

November 1, 2006

HOUSING VOUCHERS FUNDED IN MISSOURI UNDER PENDING PROPOSALS

Due largely to the flaws in the formula for distributing “Section 8” housing voucher funding, the share of vouchers in use in Missouri has fallen over the past few years, from 96 percent in 2004 to a projected 91 percent in 2006. In other words, **1,974 vouchers have already been cut** in Missouri over the past two years.

For 2007, Congress will have to choose among three funding approaches that have been put forward. Each provides the same new funding for the program nationally in 2007, but uses a different method for distributing the money among the 2,400 state and local housing agencies. Here is the impact that each of the three formulas would have on Missouri.

- Under the formula in the Section 8 Voucher Reform Act (or SEVRA), a bill passed by the House Financial Services Committee on June 14, 2006, ***all vouchers in use in Missouri in 2006 would be funded***. Moreover, agencies in Missouri would receive enough funding to restore vouchers that have been cut in recent years. Overall, **96 percent of Missouri’s currently authorized vouchers would be funded** under the SEVRA formula.
- The formula in the Senate appropriations bill would also renew funding for all vouchers in use in Missouri in 2006. However, it would not provide agencies in Missouri with enough funding to restore a substantial share of the vouchers that have been cut in recent years. Overall, **92 percent of Missouri’s currently authorized vouchers would be funded** under the Senate appropriations formula.
- Under the formula in the House appropriations bill, 25 housing agencies in Missouri would be forced to cut assistance to 382 low-income families in 2007. **94 percent of Missouri’s currently authorized vouchers would be funded** under the House appropriations formula.

For a description of the pending proposals for distribution of renewal funding to state and local agencies and an analysis of their national impact, see "Fixing the Housing Voucher Formula: A No-Cost Way to Strengthen the Section 8 Program," <http://www.cbpp.org/11-1-06hous.htm>. The paper's Technical Appendix explains the sources and methods for the data in this table.

Agency Estimates (next page)

Agency Estimates

Housing Agency	HUD Code	Authorized Vouchers as of Jan. 2006	Vouchers in Use in 2006 (Estimated)	Authorized Vouchers Funded in 2007			Vouchers in Use That Would Be Cut Under House Appropriations Bill
				SEVRA	Senate Appropriations Bill	House Appropriations Bill	
ABCD Sec. 8 Voucher	MO219	227	191	191	194	209	0
Ava Vouchers	MO192	30	26	30	27	26	1
Boone County PHA	MO198	401	379	401	386	345	33
Brookfield Sec. 8 Voucher	MO075	55	35	35	36	45	0
Cabool Sec. 8 Voucher	MO209	46	30	30	31	34	0
Carrollton HA	MO107	86	78	86	79	75	2
Chillicothe HA	MO065	89	87	89	89	86	1
Columbia HA	MO007	1,062	997	1,062	1,017	979	18
Dallas County PHA	MO216	572	520	572	531	529	0
East Prairie Sec. 8 Voucher	MO076	98	97	98	98	97	0
Excelsior Springs	MO053	166	160	160	163	166	0
Franklin County PHA	MO205	1,030	875	875	893	1,010	0
Fulton Missouri	MO014	110	72	110	74	74	0
Grundy County HA	MO196	627	525	627	536	550	0
Hannibal Sec. 8 Voucher	MO129	168	167	168	168	158	8

Housing Agency	HUD Code	Authorized Vouchers as of Jan. 2006	Vouchers in Use in 2006 (Estimated)	Authorized Vouchers Funded in 2007			Vouchers in Use That Would Be Cut Under House Appropriations Bill
				SEVRA	Senate Appropriations Bill	House Appropriations Bill	
Houston HA	MO040	83	65	83	66	63	1
Howell County PHA	MO217	457	425	425	434	454	0
Independence HA*	MO017	1,655	1,182	1,182	1,205	1,452	0
Jasper County PHA	MO215	342	336	342	342	336	0
Jefferson HA	MO009	231	180	180	183	202	0
Joplin Sec. 8 Voucher	MO188	497	448	448	457	495	0
Kansas City HA	MO002	7,510	6,847	6,847	6,983	7,510	0
Kirksville Sec. 8 Voucher	MO145	200	200	200	200	198	2
Lafayette County HA	MO204	418	362	418	369	348	14
Lee's Summit HA	MO030	433	405	433	413	404	1
Liberty Missouri HA	MO210	343	343	343	343	339	4
Lincoln County PHA*	MO199	2,745	2,745	2,745	2,745	2,745	0
Macon Voucher	MO111	45	45	45	45	45	0
Marshall HA	MO016	103	103	103	103	103	0
Maryville HA	MO072	88	88	88	88	82	6
Mexico HA	MO010	194	121	194	123	122	0

Housing Agency	HUD Code	Authorized Vouchers as of Jan. 2006	Vouchers in Use in 2006 (Estimated)	Authorized Vouchers Funded in 2007			Vouchers in Use That Would Be Cut Under House Appropriations Bill
				SEVRA	Senate Appropriations Bill	House Appropriations Bill	
Mtn. Grove Vouchers	MO060	227	196	227	200	178	18
Nevada HA	MO133	88	65	88	67	64	1
New Madrid County HA	MO208	50	50	50	50	48	2
Nodaway HA	MO190	187	142	142	145	166	0
Oran Sec. 8 Voucher	MO080	49	45	45	46	49	0
Phelps County PHA	MO206	756	686	756	700	725	0
Pulaski County PHA	MO207	394	382	394	389	367	15
Ray County HA	MO213	102	77	77	78	96	0
Richmond HA	MO070	129	83	83	85	100	0
Ripley County PHA	MO212	356	297	356	303	304	0
Rolla Sec. 8 Voucher	MO149	160	142	142	145	156	0
Scotland County PHA	MO200	329	329	329	329	326	3
Sedalia HA	MO074	70	62	70	63	59	2
Sikeston HA	MO008	496	354	354	361	392	0
Springfield HA	MO058	740	705	740	719	687	18
St. Charles Sec. 8 Voucher	MO006	265	253	265	258	257	0
St. Clair County	MO197	1,190	1,190	1,190	1,190	1,170	20

Housing Agency	HUD Code	Authorized Vouchers as of Jan. 2006	Vouchers in Use in 2006 (Estimated)	Authorized Vouchers Funded in 2007			Vouchers in Use That Would Be Cut Under House Appropriations Bill
				SEVRA	Senate Appropriations Bill	House Appropriations Bill	
PHA							
St. Francois County	MO203	1,923	1,780	1,923	1,816	1,671	109
PHA							
St. Joseph Sec. 8 Voucher	MO003	864	849	864	864	864	0
St. Louis County HA	MO004	6,237	6,237	6,237	6,237	6,229	8
St. Louis HA*	MO001	6,063	5,138	6,063	5,240	5,057	81
Vandalia HA	MO179	30	24	24	25	30	0
Warrensburg HA	MO194	151	142	151	145	140	1
West Plains Sec. 8 Voucher	MO037	205	180	205	184	169	11
Weston HA	MO193	82	68	68	70	76	0
Total		41,254	37,610	39,453	38,126	38,663	382

*Agency received new "tenant protection" vouchers from HUD in 2005 to replace demolished public housing units or privately-owned units that no longer receive project-based federal housing assistance. Incomplete information was available to estimate the additional funding due these agencies in 2007 to renew these vouchers under each of the approaches. As a result, estimates for these agencies may be too low, and under the House approach may be too low or too high.

**Agency took over administration of additional vouchers from another agency in 2005 or early 2006. Our estimates may not fully account for the effect of the consolidation on the agency's likely funding in 2007.