



CENTER ON BUDGET AND POLICY PRIORITIES

820 First Street, NE, Suite 510, Washington, DC 20002
Tel: 202-408-1080 Fax: 202-408-1056 center@cbpp.org www.cbpp.org

March 17, 2004

LOCAL EFFECTS OF PROPOSED CUTS IN FEDERAL HOUSING ASSISTANCE DETAILED South Carolina

To find estimates for a housing agency in a particular city or county, press the "Ctrl" and "F" keys and enter the name of the city or county. Housing agencies are listed by the agency names contained in the HUD dataset used to develop this analysis. Because the HUD dataset uses a variety of name formats (e.g., the agency in Omaha could be listed as the Omaha Housing Authority or the Housing Authority of Omaha), it is not always possible to locate a city or county by scanning alphabetically.

The table shows estimates of reductions that would occur if the proposed funding cuts were distributed proportionally across housing agencies based on the size of each agency's voucher program. The estimates are based in part on data that housing agencies reported to HUD covering the period through July 2003. Individual agencies may have more current data they can use to update the estimates. For a small number of agencies the HUD data may contain errors; individual agencies will be able to verify whether the July 2003 number of authorized Section 8 vouchers is accurate.

Housing Agency	Number of Authorized Vouchers as of July 2003	Impact of Administration Proposal in 2005			Impact of Administration Proposal in 2009		
		Reduction in Voucher Subsidy Funding	Reduction in Number of Families Assisted if Cut Were Carried Out by Eliminating Vouchers	Increase in Annual Rent if Cut Were Carried Out by Raising Rent Burdens	Reduction in Voucher Subsidy Funding	Reduction in Number of Families Assisted if Cut Were Carried Out by Eliminating Vouchers	Increase in Annual Rent if Cut Were Carried Out by Raising Rent Burdens
Aiken HA	815	\$565,226	99	\$679	\$1,506,237	237	\$1,766
Anderson HA	499	\$285,683	60	\$560	\$761,298	145	\$1,458
Beaufort, SC	554	\$305,807	67	\$540	\$814,925	161	\$1,406
Bennettsville HA	64	\$33,232	8	\$508	\$88,558	19	\$1,322
Charleston County	1,079	\$693,604	131	\$629	\$1,848,343	314	\$1,637
Cheraw HA	175	\$65,958	21	\$369	\$175,767	51	\$960
Chester HA	269	\$104,485	33	\$380	\$278,435	78	\$989
City of Charleston HA	1,274	\$843,301	154	\$648	\$2,247,260	371	\$1,686
City of Columbia HA	2,552	\$2,165,598	309	\$831	\$5,770,967	742	\$2,161
City of Georgetown HA	163	\$71,195	20	\$428	\$189,724	47	\$1,112
City of Newberry HA	235	\$105,191	28	\$438	\$280,317	68	\$1,140
City of Rock Hill HA	430	\$301,248	52	\$686	\$802,776	125	\$1,784
City of Spartanburg HA	1,213	\$778,129	147	\$628	\$2,073,589	353	\$1,634
Conway, SC HA	368	\$245,299	45	\$653	\$653,683	107	\$1,698
Darlington HA	93	\$43,718	11	\$460	\$116,500	27	\$1,197
Florence HA	615	\$307,668	75	\$490	\$819,885	179	\$1,274
Fort Mill HA	154	\$98,003	19	\$623	\$261,163	45	\$1,621
Greenville HA	2,416	\$1,460,003	293	\$592	\$3,890,672	703	\$1,539
Greenwood HA	779	\$368,324	94	\$463	\$981,523	227	\$1,204
Greer HA	280	\$132,552	34	\$463	\$353,231	81	\$1,206
Hartsville HA	210	\$86,971	25	\$405	\$231,763	61	\$1,055
Lake City HA	211	\$111,639	26	\$518	\$297,501	61	\$1,347
Lancaster HA	247	\$126,643	30	\$502	\$337,482	72	\$1,306

Marion HA	153	\$56,647	19	\$362	\$150,954	45	\$943
Marlboro County HA	125	\$49,815	15	\$390	\$132,750	36	\$1,015
Mullins HA	60	\$23,454	7	\$383	\$62,502	17	\$996
Myrtle Beach HA	674	\$426,633	82	\$620	\$1,136,908	196	\$1,612
North Charleston HA	2,002	\$1,346,121	243	\$658	\$3,587,194	582	\$1,712
SC Regional HA #1	1,367	\$762,920	166	\$546	\$2,033,059	398	\$1,421
SC Regional HA #3	530	\$327,847	64	\$606	\$873,659	154	\$1,575
SC State HFA	2,490	\$1,492,736	302	\$587	\$3,977,899	724	\$1,527
Sumter HA	855	\$418,794	104	\$480	\$1,116,018	249	\$1,247
Union HA	274	\$119,061	33	\$425	\$317,278	80	\$1,107
York HA	233	\$151,722	28	\$637	\$404,315	68	\$1,658
South Carolina	23,458	\$14,475,225	2,843	\$604	\$38,574,135	6,824	\$1,571