



CENTER ON BUDGET AND POLICY PRIORITIES

820 First Street, NE, Suite 510, Washington, DC 20002
Tel: 202-408-1080 Fax: 202-408-1056 center@cbpp.org www.cbpp.org

March 17, 2004

LOCAL EFFECTS OF PROPOSED CUTS IN FEDERAL HOUSING ASSISTANCE DETAILED New Hampshire

To find estimates for a housing agency in a particular city or county, press the "Ctrl" and "F" keys and enter the name of the city or county. Housing agencies are listed by the agency names contained in the HUD dataset used to develop this analysis. Because the HUD dataset uses a variety of name formats (e.g., the agency in Omaha could be listed as the Omaha Housing Authority or the Housing Authority of Omaha), it is not always possible to locate a city or county by scanning alphabetically.

The table shows estimates of reductions that would occur if the proposed funding cuts were distributed proportionally across housing agencies based on the size of each agency's voucher program. The estimates are based in part on data that housing agencies reported to HUD covering the period through July 2003. Individual agencies may have more current data they can use to update the estimates. For a small number of agencies the HUD data may contain errors; individual agencies will be able to verify whether the July 2003 number of authorized Section 8 vouchers is accurate.

Housing Agency	Number of Authorized Vouchers as of July 2003	Impact of Administration Proposal in 2005			Impact of Administration Proposal in 2009		
		Reduction in Voucher Subsidy Funding	Reduction in Number of Families Assisted if Cut Were Carried Out by Eliminating Vouchers	Increase in Annual Rent if Cut Were Carried Out by Raising Rent Burdens	Reduction in Voucher Subsidy Funding	Reduction in Number of Families Assisted if Cut Were Carried Out by Eliminating Vouchers	Increase in Annual Rent if Cut Were Carried Out by Raising Rent Burdens
Berlin HA	285	\$117,475	35	\$404	\$313,051	83	\$1,050
Claremont HA	140	\$81,560	17	\$570	\$217,345	41	\$1,484
Concord HA	224	\$142,514	27	\$623	\$379,778	65	\$1,620
Derry HRA	100	\$83,809	12	\$820	\$223,337	29	\$2,134
Dover HA	347	\$306,558	42	\$865	\$816,927	101	\$2,250
Exeter HA	169	\$134,971	20	\$782	\$359,675	49	\$2,034
Franklin	89	\$62,466	11	\$687	\$166,461	26	\$1,787
Harbor Homes, Inc.	75	\$79,804	9	\$1,042	\$212,664	22	\$2,710
Keene HA***	409	\$306,499	50	\$734	\$816,770	119	\$1,908
Laconia Housing and Redevelopment Authority	407	\$261,606	49	\$629	\$697,137	118	\$1,637
Lancaster	73	\$27,623	9	\$370	\$73,611	21	\$964
Lebanon	163	\$101,814	20	\$611	\$271,318	47	\$1,591
Manchester Housing and Redevelopment Authority	1,813	\$1,469,588	220	\$794	\$3,916,214	527	\$2,064
Nashua HA	758	\$752,912	92	\$972	\$2,006,389	221	\$2,530
New Hampshire Housing Finance Authority	3,217	\$2,661,925	390	\$810	\$7,093,601	936	\$2,107
Newmarket	72	\$60,359	9	\$821	\$160,846	21	\$2,135
Northumberland	15	\$5,773	2	\$377	\$15,384	4	\$980
Portsmouth HA	406	\$349,427	49	\$843	\$931,167	118	\$2,192
Rochester HA	182	\$181,825	22	\$978	\$484,536	53	\$2,544

Somersworth HA	183	\$164,672	22	\$881	\$438,825	53	\$2,292
New Hampshire	9,127	\$7,353,180	1,106	\$789	\$19,595,035	2,655	\$2,052

*Agencies marked with asterices participate in the Moving-to-Work demonstration and receive voucher funding according to different rules from those that govern other agencies. For further information on the effects of the Administration's proposals on Moving-to-Work agencies, see the discussion under "Sources and Methods."