

Revised November 16, 2007

APPENDIX: WHAT'S AT STAKE IN THE HUD BILL FOR 2008?

State	Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers¹ <i>Number of Families Whose Vouchers Would Not Be Renewed by the President's Budget</i>	Project-Based Section 8 Rental Assistance² <i>Number of Affordable Units at High Risk of Loss Due to Owner Opt-Outs Following Funding Shortfalls</i>	Public Housing³ <i>Reduction in Funding for Operating and Capital Expenses, Compared to Congressional Bill</i>	Community Development Block Grants⁴ <i>Reduction in Funding, Compared to Congressional Bill</i>
AL	455	5,223	-\$16,732,557	-\$14,827,492
AK	119	444	-\$852,802	-\$1,417,114
AZ	164	3,716	-\$2,432,322	-\$16,254,871
AR	317	2,571	-\$5,017,589	-\$8,263,818
CA	4,282	73,442	-\$20,404,734	-\$140,830,631
CO	260	8,783	-\$3,192,184	-\$11,479,942
CT	366	8,823	-\$8,018,363	-\$12,540,634
DE	19	2,489	-\$1,303,394	-\$2,165,406
DC	0	9,170	-\$5,562,644	-\$5,585,312
FL	948	26,168	-\$15,428,113	-\$48,401,369
GA	839	13,727	-\$19,749,629	-\$24,572,910
HI	74	2,419	-\$2,723,877	-\$4,575,252
ID	73	640	-\$232,789	-\$3,654,975
IL	754	11,151	-\$40,201,684	-\$52,761,508
IN	409	8,977	-\$6,577,130	-\$21,108,762
IA	298	2,567	-\$1,223,158	-\$12,377,118
KS	161	3,035	-\$2,845,740	-\$8,389,133
KY	274	7,266	-\$9,085,383	-\$13,704,353
LA	202	9,617	-\$12,281,971	-\$18,681,685
ME	44	713	-\$1,557,969	-\$5,942,746
MD	438	16,423	-\$10,109,589	-\$16,695,512
MA	587	19,552	-\$16,959,595	-\$32,980,448
MI	179	14,665	-\$10,075,000	-\$39,569,382
MN	340	6,776	-\$7,921,538	-\$17,455,653

TABLE A**State Impacts on Four Key Programs If Funding Is Cut to the President's Proposed Levels**

State	Section 8 Housing Choice Vouchers¹ <i>Number of Families Whose Vouchers Would Not Be Renewed by the President's Budget</i>	Project-Based Section 8 Rental Assistance² <i>Number of Affordable Units at High Risk of Loss Due to Owner Opt-Outs Following Funding Shortfalls</i>	Public Housing³ <i>Reduction in Funding for Operating and Capital Expenses, Compared to Congressional Bill</i>	Community Development Block Grants⁴ <i>Reduction in Funding, Compared to Congressional Bill</i>
MS	67	7,550	-\$5,253,971	-\$10,573,800
MO	310	8,880	-\$7,722,411	-\$20,144,550
MT	91	1,061	-\$749,311	-\$2,764,376
NE	117	2,381	-\$2,129,465	-\$5,832,057
NV	235	2,554	-\$1,997,165	-\$6,081,816
NH	38	550	-\$1,411,827	-\$3,971,527
NJ	1,147	13,121	-\$21,320,306	-\$30,360,272
NM	220	2,868	-\$1,592,623	-\$6,322,918
NY	2836	35,757	-\$107,819,368	-\$105,060,365
NC	657	11,304	-\$15,570,408	-\$21,401,927
ND	107	1,012	-\$544,448	-\$1,909,587
OH	1,180	22,195	-\$24,843,665	-\$48,725,326
OK	112	5,801	-\$4,383,466	-\$9,098,112
OR	295	3,476	-\$2,506,668	-\$10,965,071
PA	974	16,818	-\$39,703,958	-\$66,771,174
RI	141	5,876	-\$3,727,935	-\$5,155,445
SC	350	4,473	-\$5,935,962	-\$11,680,095
SD	57	1,367	-\$458,697	-\$2,401,101
TN	420	19,207	-\$14,463,837	-\$15,047,618
TX	2,373	26,987	-\$22,353,987	-\$76,904,508
UT	18	1,193	-\$700,336	-\$6,171,968
VT	103	650	-\$561,485	-\$2,507,669
VA	488	21,202	-\$9,477,922	-\$18,448,737
WA	224	8,318	-\$6,527,300	-\$18,475,927
WV	158	676	-\$2,439,347	-\$7,548,205
WI	398	9,492	-\$4,072,882	-\$20,081,769
WY	52	541	-\$234,626	-\$1,264,138
Total⁵	25,180	493,667	-\$560,534,000	-\$1,105,250,000

Notes

¹ For an explanation of the methodology used, see notes 4, 5, and 7 in the paper. Voucher cuts represent vouchers expected to be in use in the final quarter of calendar year 2007, based on the assumption that voucher usage in the final quarter will be about 3.1 percent above the average for 2006. HUD data show that voucher usage had already increased by approximately 2.6 percent as of June 2007.

² Totals consist of the number of project-based Section 8 units in each state with rents that are below the HUD-established Fair Market Rent (FMR). More than one-quarter of these units have rents that are well below FMR, i.e., below the 80 percent of FMR level. A recent (2006) report issued by HUD, "Multifamily Properties: Opting-in, Opting-out, and Remaining Affordable," concluded that owners of Section 8 properties whose rents were less than the FMR were at greater risk of opting out of the program. Data were generously provided by the National Housing Trust, based on its analysis of HUD data.

³ Totals are based on the difference in funding provided for the public housing operating fund and public housing capital fund formula grants under the President's budget and Congress' Transportation-HUD bill, assuming that housing agencies in each state receive the same proportion of funding in 2008 as they did in 2007. Totals do not include cuts proposed in the President's budget in funds set aside within the public housing capital fund for purposes other than formula grants. See note 12 for additional information on those cuts.

⁴ Totals are based on the difference in funding provided under the President's budget and Congress' Transportation-HUD bill, assuming that each state (and localities within the state) receives the same proportion of total CDBG formula funding in fiscal year 2008 that it did in fiscal year 2007. See note 30 for additional explanation of the amount of CDBG formula grant funding provided under the President's budget.

⁵ Totals for Section 8 vouchers, public housing, and CDBG include figures for American Samoa, Guam, Northern Marianas Islands, Puerto Rico, and Virgin Islands.