

Revised March 15, 2006

## WINNERS AND LOSERS UNDER ADMINISTRATION'S 2007 HOUSING VOUCHER FUNDING PLAN

### New York

The table below compares estimates of the number of vouchers that would be funded in 2007 under the Administration's budget proposal with the number funded in 2006 and the number in use in 2005. Under the Administration's plan:

- An estimated **114 housing agencies in New York** would be forced to **cut assistance to 2,897 low-income** families in 2007, compared to the number they are able to help in 2006.

When the number of vouchers the Administration is proposing to fund in 2007 is compared to the total number Congress has authorized agencies to administer (rather than the number funded in 2006), the shortfalls faced by some agencies are even deeper:

- At **84 New York housing agencies, 5 percent or more of the vouchers** Congress authorized the agency to issue to needy families **would be left unused in 2007 because of inadequate funding**. Statewide, the number of vouchers funded would be 3 percent below the number agencies are authorized to issue.

Because the Administration has proposed a flawed and inequitable formula for distributing voucher funds in 2007, these funding shortfalls would occur at the same time that other agencies would receive more funding than they need to cover vouchers that are funded in 2006. Indeed, if it were distributed more efficiently, the total amount of funding the Administration requested to renew housing vouchers in 2007 likely would be adequate to cover the vouchers that were funded in 2006 at every agency — averting all of the cuts below 2006 levels listed in this table. For further information on the potential cuts and other issues raised by the Administration's budget proposal, see <http://www.cbpp.org/3-13-06hou.htm>.

Housing Agency	Total Authorized Vouchers <sup>1</sup>	Actual 2006 Appropriation		2007 Administration Budget Request		
		Potential Increase (or Loss) in Vouchers from 2005 Level <sup>2</sup>	Total Vouchers Funded <sup>3</sup>	Change in Vouchers Funded from 2006 Level	Total Vouchers Funded <sup>4</sup>	Percent of Total Authorized Vouchers Funded <sup>5</sup>
Albany HA	2,113	-14	1,885	-27	1,858	88%
Amherst, Town	4,319	123	4,202	-60	4,142	96%
Amsterdam HA	293	-10	283	-4	279	95%
Auburn HA	157	2	153	-2	151	96%
Babylon, Town	881	58	881	25	906	103%
Ballston Spa, Village	159	3	143	-2	141	89%

Housing Agency	Total Authorized Vouchers <sup>1</sup>	Actual 2006 Appropriation		2007 Administration Budget Request		
		Potential Increase (or Loss) in Vouchers from 2005 Level <sup>2</sup>	Total Vouchers Funded <sup>3</sup>	Change in Vouchers Funded from 2006 Level	Total Vouchers Funded <sup>4</sup>	Percent of Total Authorized Vouchers Funded <sup>5</sup>
Beacon HA	129	15	112	-2	110	85%
Bethlehem, Town	59	3	59	0	59	100%
Binghamton	50	-1	49	-1	48	96%
Binghamton	437	-1	420	-6	414	95%
Boonville Housing	30	-3	24	0	24	80%
Brookhaven, Town	797	29	749	-10	739	93%
Buffalo	4,870	182	4,636	-65	4,571	94%
Buffalo	972	117	954	-13	941	97%
Buffalo Municipal HA	400	1	368	-6	362	91%
Camden, Town	44	4	44	0	44	100%
Canajoharie, Village	60	7	60	2	62	103%
Canastota HA	135	6	128	-2	126	93%
Clayville, Village	17	1	16	0	16	94%
Clifton Park, Town	59	1	49	-1	48	81%
Clinton, Village	21	1	21	0	21	100%
Cobleskill, Village	165	-2	158	-2	156	95%
Coeymans, Town	81	6	77	-2	75	93%
Cohoes HA	327	-5	301	-4	297	91%
Colonie, Town	424	14	423	-5	418	99%
Corinth, Town	28	4	28	-1	27	96%
Corinth, Village	91	2	87	-2	85	93%
Cortland HA	306	6	288	-4	284	93%
Davenport, Town	8	-1	7	0	7	88%
Delhi, Village	41	1	41	1	42	102%
Deposit, Village	30	5	29	0	29	97%
Dolgeville HA	20	2	20	1	21	105%
Duanesburg, Town	15	2	15	0	15	100%
East Hampton, Town	189	26	162	-2	160	85%
Eastchester, Town	255	7	254	-4	250	98%
Ellenville HA	144	-4	139	-2	137	95%
Elmira Heights, Village	59	2	59	1	60	102%
Fairport Urban Renewal	393	3	392	-6	386	98%
Farmingdale, Village	20	-4	16	0	16	80%
Forestport, Town	32	2	32	0	32	100%
Fort Plain, Village	242	17	242	-3	239	99%
Freeport HA	211	11	211	12	223	106%
Fulton Housing Choice Voucher	440	3	407	-5	402	91%
Geneva HA	370	20	370	5	375	101%

