

Revised March 15, 2006

WINNERS AND LOSERS UNDER ADMINISTRATION'S 2007 HOUSING VOUCHER FUNDING PLAN

Illinois

The table below compares estimates of the number of vouchers that would be funded in 2007 under the Administration's budget proposal with the number funded in 2006 and the number in use in 2005. Under the Administration's plan:

- An estimated **58 housing agencies in Illinois** would be forced to **cut assistance to 1,200 low-income** families in 2007, compared to the number they are able to help in 2006.

When the number of vouchers the Administration is proposing to fund in 2007 is compared to the total number Congress has authorized agencies to administer (rather than the number funded in 2006), the shortfalls faced by some agencies are even deeper:

- At **46 Illinois housing agencies, 5 percent or more of the vouchers** Congress authorized the agency to issue to needy families **would be left unused in 2007 because of inadequate funding**. Statewide, the number of vouchers funded would be 6 percent below the number agencies are authorized to issue.

Because the Administration has proposed a flawed and inequitable formula for distributing voucher funds in 2007, these funding shortfalls would occur at the same time that other agencies would receive more funding than they need to cover vouchers that are funded in 2006. Indeed, if it were distributed more efficiently, the total amount of funding the Administration requested to renew housing vouchers in 2007 likely would be adequate to cover the vouchers that were funded in 2006 at every agency — averting all of the cuts below 2006 levels listed in this table. For further information on the potential cuts and other issues raised by the Administration's budget proposal, see <http://www.cbpp.org/3-13-06hous.htm>.

Housing Agency	Total Authorized Vouchers ¹	Actual 2006 Appropriation		2007 Administration Budget Request		
		Potential Increase (or Loss) in Vouchers from 2005 Level ²	Total Vouchers Funded ³	Change in Vouchers Funded from 2006 Level	Total Vouchers Funded ⁴	Percent of Total Authorized Vouchers Funded ⁵
Aurora HA	800	5	800	27	827	103%
Bloomington	430	19	430	-1	429	100%
Boone Co. HA	238	1	223	-3	220	92%
Bureau Co. Housing	71	0	71	0	71	100%
Champaign Co.	1,376	239	1,373	-19	1,354	98%
Chicago HA	46,815	9,289	43,733	-645	43,088	92%

Housing Agency	Total Authorized Vouchers ¹	Actual 2006 Appropriation		2007 Administration Budget Request		
		Potential Increase (or Loss) in Vouchers from 2005 Level ²	Total Vouchers Funded ³	Change in Vouchers Funded from 2006 Level	Total Vouchers Funded ⁴	Percent of Total Authorized Vouchers Funded ⁵
Christian Co.	82	4	77	-1	76	93%
Cicero HA	230	-29	184	-3	181	79%
Clark Co. HA	30	7	24	-1	23	77%
Cook Co.	12,719	1,691	12,703	-237	12,466	98%
Cumberland Co.	15	0	11	-1	10	67%
Danville HA	620	79	620	17	637	103%
Decatur HA	1,046	116	1,046	-2	1,044	100%
Dekalb Co.	539	0	539	7	546	101%
Dupage Co. HA	2,571	110	2,434	-37	2,397	93%
East Peoria	195	4	189	-3	186	95%
East St. Louis HA	583	13	575	-8	567	97%
Edgar Co.	75	1	60	-1	59	79%
Elgin	913	92	913	40	953	104%
Ford Co. HA	50	4	28	0	28	56%
Franklin Co.	65	9	63	-1	62	95%
Freeport HA	62	-6	56	-1	55	89%
Fulton Co.	260	46	259	-4	255	98%
Henderson Co. HA	58	8	49	-1	48	83%
Henry Co.	176	6	162	-2	160	91%
IL Dept. Commerce and Community Affairs	390	21	244	-4	240	62%
Jackson Co. HA	557	-30	484	-7	477	86%
Jefferson Co. HA	99	-13	84	-1	83	84%
Jersey Co.	195	6	171	-3	168	86%
Jo Daviess Co.	41	0	36	-1	35	85%
Joliet	1,039	67	1,025	-14	1,011	97%
Kankakee Co. HA	708	100	503	-7	496	70%
Kendall Co. HA	160	12	155	-2	153	96%
Knox Co. HA	280	53	280	3	283	101%
Lake Co. HA	2,603	75	2,598	-37	2,561	98%
LaSalle Co.	536	28	536	28	564	105%
Lee Co. HA	42	-2	34	-1	33	79%
Livingston Co.	73	6	66	-1	65	89%
Logan Co. HA	62	7	62	-1	61	98%
Madison Co. HA	916	39	829	-12	817	89%
Marion	120	1	104	-2	102	85%
Marion Co. HA	277	0	277	2	279	101%
Mason Co. HA	150	20	150	-1	149	99%

