food stamps) provided a monthly benefit of $126 per person in 2016. By way of comparison, the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (food stamps) provided a monthly benefit of $126 per person in 2016.

The findings are more striking for children. Low-income children who have never participated in WIC have immunization rates ranging from 5 to 19 percent lower than current


participants, while children who remain in WIC tend to have immunization coverage comparable to higher-income children.\(^59\) (See Figure 7.)

**FIGURE 7**

**Low-Income Children Participating in WIC Have Vaccination Rates Comparable to Higher-Income Children**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measles</th>
<th>WIC eligible and participating</th>
<th>Not WIC eligible (higher-income)</th>
<th>WIC eligible but never participated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diphtheria, tetanus, and pertussis</th>
<th>WIC eligible and participating</th>
<th>Not WIC eligible (higher-income)</th>
<th>WIC eligible but never participated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Combination*</th>
<th>WIC eligible and participating</th>
<th>Not WIC eligible (higher-income)</th>
<th>WIC eligible but never participated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Protection against multiple childhood diseases, including measles, chickenpox, polio, and diphtheria.


- **WIC improves access to health care.** Children’s health depends partially on their access to health care services. Parents and children with access to health care are diagnosed and treated promptly and can obtain quality preventive care, which can enable them to avoid illness or complications. WIC serves as a gateway to health care, connecting families to resources such as prenatal, obstetric, maternal, and pediatric care; dental care; and counseling for smoking cessation drug and alcohol abuse.

In general, research has shown that children who participate in WIC — or whose mothers do — make more use of health care services than non-participants. Researchers in North Carolina, for example, concluded that WIC participation among children was associated with increased use of preventive care and increased diagnosis and treatment of common childhood illnesses. Similar results regarding the frequency of well-child visits were found in a survey of unmarried, low-income urban mothers in 20 cities nationwide and in an analysis of Medicaid

records in South Carolina. Infants and children who participate in WIC are linked to the health care system and are much more likely to receive both preventive and curative care.

- **WIC may help reduce childhood obesity.** One out of every five children in the United States is overweight or obese. Childhood obesity is particularly troubling because it can start children on the path to health problems once confined to adults, such as diabetes, high blood pressure, and high cholesterol.

WIC can reduce the risk of obesity among young children in several ways. Revised food packages provide fewer calories for most participants. The fruits, vegetables, and whole grains in the food package are consistent with recommended food patterns associated with healthy weight. In addition, rising breastfeeding rates among participating mothers may protect against excessive weight gain.

Emerging evidence suggests that obesity prevention initiatives are leading to progress. Obesity rates among 2- to 4-year-olds enrolled in WIC declined in 31 states and 3 territories from 2010 to 2014. This is consistent with earlier data that suggest the rise in early child obesity rates has halted, and obesity may even be falling among preschoolers more generally. Because children become overweight and obese for a variety of reasons — the most common of which are genetic factors, lack of physical activity, and unhealthy eating patterns — it is difficult to tease out the contribution of any single factor. Nonetheless, a multi-pronged response to child obesity by the federal government and health professionals appears to be playing an important role in these developments. CDC has stated that federal policy reforms in child nutrition programs, such as the 2009 revisions to the WIC food package, may have contributed to the halt in the rise in obesity rates among low-income preschool children.

Other studies document small but significant decreases in childhood obesity in a number of states. Between 2008 and 2011, for example, the prevalence of obesity among low-income preschool children fell in 18 states, did not change in 20 states, and increased in only 3 states. Similarly, the prevalence of obesity among 3- to 4-year-old children participating in WIC in Los Angeles County fell between 2009 and 2011, reversing an earlier upward trend. The
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64 May et al. (2013).
prevalence of obesity among 3- to 4-year-old children participating in WIC in New York City fell between 2003 and 2009 and held steady between 2009 and 2011.\textsuperscript{65}