Housing Agency	Total Authorized Vouchers <sup>1</sup>	Actual 2006 Appropriation		2007 Administration Budget Request		
		Potential Increase (or Loss) in Vouchers from 2005 Level <sup>2</sup>	Total Vouchers Funded <sup>3</sup>	Change in Vouchers Funded from 2006 Level	Total Vouchers Funded <sup>4</sup>	Percent of Total Authorized Vouchers Funded <sup>5</sup>
Glen Cove CDA\Section 8	340	20	340	9	349	103%
Glens Falls HA	633	-6	599	-8	591	93%
Glenville, Town	60	-4	50	0	50	83%
Gloversville HA	221	9	216	-3	213	96%
Green Island, Village	46	2	46	1	47	102%
Greenburgh HA	303	1	263	-3	260	86%
Greenport, Village	87	4	86	-2	84	97%
Guilderland, Town	100	5	96	-2	94	94%
Hadley, Town	33	4	33	0	33	100%
Hancock, Village	30	-1	28	0	28	93%
Harrietstown HA	37	0	37	5	42	114%
Hempstead Dept. of Urban Renewal	423	21	423	-6	417	99%
Hempstead, Village	394	5	394	19	413	105%
Herkimer HA	52	8	52	-1	51	98%
Highland Falls, Village	84	1	70	-1	69	82%
Hoosick, Town	130	-5	105	-2	103	79%
Hornell HA	134	4	128	-2	126	94%
Horseheads, Town	35	0	35	5	40	114%
Hudson	131	3	118	-1	117	89%
Huntington HA	533	31	503	-7	496	93%
Ilion HA	112	-1	85	-2	83	74%
Island Park Housing Authority	40	-8	24	-1	23	58%
Islip, Town	1,036	38	1,036	6	1,042	101%
Ithaca HA	639	33	610	-8	602	94%
Jamestown HA	268	15	262	-4	258	96%
Jay Housing Agency	42	0	39	-1	38	90%
Johnstown	132	0	132	2	134	102%
Kaser, Village	92	-1	90	-2	88	96%
Kenmore HA	20	1	19	0	19	95%
Kingston CDA	359	152	359	-9	350	97%
Kiryas Joel, Village	282	-9	273	-3	270	96%
Knox, Town	20	3	20	4	24	120%
Kortright, Town	20	2	20	0	20	100%
Lake Placid HA	48	0	40	-1	39	81%
Little Falls HA	142	9	142	-1	141	99%
Lockport HA	342	169	342	-13	329	96%
Long Beach HA	389	32	385	-5	380	98%
Mamaroneck, Town	260	18	253	-3	250	96%