Housing Agency	Total Authorized Vouchers ¹	Actual 2006 Appropriation		2007 Administration Budget Request		
		Potential Increase (or Loss) in Vouchers from 2005 Level ²	Total Vouchers Funded ³	Change in Vouchers Funded from 2006 Level	Total Vouchers Funded ⁴	Percent of Total Authorized Vouchers Funded ⁵
Maywood HA	448	-34	414	-5	409	91%
McDonough Co.	125	-5	101	-1	100	80%
McHenry Co. HA	947	40	915	-13	902	95%
McLean Co.	220	0	196	-4	192	87%
Menard Co. HA	112	25	112	-2	110	98%
Mercer Co.	35	2	31	0	31	89%
Moline HA	234	7	234	5	239	102%
Montgomery Co.	89	-5	77	-1	76	85%
Morgan Co. HA	170	-5	155	-3	152	89%
Mt. Vernon	64	1	64	-1	63	98%
North Chicago	471	8	420	-6	414	88%
Oak Park Housing	428	18	418	-6	412	96%
Ogle Co. HA	141	6	125	-1	124	88%
Park Forrest sec. 8 voucher	177	6	173	-2	171	97%
Peoria HA	1,942	197	1,758	-25	1,733	89%
Quincy HA	145	0	145	186	331	228%
Randolph Co.	50	-5	43	-1	42	84%
Richland Co. HA	80	5	74	-1	73	91%
Rock Island	230	1	192	-2	190	83%
Rock Island Co. GMAHA	337	3	317	-4	313	93%
Rockford	1,504	29	1,504	103	1,607	107%
Saline Co. HA	128	0	124	-2	122	95%
Shelby Co. HA	30	2	28	0	28	93%
Springfield HA	1,823	-25	1,648	-23	1,625	89%
St. Clair Co. HA	1,909	-75	1,770	-26	1,744	91%
Vermilion Co.	108	7	92	-1	91	84%
Warren Co. HA	100	-1	74	-1	73	73%
Waukegan HA	594	14	594	37	631	106%
Wayne Co. HA	70	-5	58	-1	57	81%
Whiteside Co.	285	29	285	0	285	100%
Williamson Co. HA	163	9	154	-3	151	93%
Winnebago Co. HA	367	47	367	32	399	109%
Woodford Co. HA	240	29	240	0	240	100%
Illinois	92,033	12,423	87,162	-713	86,449	94%⁶

¹ Figures for total authorized vouchers are based on HUD data as of January 2006.

² Compares number of authorized vouchers funded in 2006 (3rd data column) with number of vouchers actually used in January – September 2005, based on agency data submitted to HUD. For some agencies, a portion of the increase in vouchers that can be used in 2006 compared with vouchers leased in 2005 is due to the award of new vouchers during 2005 to replace other federal housing subsidies. These new vouchers were in use in part but not all of 2005.

³ Based on CBPP estimates of funding available to each agency. Includes only vouchers funded up to each agency's authorized level, as of January 2006. Assumes each agency's average voucher cost remains level in the last three months of 2005 and increases at the applicable HUD inflation factor beginning January 1, 2006. Figures for some agencies include tenant protection vouchers awarded in 2005 and before; because information released by HUD on tenant protection vouchers is incomplete, the actual number of such vouchers is somewhat uncertain.

⁴ Based on CBPP estimates of funding each agency would receive under the proposed formula and of likely per unit costs in 2007. Does not include renewal of tenant protection vouchers that will be awarded in 2006, as these approximately 26,000 vouchers cannot be allocated to the agency level in advance of award. Our estimates assume that these additional vouchers will be renewed, subject to the same proration as other renewal funding.

⁵ Under HUD's SEMAP performance measurement system, agencies that use fewer than 95 percent of their authorized vouchers are considered deficient performers. These figures compare the funding available in 2007 under the President's request to renew vouchers that were authorized as of January 2006 (listed in the first data column). Under the Administration's proposal and our estimates, vouchers awarded subsequent to January 2006 also would receive renewal funding through calendar year 2007, subject to the same proration as other renewal funding.

⁶ The statewide percentage of authorized vouchers funded compares the total number of vouchers renewed in 2007 to the total number authorized in 2006. If some agencies in the state are funded for more than 100 percent of their authorized vouchers, the statewide percentage understates the share of authorized vouchers left unfunded in particular communities.