

Revised March 15, 2006

WINNERS AND LOSERS UNDER ADMINISTRATION'S 2007 HOUSING VOUCHER FUNDING PLAN

Wisconsin

The table below compares estimates of the number of vouchers that would be funded in 2007 under the Administration's budget proposal with the number funded in 2006 and the number in use in 2005. Under the Administration's plan:

- An estimated **53 housing agencies in Wisconsin** would be forced to **cut assistance to 394 low-income** families in 2007, compared to the number they are able to help in 2006.

When the number of vouchers the Administration is proposing to fund in 2007 is compared to the total number Congress has authorized agencies to administer (rather than the number funded in 2006), the shortfalls faced by some agencies are even deeper:

- At **43 Wisconsin housing agencies, 5 percent or more of the vouchers** Congress authorized the agency to issue to needy families **would be left unused in 2007 because of inadequate funding**. Statewide, the number of vouchers funded would be 5 percent below the number agencies are authorized to issue.

Because the Administration has proposed a flawed and inequitable formula for distributing voucher funds in 2007, these funding shortfalls would occur at the same time that other agencies would receive more funding than they need to cover vouchers that are funded in 2006. Indeed, if it were distributed more efficiently, the total amount of funding the Administration requested to renew housing vouchers in 2007 likely would be adequate to cover the vouchers that were funded in 2006 at every agency — averting all of the cuts below 2006 levels listed in this table. For further information on the potential cuts and other issues raised by the Administration's budget proposal, see <http://www.cbpp.org/3-13-06hous.htm>.

Housing Agency	Total Authorized Vouchers ¹	Actual 2006 Appropriation		2007 Administration Budget Request		
		Potential Increase (or Loss) in Vouchers from 2005 Level ²	Total Vouchers Funded ³	Change in Vouchers Funded from 2006 Level	Total Vouchers Funded ⁴	Percent of Total Authorized Vouchers Funded ⁵
Amery HA	40	0	40	1	41	103%
Antigo HA	149	-1	148	-2	146	98%
Appleton HA	547	-17	524	-7	517	95%
Ashland County HA	68	7	68	4	72	106%
Ashland HA	62	-4	58	0	58	94%
Barron County HA	140	-7	133	-2	131	94%
Beloit Community Development	598	6	575	-8	567	95%

Housing Agency	Total Authorized Vouchers ¹	Actual 2006 Appropriation		2007 Administration Budget Request		
		Potential Increase (or Loss) in Vouchers from 2005 Level ²	Total Vouchers Funded ³	Change in Vouchers Funded from 2006 Level	Total Vouchers Funded ⁴	Percent of Total Authorized Vouchers Funded ⁵
Brown County HA	3,234	100	3,188	-44	3,144	97%
Burnett County	33	1	26	0	26	79%
Chippewa County HA	382	1	382	9	391	102%
Crawford County	25	0	25	2	27	108%
Dane County	1,057	31	1,008	-14	994	94%
Dodge County HA	152	-1	145	-2	143	94%
Dodgeville HA	66	-6	51	-1	50	76%
Door County	255	-1	250	-4	246	96%
Dunn County HA	89	1	76	-1	75	84%
Eau Claire County HA	221	-4	211	-3	208	94%
Eau Claire HA	405	0	405	-4	401	99%
Evansville HA	79	-6	73	-1	72	91%
Fond du Lac County HA	430	16	423	-5	418	97%
Hartford Community Development	148	-16	132	-2	130	88%
Janesville CDA	543	46	484	-8	476	88%
Kaukauna HA	90	4	87	-2	85	94%
Kenosha HA	1,107	40	1,056	-15	1,041	94%
La Crosse HA	144	0	144	-2	142	99%
Lafayette County HA	52	-4	43	0	43	83%
Madison	1,606	18	1,408	-20	1,388	86%
Marinette County HA	234	-1	211	-3	208	89%
Marshfield Community	95	1	81	-1	80	84%
Mauston HA	113	18	112	-2	110	97%
Middleton HA	103	-3	91	-2	89	86%
Milwaukee County HA	2,014	44	1,935	-27	1,908	95%
Milwaukee HA	5,539	873	5,518	-89	5,429	98%
Monroe County HA	132	25	127	-1	126	95%
New Berlin HA	88	-7	81	-1	80	91%
New London HA	97	-7	85	-1	84	87%
New Richmond HA	20	0	18	0	18	90%
Oconto County HA	73	10	73	0	73	100%
Oshkosh HA	66	13	63	-1	62	94%
Platteville HA	128	29	117	-3	114	89%
Portage County HA	156	-1	147	-3	144	92%
Racine County HA	1,539	57	1,422	-24	1,398	91%
Rhineland HA	141	4	130	-2	128	91%
Rice Lake HA	93	-2	87	-1	86	92%
Richland County	217	-2	207	-4	203	94%
River Falls HA	75	-1	70	-1	69	92%

