

Revised March 15, 2006

WINNERS AND LOSERS UNDER ADMINISTRATION'S 2007 HOUSING VOUCHER FUNDING PLAN

Iowa

The table below compares estimates of the number of vouchers that would be funded in 2007 under the Administration's budget proposal with the number funded in 2006 and the number in use in 2005. Under the Administration's plan:

- An estimated **30 housing agencies in Iowa** would be forced to **cut assistance to 218 low-income** families in 2007, compared to the number they are able to help in 2006.

When the number of vouchers the Administration is proposing to fund in 2007 is compared to the total number Congress has authorized agencies to administer (rather than the number funded in 2006), the shortfalls faced by some agencies are even deeper:

- At **17 Iowa housing agencies, 5 percent or more of the vouchers** Congress authorized the agency to issue to needy families **would be left unused in 2007 because of inadequate funding**. Statewide, the number of vouchers funded would be 3 percent below the number agencies are authorized to issue.

Because the Administration has proposed a flawed and inequitable formula for distributing voucher funds in 2007, these funding shortfalls would occur at the same time that other agencies would receive more funding than they need to cover vouchers that are funded in 2006. Indeed, if it were distributed more efficiently, the total amount of funding the Administration requested to renew housing vouchers in 2007 likely would be adequate to cover the vouchers that were funded in 2006 at every agency — averting all of the cuts below 2006 levels listed in this table. For further information on the potential cuts and other issues raised by the Administration's budget proposal, see <http://www.cbpp.org/3-13-06hous.htm>.

Housing Agency	Total Authorized Vouchers ¹	Actual 2006 Appropriation		2007 Administration Budget Request		
		Potential Increase (or Loss) in Vouchers from 2005 Level ²	Total Vouchers Funded ³	Change in Vouchers Funded from 2006 Level	Total Vouchers Funded ⁴	Percent of Total Authorized Vouchers Funded ⁵
Albia Low Rent Housing Agency	96	9	81	-1	80	83%
Ames	222	44	212	-4	208	94%
Area XV Multi-Co. Housing Agency	374	21	356	-5	351	94%
Betterndorf HA	100	9	99	-2	97	97%
Burlington	277	11	270	-4	266	96%
Cedar Falls Low Rent Housing Agency	326	59	318	-4	314	96%

Housing Agency	Total Authorized Vouchers ¹	Actual 2006 Appropriation		2007 Administration Budget Request		
		Potential Increase (or Loss) in Vouchers from 2005 Level ²	Total Vouchers Funded ³	Change in Vouchers Funded from 2006 Level	Total Vouchers Funded ⁴	Percent of Total Authorized Vouchers Funded ⁵
Cedar Rapids HA	1,265	0	1,265	50	1,315	104%
Centerville Housing Agency	98	1	98	3	101	103%
Central IA Regional HA	769	56	748	-11	737	96%
Charles City Housing and Redevelopment Authority	163	6	157	-2	155	95%
Clinton HA	424	4	424	5	429	101%
Davenport Housing Commission	660	-21	469	-7	462	70%
Decorah Low Rent Housing Agency	86	1	82	-1	81	94%
Des Moines Municipal Housing Agency	2,936	405	2,875	-48	2,827	96%
Dubuque	1,038	92	1,038	101	1,139	110%
Eastern IA Regional HA	883	-41	806	-11	795	90%
Emmetsburg Low Rent Housing Agency	99	1	99	4	103	104%
Evansdale Municipal HA	126	23	126	3	129	102%
Fort Dodge Housing Agency	617	20	617	31	648	105%
Fort Madison HA	70	6	70	6	76	109%
Grinnell Low Rent HA	166	11	166	-1	165	99%
Iowa City HA	1,213	-18	1,187	-17	1,170	96%
Keokuk HA	171	9	157	-2	155	91%
Knoxville Low Rent Housing Agency	263	7	245	-3	242	92%
Marshalltown Rent Assistance Program	449	27	449	-7	442	98%
Mason City	435	0	434	-7	427	98%
Mid IA Regional HA	329	24	329	33	362	110%
Municipal Housing Agency	652	-5	647	-9	638	98%
Muscatine Municipal HA	376	56	353	-6	347	92%
New Hampton HA	80	23	80	6	86	108%
North IA Regional HA	381	32	341	-5	336	88%
Northland Regional HA	233	1	231	-3	228	98%
NW IA Regional HA	522	3	522	29	551	106%
Oskaloosa Municipal Housing Agency	230	9	230	6	236	103%
Ottumwa sec. 8 voucher	237	8	229	-3	226	95%
Region XII Regional HA	928	3	924	-13	911	98%
SE IA Regional HA	252	-4	235	-3	232	92%
Sioux City HA	1,169	53	1,169	20	1,189	102%
Siouxland Regional HA	353	1	331	-5	326	92%
Southern IA Regional HA	1,056	73	1,040	-17	1,023	97%
Spirit Lake Low Rent Housing Agency	142	-38	81	-1	80	56%
Upper Explorerland Regional HA	391	9	391	5	396	101%
Warren Co. HA	171	12	162	-2	160	94%

Housing Agency	Total Authorized Vouchers ¹	Actual 2006 Appropriation		2007 Administration Budget Request		
		Potential Increase (or Loss) in Vouchers from 2005 Level ²	Total Vouchers Funded ³	Change in Vouchers Funded from 2006 Level	Total Vouchers Funded ⁴	Percent of Total Authorized Vouchers Funded ⁵
Waterloo HA	1,040	-50	959	-14	945	91%
Iowa	21,868	952	21,102	84	21,186	97%⁶

¹ Figures for total authorized vouchers are based on HUD data as of January 2006.

² Compares number of authorized vouchers funded in 2006 (3rd data column) with number of vouchers actually used in January – September 2005, based on agency data submitted to HUD. For some agencies, a portion of the increase in vouchers that can be used in 2006 compared with vouchers leased in 2005 is due to the award of new vouchers during 2005 to replace other federal housing subsidies. These new vouchers were in use in part but not all of 2005.

³ Based on CBPP estimates of funding available to each agency. Includes only vouchers funded up to each agency's authorized level, as of January 2006. Assumes each agency's average voucher cost remains level in the last three months of 2005 and increases at the applicable HUD inflation factor beginning January 1, 2006. Figures for some agencies include tenant protection vouchers awarded in 2005 and before; because information released by HUD on tenant protection vouchers is incomplete, the actual number of such vouchers is somewhat uncertain.

⁴ Based on CBPP estimates of funding each agency would receive under the proposed formula and of likely per unit costs in 2007. Does not include renewal of tenant protection vouchers that will be awarded in 2006, as these approximately 26,000 vouchers cannot be allocated to the agency level in advance of award. Our estimates assume that these additional vouchers will be renewed, subject to the same proration as other renewal funding.

⁵ Under HUD's SEMAP performance measurement system, agencies that use fewer than 95 percent of their authorized vouchers are considered deficient performers. These figures compare the funding available in 2007 under the President's request to renew vouchers that were authorized as of January 2006 (listed in the first data column). Under the Administration's proposal and our estimates, vouchers awarded subsequent to January 2006 also would receive renewal funding through calendar year 2007, subject to the same proration as other renewal funding.

⁶ The statewide percentage of authorized vouchers funded compares the total number of vouchers renewed in 2007 to the total number authorized in 2006. If some agencies in the state are funded for more than 100 percent of their authorized vouchers, the statewide percentage understates the share of authorized vouchers left unfunded in particular communities.