Housing Agency	Total Authorized Vouchers <sup>1</sup>	Actual 2006 Appropriation		2007 Administration Budget Request		
		Potential Increase (or Loss) in Vouchers from 2005 Level <sup>2</sup>	Total Vouchers Funded <sup>3</sup>	Change in Vouchers Funded from 2006 Level	Total Vouchers Funded <sup>4</sup>	Percent of Total Authorized Vouchers Funded <sup>5</sup>
Mamaroneck, Village	387	0	387	2	389	101%
Manlius, Village	585	13	581	-8	573	98%
Margaretville, Village	10	0	9	0	9	90%
Mechanicville HA	150	18	134	-2	132	88%
Middletown, Town	28	1	27	0	27	96%
Monticello HA	554	6	473	-6	467	84%
Mount Kisco, Village	109	0	105	-1	104	95%
Mount Vernon	1,039	-4	667	-12	655	63%
Municipal HA	175	4	173	-3	170	97%
Nassau, Town	31	3	31	1	32	103%
New Hartford, Town	30	2	30	1	31	103%
New Hartford, Village	102	7	97	-2	95	93%
New Rochelle	201	2	198	-3	195	97%
New Rochelle	1,111	37	934	-13	921	83%
New Square, Village	670	-9	637	-9	628	94%
New York City Dept. of Housing Preservation and Dev.	23,759	-562	23,197	-403	22,794	96%
New York City HA	98,066	9,058	97,209	-1,575	95,634	98%
New York State Housing Finance Agency Starret City	1,450	58	1,284	-18	1,266	87%
Newark HA	377	-17	347	-5	342	91%
Newburgh HA	431	29	420	-6	414	96%
Niagara Falls	788	1	715	-10	705	89%
Niskayuna, Town	46	2	44	-1	43	93%
North Fork Housing Alliance, Inc.	285	35	237	-3	234	82%
North Hempstead HA	225	14	206	-3	203	90%
North Syracuse, Village	252	13	252	-1	251	100%
North Tonawanda	603	137	603	-9	594	99%
Norwich HA	180	10	176	-2	174	97%
NY DHCR	12,518	616	12,397	-183	12,214	98%
NY DHCR	20,719	3,187	20,719	2,175	22,894	110%
Nyack Housing Authority	181	11	163	-2	161	89%
Ogdensburg HA	50	2	48	-1	47	94%
Oneida HA	106	3	102	-2	100	94%
Oneonta HA	80	10	78	-1	77	96%
Ossining, Village	254	22	252	-3	249	98%
Oswego	489	21	487	-6	481	98%
Oyster Bay HA	50	2	47	0	47	94%
Patchogue, Village	173	3	164	-2	162	94%

Housing Agency	Total Authorized Vouchers <sup>1</sup>	Actual 2006 Appropriation		2007 Administration Budget Request		
		Potential Increase (or Loss) in Vouchers from 2005 Level <sup>2</sup>	Total Vouchers Funded <sup>3</sup>	Change in Vouchers Funded from 2006 Level	Total Vouchers Funded <sup>4</sup>	Percent of Total Authorized Vouchers Funded <sup>5</sup>
Peekskill	568	88	408	-14	394	69%
Pelham HA	155	11	154	-2	152	98%
Phoenix, Village	124	1	115	-2	113	91%
Plattsburgh HA	191	4	191	0	191	100%
Port Chester Section 8	307	13	307	-5	302	98%
Port Jervis CDA	327	-14	257	-3	254	78%
Poughkeepsie	87	1	87	0	87	100%
Poughkeepsie	655	18	538	-7	531	81%
Poughkeepsie, Town	112	12	96	-1	95	85%
Ramapo HA	642	17	588	-8	580	90%
Rensselaer HA	98	9	90	-1	89	91%
Riverhead HDC	196	5	196	4	200	102%
Rochester HA	6,402	-300	6,061	-86	5,975	93%
Rockville Centre, Village	303	1	217	-3	214	71%
Rome HA	405	7	357	-6	351	87%
Rotterdam, Town	245	8	238	-4	234	96%
Salamanca PHA	174	9	159	-2	157	90%
Saranac Lake, Village	90	5	83	-1	82	91%
Saratoga Springs	90	1	71	-2	69	77%
Saugerties, Town	180	5	176	-3	173	96%
Schenectady Municipal HA	1,328	94	1,328	51	1,379	104%
Schodack, Town	43	1	36	-1	35	81%
Scotia, Village	106	4	106	-1	105	99%
Sea Cliff, Village	20	0	20	0	20	100%
Sidney, Village	33	2	33	0	33	100%
Smithtown, Town	111	2	97	-2	95	86%
Southampton, Town	321	33	321	42	363	113%
Spring Valley, Village	815	26	637	-11	626	77%
St. Johnsville, Village	60	-5	53	0	53	88%
Stamford, Town	31	2	31	1	32	103%
Stillwater, Town	129	9	126	-2	124	96%
Sylvan Beach, Village	29	-2	24	0	24	83%
Syracuse HA	2,975	81	2,857	-39	2,818	95%
Troy Housing	804	41	776	-11	765	95%
Tuckahoe HA	175	-2	162	-2	160	91%
Union, Town	522	51	464	-7	457	88%
Utica	883	23	883	9	892	101%
Vernon, Town	28	-1	27	0	27	96%

