TWO TAX CUTS PRIMARILY BENEFITTING MILLIONAIRES WILL START TAKING EFFECT JANUARY 1

Congress Declines to Rethink These Tax Cuts As It Proposes to Cut Aid to Low-Income Families
By Robert Greenstein, Joel Friedman, and Aviva Aron-Dine

Sometime early next year, the House of Representatives is expected to vote on the budget reconciliation legislation that the Senate passed on December 21 and the House passed in a slightly different version on December 19. That legislation would make significant cuts in a number of programs serving low- and moderate-income families and individuals, including Medicaid, child support enforcement, and student loans.

Supporters of the legislation defend the cuts as “tough choices” that need to be made because of large and growing budget deficits. These claims are undercut by the fact that, in the last six weeks, the House has passed four tax-cut bills that together cost more than twice what the budget reconciliation bill saves. The claims are further undermined by Congress’s unwillingness to rethink any previously enacted tax cuts as part of its supposed reevaluation of priorities in light of deficits.

In particular, Congress has chosen to allow two tax cuts that exclusively benefit high-income households — primarily millionaires — to begin taking effect on January 1, 2006. By 2010, these tax cuts will eliminate two current provisions of the tax code that limit the value of the personal exemptions and itemized deductions that people at high income levels can take (see box for details).

FIGURE 1

Nearly All – 97 Percent – of Two Tax Cuts Not Yet in Effect Will Go to Households With Incomes Over $200,000
Percentage of tax break in 2010, by cash income class

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Class</th>
<th>Tax Cut Share for Households with Incomes Over $1 million**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$200,000 - $1 million</td>
<td>43.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,000 - $200,000</td>
<td>53.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Households with incomes under $100,000 receive 0.1% of the tax cut.
** Just 0.2% of households have incomes over $1,000,000; 3.5% have incomes from $200,000 to $1,000,000; 11% have incomes between $100,000 and $200,000.

Source: Urban Institute-Brookings Institution Tax Policy Center
on page 4 for more detail). As this analysis explains:

- The Joint Committee on Taxation estimates that, over the next five years, the two tax cuts that take effect on New Year’s day will cost about $27 billion—about two thirds of the approximately $40 billion that the budget-cut reconciliation bill saves. Once the tax cuts are fully in effect and if they are made permanent, as the Administration proposes, the costs will be much larger: almost $150 billion over ten years (even without considering the effects on interest payments on the federal debt).

- The cost of these two tax cuts between 2005 and 2010 exceeds the savings from all of the reductions in low-income programs in the reconciliation bill over the same period. In other words, if Congress halted the implementation of these two tax cuts and eliminated all of the low-income program reductions, there would be a net reduction in the deficit.

- More than half of the gains from the two tax cuts—54 percent of them—will go to the 0.2 percent of households with annual incomes above $1 million, while 97 percent of the tax-cut benefits will go to the 4 percent of households with incomes above $200,000, according to the Urban Institute-Brookings Institution Tax Policy Center.

- When the two new tax cuts are fully implemented, millionaires will gain an average of $19,000 a year, which will be on top of the average tax cut of $103,000 they received in 2005 due to other tax cuts that have been enacted since 2001.

Ironically, the two new tax cuts repeal provisions of the tax code that were originally signed into law by the first President Bush in 1990 to help address troubling budget deficits. Faced with similar deficit worries, the current Congress should reconsider tax cuts targeted to those who least need them, rather than squeezing more dollars from programs that serve the most vulnerable.

**The Tax Cuts’ Costs Are Significant and Will Increase Over Time**

These two tax-cut measures were enacted in 2001, but do not begin to take effect until 2006 and do not take full effect until 2010. Neither tax cut was proposed by the current President Bush as
part of his 2001 tax-cut package. Both were added on Capitol Hill, with implementation postponed until the second half of the decade to keep the total cost of the 2001 tax cut package within prescribed budget limits for the 2002-2011 period.

Even before they take full effect, the tax cuts’ costs are substantial: $27 billion over the first five years (2006-2010), according to the Joint Committee on Taxation. Moreover, because this estimate includes four years in which the tax cuts are not yet fully phased in, it significantly understates the tax cuts’ true long-run costs. If the tax cuts remain in effect from 2010 through 2019, their cost over that ten-year period will be $146 billion. And the cost for the 2010-2019 period rises to $197 billion when the increases in interest payments on the debt these tax cuts will trigger are taken into account.

Furthermore, these estimates are themselves lower than the true cost of the tax cuts, since these estimates assume that Alternative Minimum Tax relief will not be provided in future years, an unrealistic assumption. If AMT relief is provided, more households will be able to take full advantage of these tax cuts, increasing their cost.

