

Revised March 15, 2006

WINNERS AND LOSERS UNDER ADMINISTRATION'S 2007 HOUSING VOUCHER FUNDING PLAN

New Jersey

The table below compares estimates of the number of vouchers that would be funded in 2007 under the Administration's budget proposal with the number funded in 2006 and the number in use in 2005. Under the Administration's plan:

- An estimated **65 housing agencies in New Jersey** would be forced to **cut assistance to 786 low-income** families in 2007, compared to the number they are able to help in 2006.

When the number of vouchers the Administration is proposing to fund in 2007 is compared to the total number Congress has authorized agencies to administer (rather than the number funded in 2006), the shortfalls faced by some agencies are even deeper:

- At **45 New Jersey housing agencies, 5 percent or more of the vouchers** Congress authorized the agency to issue to needy families **would be left unused in 2007 because of inadequate funding**. Statewide, the number of vouchers funded would be 6 percent below the number agencies are authorized to issue.

Because the Administration has proposed a flawed and inequitable formula for distributing voucher funds in 2007, these funding shortfalls would occur at the same time that other agencies would receive more funding than they need to cover vouchers that are funded in 2006. Indeed, if it were distributed more efficiently, the total amount of funding the Administration requested to renew housing vouchers in 2007 likely would be adequate to cover the vouchers that were funded in 2006 at every agency — averting all of the cuts below 2006 levels listed in this table. For further information on the potential cuts and other issues raised by the Administration's budget proposal, see <http://www.cbpp.org/3-13-06hous.htm>.

Housing Agency	Total Authorized Vouchers ¹	Actual 2006 Appropriation		2007 Administration Budget Request		
		Potential Increase (or Loss) in Vouchers from 2005 Level ²	Total Vouchers Funded ³	Change in Vouchers Funded from 2006 Level	Total Vouchers Funded ⁴	Percent of Total Authorized Vouchers Funded ⁵
Asbury Park HA	278	12	275	-3	272	98%
Atlantic City HA	905	69	871	-14	857	95%
Bayonne HA	251	8	226	-4	222	88%
Bergen County	3,586	-238	3,159	-48	3,111	87%
Berkeley HA	25	1	23	-1	22	88%

Housing Agency	Total Authorized Vouchers ¹	Actual 2006 Appropriation		2007 Administration Budget Request		
		Potential Increase (or Loss) in Vouchers from 2005 Level ²	Total Vouchers Funded ³	Change in Vouchers Funded from 2006 Level	Total Vouchers Funded ⁴	Percent of Total Authorized Vouchers Funded ⁵
Bloomfield HA	270	12	258	-4	254	94%
Boonton	159	1	145	-2	143	90%
Brick HA	142	-10	119	-2	117	82%
Bridgeton	203	-24	142	-2	140	69%
Burlington Co. PHA	567	29	567	-8	559	99%
Camden	1,255	173	1,255	166	1,421	113%
Cape May Section 8	103	-3	70	-1	69	67%
Carteret HA	464	-1	413	-6	407	88%
Cherry Hill Township	131	-6	111	-1	110	84%
Clementon Borough	69	8	69	-1	68	99%
Cliffside Park	347	3	338	-5	333	96%
Clifton HA	253	3	253	25	278	110%
Dover, Town	248	16	245	-4	241	97%
East Orange	910	-19	872	-12	860	95%
Edgewater	154	7	154	-2	152	99%
Edison HA	375	26	357	-5	352	94%
Elizabeth	1,103	101	1,103	-10	1,093	99%
Englewood	501	48	495	-7	488	97%
Fort Lee HA	490	6	490	58	548	112%
Franklin HA	134	3	134	23	157	117%
Glassboro HA	112	1	108	-1	107	96%
Gloucester Co.	1,822	26	1,781	-25	1,756	96%
Guttenberg HA	198	6	190	-3	187	94%
Hamilton Township	222	9	177	-3	174	78%
Highland Park	145	4	137	-2	135	93%
Hoboken HA	326	15	280	-4	276	85%
Hunterdon Co.	377	4	361	-5	356	94%
Irvington HA	238	0	236	-6	230	97%
Jersey City HA	3,079	503	3,079	418	3,497	114%
Keansburg HA	127	-3	121	-1	120	94%
Lakewood HA	763	0	763	43	806	106%
Lakewood Township Residential Assistance Program	1,058	12	1,048	-15	1,033	98%
Linden	357	-17	320	-5	315	88%
Lodi HA	477	0	471	-7	464	97%
Long Branch	561	13	561	-4	557	99%
Madison	190	14	181	-3	178	94%
Manville HA	90	4	81	-1	80	89%

