

November 1, 2006

HOUSING VOUCHERS FUNDED IN INDIANA UNDER PENDING PROPOSALS

Due largely to the flaws in the formula for distributing “Section 8” housing voucher funding, the share of vouchers in use in Indiana has fallen over the past few years, from 93 percent in 2004 to a projected 88 percent in 2006. In other words, **2,068 vouchers have already been cut** in Indiana over the past two years.

For 2007, Congress will have to choose among three funding approaches that have been put forward. Each provides the same new funding for the program nationally in 2007, but uses a different method for distributing the money among the 2,400 state and local housing agencies. Here is the impact that each of the three formulas would have on Indiana.

- Under the formula in the Section 8 Voucher Reform Act (or SEVRA), a bill passed by the House Financial Services Committee on June 14, 2006, ***all vouchers in use in Indiana in 2006 would be funded***. Moreover, agencies in Indiana would receive enough funding to restore vouchers that have been cut in recent years. Overall, **93 percent of Indiana’s currently authorized vouchers would be funded** under the SEVRA formula.
- The formula in the Senate appropriations bill would also renew funding for all vouchers in use in Indiana in 2006. However, it would not provide agencies in Indiana with enough funding to restore a substantial share of the vouchers that have been cut in recent years. Overall, **89 percent of Indiana’s currently authorized vouchers would be funded** under the Senate appropriations formula.
- Under the formula in the House appropriations bill, 35 housing agencies in Indiana would be forced to cut assistance to 505 low-income families in 2007. **90 percent of Indiana’s currently authorized vouchers would be funded** under the House appropriations formula.

For a description of the pending proposals for distribution of renewal funding to state and local agencies and an analysis of their national impact, see "Fixing the Housing Voucher Formula: A No-Cost Way to Strengthen the Section 8 Program," <http://www.cbpp.org/11-1-06hous.htm>. The paper's Technical Appendix explains the sources and methods for the data in this table.

Agency Estimates (next page)

Agency Estimates

Housing Agency	HUD Code	Authorized Vouchers as of Jan. 2006	Vouchers in Use in 2006 (Estimated)	Authorized Vouchers Funded in 2007			Vouchers in Use That Would Be Cut Under House Appropriations Bill
				SEVRA	Senate Appropriations Bill	House Appropriations Bill	
Anderson HA	in006	1,153	1,015	1,015	1,035	1,079	0
Bedford HA	in031	161	94	161	96	99	0
Bloomfield Housing	in032	50	46	46	47	50	0
Bloomington HA	in022	1,284	1,206	1,284	1,230	1,156	50
Brazil HA	in035	115	85	115	87	82	3
Cannelton HA	in043	179	150	179	153	152	0
Charleston HA	in025	70	63	70	64	62	1
Columbus	in058	579	546	579	556	531	14
Crawfordsville	in047	397	397	397	397	395	2
Decatur	in062	178	173	178	177	166	7
Delaware Co. HA	in004	256	251	256	256	242	8
East Chicago	in029	636	544	636	555	560	0
Elkhart HA	in026	633	633	633	633	609	24
Elwood HA	in079	301	285	301	291	274	11
Evansville HA	in016	1,906	1,906	1,906	1,906	1,906	0
Fayette Co. HA	in073	376	256	256	262	309	0
Fort Wayne HA	in003	2,870	2,322	2,322	2,368	2,751	0
Franklin Co. PHA	in070	100	80	100	82	75	5
Fulton Co. HA	in069	175	157	175	160	143	14

Housing Agency	HUD Code	Authorized Vouchers as of Jan. 2006	Vouchers in Use in 2006 (Estimated)	Authorized Vouchers Funded in 2007			Vouchers in Use That Would Be Cut Under House Appropriations Bill
				SEVRA	Senate Appropriations Bill	House Appropriations Bill	
Gary HA	in011	1,408	1,207	1,207	1,231	1,314	0
Goshen HA	in101	320	320	320	320	320	0
Greencastle HA	in094	184	182	184	184	171	11
Greensburg HA	in078	115	98	115	100	95	3
Hammond HA	in010	639	613	639	625	613	0
Indiana Family & Soc.	in901	4,491	3,555	4,491	3,625	3,548	7
Svc. Adm.*							
Indianapolis HA	in017	7,791	6,302	6,302	6,427	6,992	0
Jasonville HA	in077	50	42	50	43	39	4
Jeffersonville	in023	382	365	382	373	382	0
Kendallville HA	in036	15	11	15	12	11	1
Knox Co. HA	in067	334	323	334	330	311	13
Kokomo	in007	674	570	674	581	550	20
Lafayette HA	in071	1,099	1,099	1,099	1,099	1,099	0
Linton HA	in055	219	188	219	192	180	8
Logansport HA	in092	283	261	283	266	265	0
Marion	in041	421	388	421	396	373	15
Marshall Co.	in103	171	167	167	170	171	0
Michigan City HA	in019	261	254	261	259	257	0
Mishawaka HA	in020	307	290	307	295	278	12
Mt. Vernon	in037	203	159	203	162	154	4

Housing Agency	HUD Code	Authorized Vouchers as of Jan. 2006	Vouchers in Use in 2006 (Estimated)	Authorized Vouchers Funded in 2007			Vouchers in Use That Would Be Cut Under House Appropriations Bill
				SEVRA	Senate Appropriations Bill	House Appropriations Bill	
Muncie HA	in005	842	815	842	831	758	57
New Albany	in012	408	408	408	408	397	11
New Castle	in050	274	259	274	264	252	7
Noblesville HA	in080	185	180	185	183	173	7
Peru HA	in091	212	195	212	199	188	8
Portland HA	in084	50	47	50	48	45	2
Richmond	in009	273	258	273	263	248	10
Rockville HA	in048	133	133	133	133	128	5
Sellersburg HA	in083	55	47	47	48	51	0
Seymour	in056	158	158	158	158	149	9
South Bend	in015	2,124	1,950	2,124	1,989	1,853	97
St. Joseph HA	in100	224	205	224	209	211	0
Sullivan	in034	50	45	50	46	45	0
Tell City HA	in018	67	50	50	51	53	0
Terre Haute	in021	916	784	916	800	755	30
Union City HA	in086	161	136	161	139	132	5
Vincennes HA	in002	372	362	372	369	339	23
Warsaw HA	in060	175	153	153	156	174	0
Total		37,465	32,789	34,914	33,338	33,717	505

*Agency received new "tenant protection" vouchers from HUD in 2005 to replace demolished public housing units or privately-owned units that no longer receive project-based federal housing assistance. Incomplete information was

available to estimate the additional funding due these agencies in 2007 to renew these vouchers under each of the approaches. As a result, estimates for these agencies may be too low, and under the House approach may be too low or too high.

**Agency took over administration of additional vouchers from another agency in 2005 or early 2006. Our estimates may not fully account for the effect of the consolidation on the agency's likely funding in 2007.