Table 10B Effective Annual Premium Payments for Two Children in a Family of Three at Selected Income Levels<sup>1</sup> January 2008

	Effective Annual Amount at 101% of the Federal Poverty Line (\$17,342)	Effective Annual Amount at 151% of the Federal Poverty Line (\$25,927)	Effective Annual Amount at 200% of the Federal Poverty Line (\$34,340)	Lock-out Period
T. 4.1	10	26	20	12
Total	10	26	29	12
Alabama	\$100	\$200	\$200	
Alaska	——————————————————————————————————————	<del>-</del>	<del>=</del>	
Arizona	\$180	\$360	\$420	
Arkansas	_	_	_	
California <sup>2</sup>	\$96/\$168	\$144/\$216	\$144/\$216	
Colorado	\$0	\$35	\$35	
Connecticut	\$0	\$0	\$0	3 months
Delaware	\$120	\$180	\$300	
Dist. of Columbia	<u> </u>	— #2.40	<u> </u>	60.1
Florida	\$180	\$240	\$240	60 days
Georgia³	\$180	\$480	\$672	1month
Hawaii Idaho <sup>4</sup>	<u> </u>	— \$360	N/A	
idano Illinois	\$0 \$0	\$300	\$300	3 months
Indiana	\$0 \$0	\$300 \$396	\$600	5 monuis
lowa	\$0	\$240	\$240	
Kansas	\$0	\$240	\$360	
Kentucky	\$0	\$240	\$240	
Louisiana		_	_	
Maine	\$0	\$192	\$768	1 months
Maryland	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Massachusetts <sup>1</sup>	\$0	\$288	\$288	
Michigan	\$0	\$120	\$120	
Minnesota <sup>1/5</sup>	\$96	\$756	\$1,464	4 months
Mississippi	<del></del>	_	_	
Missouri <sup>1</sup>	\$0	\$240	\$792	6 months
Montana	_	N/A	N/A	
Nebraska			N/A	
Nevada	\$60	\$140	\$280	2 4
New Hampshire	\$0	\$0	\$600	3 months
New Jersey	\$0	\$222	\$222	
New Mexico New York	<u> </u>	<del></del>	<u> </u>	
North Carolina	\$0 \$0	\$100	\$100	
North Caronna North Dakota	φ0 —	N/A	N/A	
Ohio				
Oklahoma	_	_	N/A	
Oregon	_	_	N/A	
Pennsylvania	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Rhode Island <sup>1</sup>	\$0	\$732	\$924	4 months
South Carolina	_	N/A	N/A	
South Dakota	_	_	_	
Tennessee <sup>1</sup>	\$480	\$840	\$3,000	
Гexas	\$0	\$35	\$50	
Utah	\$120	\$240	\$240	
Vermont <sup>1</sup>	\$0	\$0	\$180	
Virginia	<u></u>	<u> </u>		4 3
Washington	\$0	\$0	\$0	4 months
West Virginia <sup>6</sup>	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$852	6 months
Wisconsin <sup>1/7</sup> Wyoming	\$0 —	\$900 —	\$1500 —	6 months

SOURCE: Based on a national survey conducted by the Center on Budget and Policy Priorities for the Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured, 2008. See notes on following page.

## **Notes for Table 10B**

Table presents rules in effect as of July 2007, unless otherwise noted.

- 1. States in *italics* require the premiums noted in their children's Medicaid programs. **Massachusetts** requires premiums in children's Medicaid (children under six are exempt) and SCHIP. The figures noted for **Minnesota** are for two persons, which could include a parent. The figures noted for **Rhode Island** and **Wisconsin** also may include coverage for parents. **Vermont** requires premiums in children's Medicaid and its separate SCHIP program. All other states require premiums in their separate SCHIP programs only. A dash (—) indicates that no premiums are required in the program; \$0 indicates that no premium is required at this income level; "N/A" indicates that coverage is not available at this income level.
- 2. In California, premiums vary based on whether the family uses the discounted community provider health plan. The first amount noted is the premium required under the community provider health plan.
- 3. In Georgia, premiums are only required of families with children age six and older.
- 4. In Idaho, families with children covered under the state's new "enhanced" plan are not required to pay premiums.
- 5. In **Minnesota**, premiums apply only to children covered under the Section 1115 waiver program. The figures noted are approximate.
- 6. In **West Virginia**, the premiums noted apply only to children covered with income between 200 and 220 percent of the federal poverty line.
- 7. In **Wisconsin**, recipients may have income up to 200 percent of the federal poverty line.