Housing Agency	Total Authorized Vouchers ¹	Actual 2006 Appropriation		2007 Administration Budget Request		
		Potential Increase (or Loss) in Vouchers from 2005 Level ²	Total Vouchers Funded ³	Change in Vouchers Funded from 2006 Level	Total Vouchers Funded ⁴	Percent of Total Authorized Vouchers Funded ⁵
Middlesex Co. HA	400	6	400	18	418	105%
Middletown HA	188	5	186	-3	183	97%
Millville HA	191	8	173	-3	170	89%
Monmouth Co. HA	1,889	73	1,879	-27	1,852	98%
Montclair HA	290	-4	276	-3	273	94%
Morris Co. Housing	634	0	634	68	702	111%
Morristown	184	8	168	-2	166	90%
Neptune HA	318	3	267	-4	263	83%
New Brunswick Housing	810	29	810	1	811	100%
Newark HA	5,368	-70	4,386	-127	4,259	79%
NJ DCA	18,386	208	17,521	-259	17,262	94%
NJ HMFA	1,373	13	1,349	-19	1,330	97%
North Bergen	457	-9	438	-6	432	95%
Old Bridge HA	204	5	196	-3	193	95%
Orange	601	-32	526	-8	518	86%
Passaic Co. PHA	835	7	835	33	868	104%
Passaic HA	1,792	-267	1,358	-19	1,339	75%
Paterson	808	45	808	5	813	101%
Paterson DCD	1,071	22	1,071	59	1,130	106%
Penns Grove	35	-3	29	0	29	83%
Pennsauken HA	76	0	73	-1	72	95%
Perth Amboy HA	820	152	764	-16	748	91%
Phillipsburg	210	1	210	1	211	100%
Plainfield HA	603	25	594	-8	586	97%
Rahway	188	3	188	-1	187	99%
Red Bank	260	-4	225	-4	221	85%
Salem HA	30	2	30	9	39	130%
Sayreville HA	174	2	169	-3	166	95%
Secaucus	250	29	240	-3	237	95%
Somerville, Borough	170	5	163	-2	161	95%
South Amboy	111	2	109	-2	107	96%
Union City HA	646	-61	567	-8	559	87%
Union Co.	371	6	371	15	386	104%
Union Township HA	163	2	147	-2	145	89%
Vineland	347	6	328	-5	323	93%
Warren Co. Housing Program	666	-11	624	-9	615	92%
Weehawken	350	21	331	-4	327	93%
West New York	462	25	437	-6	431	93%

Housing Agency	Total Authorized Vouchers ¹	Actual 2006 Appropriation		2007 Administration Budget Request		
		Potential Increase (or Loss) in Vouchers from 2005 Level ²	Total Vouchers Funded ³	Change in Vouchers Funded from 2006 Level	Total Vouchers Funded ⁴	Percent of Total Authorized Vouchers Funded ⁵
West Orange PHA	120	1	117	-2	115	96%
Woodbridge HA	405	12	405	7	412	102%
New Jersey	65,321	1,081	61,441	163	61,604	94%⁶

¹ Figures for total authorized vouchers are based on HUD data as of January 2006.

² Compares number of authorized vouchers funded in 2006 (3rd data column) with number of vouchers actually used in January – September 2005, based on agency data submitted to HUD. For some agencies, a portion of the increase in vouchers that can be used in 2006 compared with vouchers leased in 2005 is due to the award of new vouchers during 2005 to replace other federal housing subsidies. These new vouchers were in use in part but not all of 2005.

³ Based on CBPP estimates of funding available to each agency. Includes only vouchers funded up to each agency's authorized level, as of January 2006. Assumes each agency's average voucher cost remains level in the last three months of 2005 and increases at the applicable HUD inflation factor beginning January 1, 2006. Figures for some agencies include tenant protection vouchers awarded in 2005 and before; because information released by HUD on tenant protection vouchers is incomplete, the actual number of such vouchers is somewhat uncertain.

⁴ Based on CBPP estimates of funding each agency would receive under the proposed formula and of likely per unit costs in 2007. Does not include renewal of tenant protection vouchers that will be awarded in 2006, as these approximately 26,000 vouchers cannot be allocated to the agency level in advance of award. Our estimates assume that these additional vouchers will be renewed, subject to the same proration as other renewal funding.

⁵ Under HUD's SEMAP performance measurement system, agencies that use fewer than 95 percent of their authorized vouchers are considered deficient performers. These figures compare the funding available in 2007 under the President's request to renew vouchers that were authorized as of January 2006 (listed in the first data column). Under the Administration's proposal and our estimates, vouchers awarded subsequent to January 2006 also would receive renewal funding through calendar year 2007, subject to the same proration as other renewal funding.

⁶ The statewide percentage of authorized vouchers funded compares the total number of vouchers renewed in 2007 to the total number authorized in 2006. If some agencies in the state are funded for more than 100 percent of their authorized vouchers, the statewide percentage understates the share of authorized vouchers left unfunded in particular communities.