Housing Agency	Total Authorized Vouchers ¹	Actual 2006 Appropriation		2007 Administration Budget Request		
		Potential Increase (or Loss) in Vouchers from 2005 Level ²	Total Vouchers Funded ³	Change in Vouchers Funded from 2006 Level	Total Vouchers Funded ⁴	Percent of Total Authorized Vouchers Funded ⁵
Sauk County HA	298	-20	277	-4	273	92%
Sawyer County HA	197	4	192	-3	189	96%
Shawano HA	48	-5	33	0	33	69%
Sheboygan HA	186	-6	164	-3	161	87%
Stevens Point HA	14	0	14	3	17	121%
Superior HA	169	-13	154	-2	152	90%
Taylor County	30	2	30	1	31	103%
Tomah HA	14	-1	12	-1	11	79%
Trempealeau County HA	222	13	217	-3	214	96%
Walworth County HA	410	40	406	-6	400	98%
Washburn HA	73	0	70	-1	69	95%
Waukesha County HA	376	-2	374	-8	366	97%
Waukesha HA	792	-26	766	-11	755	95%
Wausau HA	395	18	395	-6	389	98%
West Allis Community Development	457	12	441	-6	435	95%
West Bend HA	159	-4	145	-2	143	90%
Winnebago County HA	347	0	347	-5	342	99%
Wisconsin HA	1,263	113	1,198	-17	1,181	94%
Wisconsin Rapids HA	243	5	236	-3	233	96%
Wittenberg HA	20	4	20	0	20	100%
Wisconsin	28,358	1388	27,229	-374	26,855	95%⁶

¹ Figures for total authorized vouchers are based on HUD data as of January 2006.

² Compares number of authorized vouchers funded in 2006 (3rd data column) with number of vouchers actually used in January – September 2005, based on agency data submitted to HUD. For some agencies, a portion of the increase in vouchers that can be used in 2006 compared with vouchers leased in 2005 is due to the award of new vouchers during 2005 to replace other federal housing subsidies. These new vouchers were in use in part but not all of 2005.

³ Based on CBPP estimates of funding available to each agency. Includes only vouchers funded up to each agency's authorized level, as of January 2006. Assumes each agency's average voucher cost remains level in the last three months of 2005 and increases at the applicable HUD inflation factor beginning January 1, 2006. Figures for some agencies include tenant protection vouchers awarded in 2005 and before; because information released by HUD on tenant protection vouchers is incomplete, the actual number of such vouchers is somewhat uncertain.

⁴ Based on CBPP estimates of funding each agency would receive under the proposed formula and of likely per unit costs in 2007. Does not include renewal of tenant protection vouchers that will be awarded in 2006, as these approximately 26,000 vouchers cannot be allocated to the agency level in advance of award. Our estimates assume that these additional vouchers will be renewed, subject to the same proration as other renewal funding.

⁵ Under HUD's SEMAP performance measurement system, agencies that use fewer than 95 percent of their authorized vouchers are considered deficient performers. These figures compare the funding available in 2007 under the President's request to renew vouchers that were authorized as of January 2006 (listed in the first data column). Under

the Administration's proposal and our estimates, vouchers awarded subsequent to January 2006 also would receive renewal funding through calendar year 2007, subject to the same proration as other renewal funding.

⁶The statewide percentage of authorized vouchers funded compares the total number of vouchers renewed in 2007 to the total number authorized in 2006. If some agencies in the state are funded for more than 100 percent of their authorized vouchers, the statewide percentage understates the share of authorized vouchers left unfunded in particular communities.