Even over the next five years, the costs of these tax cuts are significantly greater than the savings obtained in the same time period from the low-income program cuts contained in the budget reconciliation bill. If Congress were willing to reconsider these tax cuts, it could thus achieve the same or a more favorable effect on the nation’s fiscal problems without harming low-income families.

If the Tax Cuts Take Effect, They Will Be Two of the Most Regressive on Record

While the 2001 tax cuts already in effect are also skewed toward the well-off, the two new tax cuts are particularly regressive.

The respected Urban Institute-Brookings Institution Tax Policy Center conducted an analysis of the two tax-cut measures and found:

- When the tax cuts are fully in effect, a majority of their benefits — 54 percent— will go to households with incomes of more than $1 million a year, the top 0.2 percent of households.

- Another 43 percent of the tax-cut benefits go to the 3.5 percent of households with incomes between $200,000 and $1 million. Thus 97 percent of the tax-cut benefits go to the 3.7
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Most Benefits of the Tax Cuts Go to Small Fraction of Households with Highest Incomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Class</th>
<th>Percent of Households</th>
<th>Percent of Tax Cut Benefits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than $100,000</td>
<td>85.0%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,000-$200,000</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$200,000-$1 million</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>43.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above $1 million</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>53.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Urban Institute-Brookings Institution Tax Policy Center
What Are the Two Eliminated Provisions?

The tax cuts gradually eliminate two provisions that were enacted as part of the 1990 deficit-reduction package. The idea behind the provisions was to raise needed revenue by phasing out deductions and exemptions for those who could most afford to lose them.

The first provision (sometimes referred to as the “Pease” provision, after former Representative Don Pease) limits the value of itemized deductions for taxpayers with high incomes. The tax code allows taxpayers to reduce their taxable income either by the standard deduction or by an amount equal to the sum of their itemized deductions. About two-thirds of taxpayers use the standard deduction, and one-third itemize deductions. High-income taxpayers are much more likely to itemize than are middle-income taxpayers.

The Pease provision reduces the value of deductions for those who itemize and have incomes above $145,950 in 2005. The total value of the itemized deductions the taxpayer claims is reduced by 3 percent of the amount by which his or her income exceeds $145,950. Itemized deductions cannot be reduced by more than 80 percent.

The second provision is known as the “personal exemption phase-out” (or “PEP” for short). The tax code allows taxpayers to claim a personal exemption ($3,200 in 2005) for each member of their household. They can subtract their personal exemptions from their adjusted gross income before calculating their taxes, thus reducing their tax liability.

Under PEP, the personal exemption phases out for those with high incomes. In 2005, a taxpayer loses 2 percent of the personal exemption for every $2,500 by which the taxpayer’s income exceeds $218,950 (for married couples) or $145,950 (for singles).

The complaint most often levied against the Pease and PEP provisions is that they add to the complexity of the tax code. In fact, complying with Pease and PEP involves a few simple arithmetic calculations. Moreover, to the extent that the provisions do create complexity, they impose it on those households that are typically best able to cope with it: high-income taxpayers who most often have professionals calculate their taxes or use a software package that would automatically handle the Pease and PEP calculations.

- That leaves only 3 percent of the benefits of the tax cuts for the 96 percent of households with incomes below $200,000. Essentially none of the benefits will flow to families with incomes under $100,000.

- When the tax cuts are fully in effect, households with annual incomes above $1 million will receive average tax cuts of $19,000 a year from the provisions. These households have already benefited significantly from the other tax cuts enacted since 2001, receiving an average benefit of $103,000 in 2005, according to the Tax Policy Center.

- In contrast to the sizeable benefits that very high-income households will receive from the two new tax cuts, households with annual incomes between $100,000 and $200,000 will receive average tax cuts of only $25. (See Figure 2 on page 2.) The overwhelming majority of households with income below $100,000 will receive no tax cut whatsoever.
The two tax cuts and the budget reconciliation bill program cuts thus present a stark contrast. While supporters of the reconciliation bill claim they are subjecting programs to the careful scrutiny necessitated by budget deficits, they have exempted tax breaks for the well-off from any such evaluation.

It is not too late to change course. Congress is expected to act early in 2006 on other tax measures that expired at the end of 2005, for instance extending relief from the Alternative Minimum Tax. Just as Congress can still enact these other tax changes in January or February and make them retroactive to January 1 without creating significant tax compliance and administration problems, it could still rescind the two tax cuts after the start of the new year. If Congress acts expeditiously, the change could be made well before tax forms have been generated and before significant estimated payments have been made.

Congress could use the funds saved by this step either to eliminate the low-income cuts in the reconciliation package or to reduce the deficit. Either approach would represent a distinct policy improvement.