



# CENTER ON BUDGET AND POLICY PRIORITIES

820 First Street, NE, Suite 510, Washington, DC 20002  
Tel: 202-408-1080 Fax: 202-408-1056 center@cbpp.org www.cbpp.org

March 17, 2004

## LOCAL EFFECTS OF PROPOSED CUTS IN FEDERAL HOUSING ASSISTANCE DETAILED New Mexico

To find estimates for a housing agency in a particular city or county, press the "Ctrl" and "F" keys and enter the name of the city or county. Housing agencies are listed by the agency names contained in the HUD dataset used to develop this analysis. Because the HUD dataset uses a variety of name formats (e.g., the agency in Omaha could be listed as the Omaha Housing Authority or the Housing Authority of Omaha), it is not always possible to locate a city or county by scanning alphabetically.

The table shows estimates of reductions that would occur if the proposed funding cuts were distributed proportionally across housing agencies based on the size of each agency's voucher program. The estimates are based in part on data that housing agencies reported to HUD covering the period through July 2003. Individual agencies may have more current data they can use to update the estimates. For a small number of agencies the HUD data may contain errors; individual agencies will be able to verify whether the July 2003 number of authorized Section 8 vouchers is accurate.

Housing Agency	Number of Authorized Vouchers as of July 2003	Impact of Administration Proposal in 2005			Impact of Administration Proposal in 2009		
		Reduction in Voucher Subsidy Funding	Reduction in Number of Families Assisted if Cut Were Carried Out by Eliminating Vouchers	Increase in Annual Rent if Cut Were Carried Out by Raising Rent Burdens	Reduction in Voucher Subsidy Funding	Reduction in Number of Families Assisted if Cut Were Carried Out by Eliminating Vouchers	Increase in Annual Rent if Cut Were Carried Out by Raising Rent Burdens
Alamogordo Housing	58	\$27,168	7	\$459	\$72,399	17	\$1,193
Albuquerque HA	3,901	\$2,526,772	473	\$634	\$6,733,440	1,135	\$1,650
Barrier Free Futures, Inc.	20	\$14,949	2	\$732	\$39,837	6	\$1,904
Bernalillo County Housing Department	1,756	\$1,293,002	213	\$721	\$3,445,640	511	\$1,875
Bernalillo HA	75	\$56,213	9	\$734	\$149,799	22	\$1,909
Clovis HA	608	\$228,524	74	\$368	\$608,979	177	\$957
Cuba HA	58	\$28,461	7	\$480	\$75,843	17	\$1,250
Dona Ana County HA	605	\$322,568	73	\$522	\$859,592	176	\$1,358
Eastern Plains Council of Gov/Region IV HA	557	\$241,582	68	\$425	\$643,778	162	\$1,105
Gallup HA	38	\$15,855	5	\$408	\$42,250	11	\$1,063
Grants HA	98	\$55,897	12	\$558	\$148,956	29	\$1,453
HA of the City of Las Cruces	794	\$421,607	96	\$520	\$1,123,515	231	\$1,352
HA of the City of Mexico	204	\$128,081	25	\$615	\$341,316	59	\$1,599
Lordsburg PHA	10	\$4,563	1	\$447	\$12,160	3	\$1,162
Mora County HA	66	\$29,881	8	\$443	\$79,628	19	\$1,153
Mountainair HA	136	\$64,819	16	\$467	\$172,733	40	\$1,214
New Mexico Region II HA	104	\$68,526	13	\$645	\$182,612	30	\$1,678

New Mexico Region V HA	901	\$465,310	109	\$506	\$1,239,975	262	\$1,315
Region VI HA	1,227	\$557,050	149	\$444	\$1,484,449	357	\$1,156
Rio Arriba County HA	25	\$11,769	3	\$461	\$31,361	7	\$1,199
San Juan County HA	278	\$121,086	34	\$426	\$322,676	81	\$1,109
San Miguel County	112	\$50,999	14	\$446	\$135,904	33	\$1,160
Santa Fe Civic HA	514	\$420,997	62	\$802	\$1,121,888	150	\$2,086
Santa Fe Civic HA	514	\$443,729	62	\$845	\$1,182,465	150	\$2,199
Santa Fe County Housing	241	\$213,117	29	\$866	\$567,924	70	\$2,252
Socorro County HA	318	\$180,602	39	\$556	\$481,276	93	\$1,446
Taos County HA	448	\$265,926	54	\$581	\$708,648	130	\$1,512
Truth or Consequences HA	190	\$73,655	23	\$379	\$196,280	55	\$987
Tucumcari HA	140	\$72,682	17	\$508	\$193,687	41	\$1,322
Village of Los Lunas	122	\$76,207	15	\$611	\$203,078	35	\$1,591
<b>New Mexico</b>	<b>14,118</b>	<b>\$8,481,599</b>	<b>1,711</b>	<b>\$588</b>	<b>\$22,602,089</b>	<b>4,107</b>	<b>\$1,530</b>