

November 1, 2006

## HOUSING VOUCHERS FUNDED IN UTAH UNDER PENDING PROPOSALS

For 2007, Congress will have to choose among three funding approaches that have been put forward. Each provides the same new funding for the program nationally in 2007, but uses a different method for distributing the money among the 2,400 state and local housing agencies. Here is the impact that each of the three formulas would have on Utah.

- Under the formula in the Section 8 Voucher Reform Act (or SEVRA), a bill passed by the House Financial Services Committee on June 14, 2006, *all vouchers in use in Utah in 2006 would be funded*. Moreover, agencies in Utah would receive enough funding to restore vouchers that have been cut in recent years. Overall, **100 percent of Utah's currently authorized vouchers would be funded** under the SEVRA formula.
- The formula in the Senate appropriations bill would also renew funding for all vouchers in use in Utah in 2006. Overall, **99 percent of Utah's currently authorized vouchers would be funded** under the Senate appropriations formula.
- Under the formula in the House appropriations bill, 8 housing agencies in Utah would be forced to cut assistance to 27 low-income families in 2007. **99 percent of Utah's currently authorized vouchers would be funded** under the House appropriations formula.

For a description of the pending proposals for distribution of renewal funding to state and local agencies and an analysis of their national impact, see "Fixing the Housing Voucher Formula: A No-Cost Way to Strengthen the Section 8 Program," <http://www.cbpp.org/11-1-06hous.htm>. The paper's Technical Appendix explains the sources and methods for the data in this table.

## Agency Estimates

Housing Agency	HUD Code	Authorized Vouchers as of Jan. 2006	Vouchers in Use in 2006 (Estimated)	Authorized Vouchers Funded in 2007			Vouchers in Use That Would Be Cut Under House Appropriations Bill
				SEVRA	Senate Appropriations Bill	House Appropriations Bill	
Bear River Regional HA	UT030	122	122	122	122	118	4
Beaver HA	UT006	4	3	4	4	3	0
Carbon County HA	UT016	273	245	273	250	252	0
Cedar City HA	UT031	114	114	114	114	112	2
Davis County HA	UT009	1,036	1,011	1,011	1,031	1,036	0
Emery County HA	UT015	68	67	68	68	68	0
Grand County HA	UT014	73	60	73	61	58	2
Logan City HA	UT026	369	369	369	369	369	0
Myton City HA	UT029	33	33	33	33	32	1
Ogden HA	UT002	822	822	822	822	822	0
Provo City HA	UT007	883	883	883	883	883	0
Roosevelt City HA	UT028	91	79	91	80	79	0
Salt Lake City	UT004	2,139	2,139	2,139	2,139	2,139	0
Salt Lake County HA*	UT003	2,135	2,135	2,135	2,135	2,135	0
St. George HA	UT021	244	244	244	244	242	2
Tooele County HA	UT020	215	215	215	215	206	9
Utah County HA	UT011	952	885	952	903	928	0
Weber County	UT022	123	122	122	123	123	0

Housing Agency	HUD Code	Authorized Vouchers as of Jan. 2006	Vouchers in Use in 2006 (Estimated)	Authorized Vouchers Funded in 2007			Vouchers in Use That Would Be Cut Under House Appropriations Bill
				SEVRA	Senate Appropriations Bill	House Appropriations Bill	
HA							
West Valley City HA	UT025	513	512	513	513	505	6
<b>Total</b>		<b>10,209</b>	<b>10,060</b>	<b>10,183</b>	<b>10,108</b>	<b>10,110</b>	<b>27</b>

\*Agency received new "tenant protection" vouchers from HUD in 2005 to replace demolished public housing units or privately-owned units that no longer receive project-based federal housing assistance. Incomplete information was available to estimate the additional funding due these agencies in 2007 to renew these vouchers under each of the approaches. As a result, estimates for these agencies may be too low, and under the House approach may be too low or too high.

\*\*Agency took over administration of additional vouchers from another agency in 2005 or early 2006. Our estimates may not fully account for the effect of the consolidation on the agency's likely funding in 2007.