**WIC helps reduce the prevalence of anemia.** Iron is an essential mineral that, among other functions, carries oxygen throughout the body and helps muscles store and use that oxygen. Iron deficiency anemia, resulting from too little iron in the body, can increase the risk of premature birth, delay normal motor skills and cognitive processing in infants, and cause fatigue or memory loss in adolescents and adults. In addition, the American Academy of Pediatrics notes that iron deficiency without anemia during infancy and childhood can also have lasting implications for development and behavior.\textsuperscript{66} While the nutrient intakes of infants, toddlers, and young children generally meet or exceed dietary recommendations, there is evidence of a subset of older infants whose iron intake falls short of those recommendations.\textsuperscript{67}

WIC was created, in part, to reduce the prevalence of iron deficiency and iron deficiency anemia by providing only iron-fortified infant formulas, infant foods, and breakfast cereals in prescribed food packages for infants and young children. It may also have had an indirect effect, as manufacturers brought iron-fortified products reformulated for WIC to market, making them available to all children, whether they participate in WIC or not.

The evidence suggests that WIC played a role in reducing the prevalence of childhood anemia. CDC researchers found a steady decline in the prevalence of anemia between 1976 and 1985 (from 7.8 percent to 2.9 percent) and a reduction of more than 5 percent between 1980 and 1995, periods of substantial growth in WIC participation.\textsuperscript{68} In addition, several studies have found that participation in WIC is associated with increased iron intake.\textsuperscript{69}

**Children who participate in WIC do better in school.** There is substantial evidence that disadvantages during critical periods of brain development can affect children’s cognitive development and readiness to learn, producing disparities in skills and academic achievement. These disparities may grow as children age. WIC supports sound nutrition during critical periods of cognitive development to mitigate the detrimental effects of poverty.

New research suggests that prenatal and early childhood participation in WIC is associated with improved cognitive development and academic achievement. WIC is quite effective in reaching women during, rather than after, their pregnancy: 83 percent of new mothers


participating in WIC enrolled during their pregnancy. Children whose mothers participated in WIC while pregnant scored higher on assessments of mental development at age 2 than similar children whose mothers did not participate. The benefit associated with WIC participation persisted into the school years, as children whose mothers participated in WIC when they were in utero performed better on reading assessments.

**Impacts on Neighborhood Food Environments**

Improvements to the WIC food packages and requirements that participating stores stock a wider array of more nutritious foods have helped create healthier neighborhood food environments, improving access to fruits, vegetables, and whole grains for many low-income communities.

The environments in which people make food choices can affect their diet quality and health. For some, eating a healthy diet may be difficult because nutritious options are not readily available, easily accessible, or affordable in their communities. Many low-income and underserved communities have few stores that sell healthy food, especially high-quality fruits and vegetables. Limited availability of healthy foods can increase the risk of poor nutrition and chronic health conditions.

Revisions to the WIC food packages are helping to reshape the food retailing landscape in many communities. To obtain authorization to accept WIC food vouchers, stores must meet minimum food inventory requirements established by states. As a result of the food package revisions, all WIC-authorized grocery stores must now stock at least two varieties of fruits, two varieties of vegetables, and at least one whole-grain cereal, potentially increasing their availability for all consumers.

Although participants obtain most of their WIC foods in large superstores, supermarkets or grocery stores (76 percent in 2012), small grocery, convenience, and corner stores are important to

---


the overall food environment in many neighborhoods. The latest generation of research strongly suggests that the new requirements increased access to healthy foods in these smaller stores, especially in low-income communities. Multiple studies, conducted in various locations using different study designs, have consistently found that availability of healthy foods increased after implementation. For example:

- Within months of implementation, WIC-approved convenience and grocery stores in Connecticut, especially those in low-income areas, offered more and a wider variety of healthy foods, especially whole-grain products. (See Figure 8.)

- In two low-income neighborhoods in Philadelphia, the availability of reduced-fat milk, whole-grain bread, brown rice, 100-percent juice, and varieties of fruits and vegetables increased after implementation.

- While supermarkets and larger grocery stores were likely to carry a wide range of healthy foods prior to the policy change, a natural experiment in New Orleans found large and significant increases in the percentage of small stores that carried nutritious foods, such as whole-wheat bread and brown rice.

- The availability of commonly consumed fresh fruit and vegetables increased in WIC-approved stores in seven northern Illinois counties after introduction of the revised food packages.

