



CENTER ON BUDGET AND POLICY PRIORITIES

820 First Street, NE, Suite 510, Washington, DC 20002
Tel: 202-408-1080 Fax: 202-408-1056 center@cbpp.org www.cbpp.org

March 17, 2004

LOCAL EFFECTS OF PROPOSED CUTS IN FEDERAL HOUSING ASSISTANCE DETAILED

Utah

To find estimates for a housing agency in a particular city or county, press the "Ctrl" and "F" keys and enter the name of the city or county. Housing agencies are listed by the agency names contained in the HUD dataset used to develop this analysis. Because the HUD dataset uses a variety of name formats (e.g., the agency in Omaha could be listed as the Omaha Housing Authority or the Housing Authority of Omaha), it is not always possible to locate a city or county by scanning alphabetically.

The table shows estimates of reductions that would occur if the proposed funding cuts were distributed proportionally across housing agencies based on the size of each agency's voucher program. The estimates are based in part on data that housing agencies reported to HUD covering the period through July 2003. Individual agencies may have more current data they can use to update the estimates. For a small number of agencies the HUD data may contain errors; individual agencies will be able to verify whether the July 2003 number of authorized Section 8 vouchers is accurate.

Housing Agency	Number of Authorized Vouchers as of July 2003	Impact of Administration Proposal in 2005			Impact of Administration Proposal in 2009		
		Reduction in Voucher Subsidy Funding	Reduction in Number of Families Assisted if Cut Were Carried Out by Eliminating Vouchers	Increase in Annual Rent if Cut Were Carried Out by Raising Rent Burdens	Reduction in Voucher Subsidy Funding	Reduction in Number of Families Assisted if Cut Were Carried Out by Eliminating Vouchers	Increase in Annual Rent if Cut Were Carried Out by Raising Rent Burdens
Bear River Regional HA	122	\$63,901	15	\$513	\$170,286	35	\$1,334
Beaver HA	19	\$7,841	2	\$404	\$20,895	6	\$1,051
Carbon County HA	273	\$118,775	33	\$426	\$316,515	79	\$1,108
Cedar City HA	139	\$76,958	17	\$542	\$205,081	40	\$1,410
City of Ogden HA	822	\$601,964	100	\$717	\$1,604,136	239	\$1,865
Davis County HA	1,036	\$784,540	126	\$741	\$2,090,671	301	\$1,929
Emery County HA	68	\$35,500	8	\$511	\$94,603	20	\$1,330
Grand County HA	73	\$32,284	9	\$433	\$86,031	21	\$1,126
Logan City HA	444	\$227,833	54	\$502	\$607,138	129	\$1,307
Myton City HA	33	\$12,776	4	\$379	\$34,046	10	\$986
Provo City HA	883	\$518,920	107	\$575	\$1,382,837	257	\$1,497
Roosevelt City HA	91	\$31,884	11	\$343	\$84,966	26	\$892
Salt Lake City	2,139	\$1,648,733	259	\$755	\$4,393,606	622	\$1,963
Salt Lake County HA	2,129	\$1,812,471	258	\$833	\$4,829,941	619	\$2,168
St. George HA	244	\$134,076	30	\$538	\$357,291	71	\$1,399
Tooele County HA	215	\$126,082	26	\$574	\$335,987	63	\$1,493
Utah County HA	952	\$661,610	115	\$680	\$1,763,083	277	\$1,770
Weber County HA	123	\$88,705	15	\$706	\$236,386	36	\$1,837
West Valley City HA	513	\$368,141	62	\$703	\$981,037	149	\$1,828
Utah	10,318	\$7,352,992	1,251	\$698	\$19,594,535	3,001	\$1,815