



CENTER ON BUDGET AND POLICY PRIORITIES

820 First Street, NE, Suite 510, Washington, DC 20002
Tel: 202-408-1080 Fax: 202-408-1056 center@cbpp.org www.cbpp.org

March 17, 2004

LOCAL EFFECTS OF PROPOSED CUTS IN FEDERAL HOUSING ASSISTANCE DETAILED Oregon

To find estimates for a housing agency in a particular city or county, press the "Ctrl" and "F" keys and enter the name of the city or county. Housing agencies are listed by the agency names contained in the HUD dataset used to develop this analysis. Because the HUD dataset uses a variety of name formats (e.g., the agency in Omaha could be listed as the Omaha Housing Authority or the Housing Authority of Omaha), it is not always possible to locate a city or county by scanning alphabetically.

The table shows estimates of reductions that would occur if the proposed funding cuts were distributed proportionally across housing agencies based on the size of each agency's voucher program. The estimates are based in part on data that housing agencies reported to HUD covering the period through July 2003. Individual agencies may have more current data they can use to update the estimates. For a small number of agencies the HUD data may contain errors; individual agencies will be able to verify whether the July 2003 number of authorized Section 8 vouchers is accurate.

Housing Agency	Number of Authorized Vouchers as of July 2003	Impact of Administration Proposal in 2005			Impact of Administration Proposal in 2009		
		Reduction in Voucher Subsidy Funding	Reduction in Number of Families Assisted if Cut Were Carried Out by Eliminating Vouchers	Increase in Annual Rent if Cut Were Carried Out by Raising Rent Burdens	Reduction in Voucher Subsidy Funding	Reduction in Number of Families Assisted if Cut Were Carried Out by Eliminating Vouchers	Increase in Annual Rent if Cut Were Carried Out by Raising Rent Burdens
Central Oregon Regional HA	1,003	\$696,999	122	\$680	\$1,857,388	292	\$1,770
Coos Curry HA	725	\$387,889	88	\$524	\$1,033,661	211	\$1,363
HA and Urban Renewal Agency of Polk	699	\$496,999	85	\$696	\$1,324,422	203	\$1,811
HA of Clackamas County	1,497	\$1,259,380	181	\$824	\$3,356,044	435	\$2,142
HA of Douglas County	650	\$315,273	79	\$475	\$840,151	189	\$1,235
HA of Jackson County	1,414	\$865,596	171	\$599	\$2,306,674	411	\$1,559
HA of Lincoln County	487	\$262,029	59	\$527	\$698,265	142	\$1,370
HA of Portland***	7,496	\$4,317,953	909	\$564	\$11,506,647	2,181	\$1,467
HA of Yamhill County	1,289	\$968,748	156	\$736	\$2,581,556	375	\$1,914
Housing and Community Services Agency of Lane County	2,598	\$1,788,992	315	\$674	\$4,767,374	756	\$1,754
Josephine Housing Council	827	\$411,327	100	\$487	\$1,096,121	241	\$1,267
Klamath HA	734	\$372,226	89	\$496	\$991,921	214	\$1,291
Linn-Benton HA	2,381	\$1,579,349	289	\$649	\$4,208,711	693	\$1,689
Malheur County HA	339	\$180,102	41	\$520	\$479,942	99	\$1,353
Marion County HA	1,168	\$644,144	142	\$540	\$1,716,540	340	\$1,404
Mid Columbia HA	822	\$435,565	100	\$519	\$1,160,712	239	\$1,349

Northeast Oregon HA	710	\$366,295	86	\$505	\$976,117	207	\$1,314
Northwest Oregon HA	1,075	\$713,927	130	\$650	\$1,902,501	313	\$1,691
Salem HA	2,842	\$1,764,010	344	\$608	\$4,700,801	827	\$1,581
Siletz Indian HA	37	\$34,078	4	\$902	\$90,812	11	\$2,346
Umatilla County HA	329	\$153,450	40	\$457	\$408,919	96	\$1,188
Washington County HA	2,535	\$2,224,784	307	\$859	\$5,928,690	737	\$2,235
Oregon	31,657	\$20,239,114	3,837	\$626	\$53,993,968	9,209	\$1,628

*Agencies marked with asterices participate in the Moving-to-Work demonstration and receive voucher funding according to different rules from those that govern other agencies. For further information on the effects of the Administration's proposals on Moving-to-Work agencies, see the discussion under "Sources and Methods."