- The availability of fruits, vegetables, and whole grains in small stores across Colorado, New Hampshire, Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin increased significantly. The availability of low-fat (1 percent) milk increased in New Hampshire and Wisconsin, which did not allow participants to purchase reduced-fat (2 percent) milk.

---


The availability of healthy food improved significantly between 2006 and 2012 in 118 Baltimore food stores, with the greatest increases in corner stores and in predominately African American neighborhoods.80

A review of 105 WIC-authorized stores in Texas documented increased shelf space and greater visibility of healthy foods between 2009 and 2012.81

FIGURE 8

Revised Food Packages Improved Availability and Variety of Healthy Foods in Small Grocery and Convenience Stores in Connecticut

Change in “healthy food supply” score*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>WIC-authorized stores</th>
<th>Other stores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fruits and vegetables</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole-grain products</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower-fat milk</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canned fish</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tofu/soy milk</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Score measures availability, variety, quality, and prices of WIC-approved foods.

Source: Andreyeva et al., “Positive influence of the revised Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children food packages on access to healthy foods,” Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics, June 2012

Conclusion

Research shows that poverty and adversity during early childhood can have lifelong consequences for physical, mental, and economic well-being. WIC is designed to support sound nutrition and


health at critical points in children’s development—in utero, during infancy, and during the toddler and early childhood years.

### Are WIC’s Impacts Underestimated?

WIC originated with the desire to improve participants’ health and nutrition, but its benefits may reach further; a complete accounting of WIC’s effectiveness should capture the full range of direct and indirect benefits. Some of the beneficial consequences of WIC participation have not been fully measured, in part because they are not easily assessed with the methods available to today’s researchers. Many analysts have pointed to a variety of factors that may independently affect WIC’s impact and could also plausibly be affected by participation in WIC. For example,

- Controlling for early initiation of prenatal care may help account for differences between WIC participants and non-participants in the importance that mothers place on obtaining early health care during their pregnancy. But getting mothers into early prenatal care may be one way WIC improves birth outcomes.
- Controlling for gestational age may help account for the fact that longer gestation is linked to both healthier outcomes and more opportunities to enroll in WIC. But it comes at the cost of omitting improvements in gestational age that result from WIC participation.
- Controlling for smoking and drug use may help account for differences in the prevalence of risky behaviors among mothers. But smoking cessation counseling and referrals to substance abuse programs may be one way WIC leads to better birth outcomes.

In assessing the non-experimental evidence of WIC’s effectiveness, it is important to consider the tradeoff between controlling too little and too much for factors that may affect both birth outcomes and WIC participation, or could be affected by WIC.

It is similarly difficult to disentangle the effects of WIC on health care costs. Connecting women and children to the health care system may increase short-term costs associated with the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of disease. But underutilization of health care in early childhood can lead to more health problems — and costs — when children go undiagnosed and untreated. And if participation in WIC contributes to better birth outcomes and healthier babies, as the evidence reviewed here suggests it does, then WIC has the potential to reduce costs associated with hospitalization and post-natal care.

WIC can also have economic ramifications, some of which extend beyond program recipients. There is some evidence, for example, that WIC participation among eligible children increased up to and during the Great Recession and then declined as the economy recovered. Thus, WIC helps reduce economic hardships for millions of participants when the economy falters and unemployment rises. In addition, to the extent that WIC increases total food expenditures, WIC benefits the country’s farmers. USDA estimates that farmers received almost $1.3 billion from the sale of commodities used in producing the $4.6 billion in WIC retail food sales (after rebates) in fiscal year 2008. This amounts to a net addition of $331 million to farm revenues after accounting for the food purchases participants would have made without WIC.

---

8 In the mid-1990s, the General Accounting Office conducted a comprehensive meta-analysis of 17 studies that examined the impact of WIC on Medicaid costs. It concluded that prenatal WIC participation reduced post-partum medical costs in the first year by more than enough to offset the entire cost of the prenatal WIC program. Research conducted for USDA similarly concluded that every dollar spent on the prenatal component of WIC was associated with Medicaid savings during the first 60 days after birth, ranging from $1.77 to $3.13. Much has changed since these studies were conducted, but both illustrate the potential for realizing savings through WIC participation.