Housing Agency	Total Authorized Vouchers <sup>1</sup>	Actual 2006 Appropriation		2007 Administration Budget Request		
		Potential Increase (or Loss) in Vouchers from 2005 Level <sup>2</sup>	Total Vouchers Funded <sup>3</sup>	Change in Vouchers Funded from 2006 Level	Total Vouchers Funded <sup>4</sup>	Percent of Total Authorized Vouchers Funded <sup>5</sup>
Walton, Village	178	15	178	-1	177	99%
Waterford, Town	45	2	45	3	48	107%
Waterford, Village	55	3	54	-1	53	96%
Waterville, Village	22	3	22	0	22	100%
Watervliet	115	1	107	-1	106	92%
White Plains	400	0	367	-7	360	90%
Whitehall HA	73	5	73	4	77	105%
Whitestown, Town	49	0	45	0	45	92%
Wilton, Town	31	-5	26	-1	25	81%
Yonkers MHA	2,137	188	1,852	-31	1,821	85%
Yorktown, Town	152	4	152	2	154	101%
<b>New York</b>	<b>217,227</b>	<b>14,407</b>	<b>211,668</b>	<b>-504</b>	<b>211,164</b>	<b>97%<sup>6</sup></b>

<sup>1</sup> Figures for total authorized vouchers are based on HUD data as of January 2006.

<sup>2</sup> Compares number of authorized vouchers funded in 2006 (3rd data column) with number of vouchers actually used in January – September 2005, based on agency data submitted to HUD. For some agencies, a portion of the increase in vouchers that can be used in 2006 compared with vouchers leased in 2005 is due to the award of new vouchers during 2005 to replace other federal housing subsidies. These new vouchers were in use in part but not all of 2005.

<sup>3</sup> Based on CBPP estimates of funding available to each agency. Includes only vouchers funded up to each agency's authorized level, as of January 2006. Assumes each agency's average voucher cost remains level in the last three months of 2005 and increases at the applicable HUD inflation factor beginning January 1, 2006. Figures for some agencies include tenant protection vouchers awarded in 2005 and before; because information released by HUD on tenant protection vouchers is incomplete, the actual number of such vouchers is somewhat uncertain.

<sup>4</sup> Based on CBPP estimates of funding each agency would receive under the proposed formula and of likely per unit costs in 2007. Does not include renewal of tenant protection vouchers that will be awarded in 2006, as these approximately 26,000 vouchers cannot be allocated to the agency level in advance of award. Our estimates assume that these additional vouchers will be renewed, subject to the same proration as other renewal funding.

<sup>5</sup> Under HUD's SEMAP performance measurement system, agencies that use fewer than 95 percent of their authorized vouchers are considered deficient performers. These figures compare the funding available in 2007 under the President's request to renew vouchers that were authorized as of January 2006 (listed in the first data column). Under the Administration's proposal and our estimates, vouchers awarded subsequent to January 2006 also would receive renewal funding through calendar year 2007, subject to the same proration as other renewal funding.

<sup>6</sup> The statewide percentage of authorized vouchers funded compares the total number of vouchers renewed in 2007 to the total number authorized in 2006. If some agencies in the state are funded for more than 100 percent of their authorized vouchers, the statewide percentage understates the share of authorized vouchers left unfunded in particular communities.