An extensive body of research over four decades shows that WIC participation is associated with healthier births, reduced infant mortality, better infant-feeding practices, more nutritious diets, better access to primary and preventive health care, and improved cognitive development and academic achievement. These striking results highlight the importance of ensuring that all eligible women and young children can get WIC benefits during pregnancy and critical periods of child development.
Appendix: Assessing the Strength of Evidence

WIC is one of the most thoroughly studied federal programs. The extensive research literature on WIC provides strong evidence of its effectiveness but has certain limitations. Three commonly cited limitations are that these studies (1) are almost entirely non-experimental, based on statistical comparisons between those who received benefits and those who did not; (2) are subject to potential selection bias as a consequence; and (3) do not fully address the problem of gestational age bias.

- **Experimental versus non-experimental evidence.** Some research methods provide better evidence than others. The “gold standard” for evaluating the effectiveness of a program or intervention is the randomized control trial, which is designed to minimize the risk that factors unrelated to the intervention or program benefit will influence the results. Randomized control trials entail a random assignment process (like tossing a coin) that places people into a treatment or control group. Researchers can accurately estimate the impact of program participation as the difference in outcomes between the treatment (program) and control groups because they were randomly selected from the same population, lived through the same shifting programmatic, economic, and social conditions, and differ only in their program experience.

However, randomized control trials are generally not feasible to evaluate WIC’s effectiveness for a number of reasons, including the ethical issues raised by withholding beneficial nutrition and health benefits from a random sample of low-income mothers and children. As a result, researchers rely on a variety of quasi- and non-experimental approaches.

- **Selection bias.** In the absence of a randomized control trial, research results may be biased by the self-selection of low-income mothers and their children into WIC. If mothers who enroll in WIC are more able, more motivated, healthier, or have access to better health care than other mothers, then selection bias may lead researchers to mistakenly conclude that WIC is more effective than it really is. But if mothers who enroll in WIC are more disadvantaged, exhibit more risky behaviors, or are more likely to experience adverse birth outcomes than other mothers, then selection bias may lead to conclusions that WIC is less effective than it really is.

However, the potential of selection bias does not justify overly discounting findings from non-experimental research. A vast number of studies reflecting different time periods, samples, and ways of addressing selection bias support the conclusion that WIC works. Moreover, most evidence suggests that selection bias is more likely to lead to underestimates of WIC’s beneficial impacts because mothers who participate in WIC are more disadvantaged and prone to adverse birth outcomes than low-income mothers who don’t. One influential study, for example, reports that mothers who participate in WIC are more disadvantaged than other low-income women in terms of education, age, marital status, father involvement, smoking behavior, obesity, use of public assistance, employment, and incidence of a previous low birth

---

weight or premature infant. Despite these disadvantages, WIC participation was still associated with positive outcomes.\textsuperscript{83}

- \textbf{Gestational age bias.} Women whose pregnancies last longer have better birth outcomes; they also have more opportunities to enroll in WIC. Thus, women whose pregnancies last longer may have better birth outcomes because of their longer pregnancies, not because of WIC. Some researchers argue that the strong association observed among prenatal WIC participation, birth weight, and the frequency of preterm births is largely spurious, the result of not controlling for gestational age bias. As a result, they focus on measures of fetal growth (such as birth weight adjusted for gestational age) and find positive but more modest associations with WIC participation.\textsuperscript{84}

It is likely, however, that correcting for gestational age at birth may understate WIC’s positive impact on birth outcomes because it does not capture any positive effect WIC has on extending the duration of healthy pregnancies. An important new study takes a different approach, using linked Medicaid and WIC records in California to compare women in each week of gestation. This approach addresses gestational age bias by comparing birth outcomes among women whose pregnancies reach the same length and who have the same opportunity to use WIC and concludes that participation is associated with large reductions in the risk of adverse birth outcomes.\textsuperscript{85}

Our assessment acknowledges the valid evidential contribution of different research methods while giving greater weight to evidence generated from groups of studies across multiple populations, settings, and circumstances. While the research about WIC’s effectiveness may rely on methods that fall short of the “gold standard,” few public programs have so consistent a body of positive research findings.

