



CENTER ON BUDGET AND POLICY PRIORITIES

820 First Street, NE, Suite 510, Washington, DC 20002
Tel: 202-408-1080 Fax: 202-408-1056 center@cbpp.org www.cbpp.org

Revised March 8, 2005

ESTIMATED VOUCHER FUNDING SHORTFALLS IN 2005, 2006 AND 2010

New Jersey

This table displays estimates of cuts in housing voucher assistance under the actual 2005 voucher funding level, the Administration's 2006 budget request, and an estimate of the Administration's budget plan for 2010 based on the limited information available to the public.ⁱ (Please see the endnotes for the methods used to develop these estimates.) The table below can be read as follows:

“In 2005, [housing agency] will receive [2005 funding shortfall] less fundingⁱⁱ than it needs to support its vouchers, causing an estimated [2005 cut in families assisted] low-income families to go without housing assistance.ⁱⁱⁱ Under the Administration's budget for 2006, the funding gap confronting the agency will drop to [2006 funding shortfall], allowing it to restore temporarily [2006 number of cut vouchers restored] of the vouchers that were cut in 2005. But estimates based on available information on the Administration's budget plans through 2010 show the shortfall widening to approximately [2010 funding shortfall], eliminating all of the vouchers restored in 2006 and cutting the number of families assisted by a further [2010 cut in families assisted below 2005 level].”

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
Asbury Park HA	278	-\$100,658	-11	-\$51,012	6	-\$616,936	-49
Atlantic City HA	849	-\$251,539	-33	-\$132,505	16	-\$1,602,530	-150
Bayonne HA	251	-\$70,205	-10	-\$37,569	5	-\$454,360	-45
Bergen County	3,586	-\$1,228,890	-138	-\$651,143	67	-\$7,874,961	-636
Berkeley HA	25	-\$8,884	-1	-\$4,961	0	-\$60,000	-4
Bloomfield HA	270	-\$88,212	-11	-\$45,352	5	-\$548,484	-48
Boonton	159	-\$50,942	-6	-\$26,526	3	-\$320,811	-28
Brick HA	142	-\$42,785	-6	-\$22,452	3	-\$271,530	-25
Bridgeton	203	-\$48,095	-6	-\$31,974	2	-\$386,697	-38
Burlington Co. PHA	567	-\$180,076	-23	-\$90,582	12	-\$1,095,505	-99
Camden	1,067	-\$402,889	-44	-\$200,995	22	-\$2,430,848	-187
Cape May Section 8	103	-\$17,790	-3	-\$12,409	1	-\$150,077	-19
Carteret HA	464	-\$198,157	-17	-\$107,844	8	-\$1,304,272	-83
Cherry Hill Township	131	-\$35,225	-5	-\$18,513	2	-\$223,891	-23
Clementon Borough	69	-\$17,942	-3	-\$9,264	1	-\$112,042	-12
Cliffside Park	347	-\$124,186	-14	-\$62,983	7	-\$761,725	-61

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
Clifton HA	253	-\$89,869	-10	-\$44,893	5	-\$542,941	-44
Dover, Town	248	-\$67,336	-9	-\$37,527	4	-\$453,856	-44
East Orange	910	-\$317,480	-31	-\$189,065	13	-\$2,286,567	-165
Edgewater	154	-\$58,851	-6	-\$30,009	3	-\$362,936	-27
Edison HA	375	-\$98,492	-14	-\$53,607	7	-\$648,325	-67
Elizabeth	1,103	-\$375,491	-44	-\$191,374	22	-\$2,314,491	-194
Englewood	501	-\$207,803	-20	-\$103,670	11	-\$1,253,796	-88
Fort Lee HA	551	-\$197,591	-22	-\$98,575	12	-\$1,192,173	-96
Franklin HA	134	-\$50,965	-5	-\$25,901	3	-\$313,250	-24
Glassboro HA	112	-\$32,570	-4	-\$17,061	2	-\$206,340	-20
Gloucester Co.	1,822	-\$496,757	-74	-\$250,344	38	-\$3,027,678	-320
Guttenberg HA	198	-\$70,180	-8	-\$36,043	4	-\$435,909	-35
Hamilton Township	222	-\$48,146	-7	-\$29,298	3	-\$354,334	-41
Highland Park	145	-\$47,158	-6	-\$24,780	3	-\$299,687	-26
Hoboken HA	312	-\$98,402	-11	-\$57,437	5	-\$694,646	-56
Hunterdon Co.	377	-\$120,913	-15	-\$60,375	8	-\$730,180	-66
Irvington HA	281	-\$88,248	-11	-\$44,026	6	-\$532,450	-49
Jersey City HA	3,039	-\$958,742	-124	-\$478,301	64	-\$5,784,602	-532
Keansburg HA	127	-\$50,872	-5	-\$27,086	2	-\$327,578	-23
Lakewood HA	831	-\$377,397	-31	-\$205,057	15	-\$2,479,978	-148
Lakewood Township Residential Assistance Program	1,058	-\$484,117	-43	-\$241,518	22	-\$2,920,938	-185
Linden	357	-\$115,691	-13	-\$63,055	6	-\$762,596	-64
Lodi HA	477	-\$165,750	-19	-\$83,507	10	-\$1,009,937	-84
Long Branch	561	-\$234,689	-22	-\$119,787	11	-\$1,448,706	-99
Madison	190	-\$55,113	-7	-\$28,862	4	-\$349,059	-34
Manville HA	90	-\$29,660	-4	-\$15,425	2	-\$186,552	-16
Middlesex Co. HA	400	-\$155,781	-16	-\$79,034	8	-\$955,840	-70
Middletown HA	188	-\$67,408	-8	-\$34,113	4	-\$412,559	-33
Millville HA	159	-\$40,789	-6	-\$21,193	3	-\$256,315	-28
Monmouth Co. HA	1,889	-\$675,600	-76	-\$341,138	39	-\$4,125,747	-332
Montclair HA	290	-\$100,575	-11	-\$53,105	5	-\$642,257	-51
Morris Co. Housing	634	-\$210,941	-26	-\$105,235	13	-\$1,272,723	-111
Morristown	184	-\$64,476	-7	-\$34,277	3	-\$414,553	-33
Neptune HA	318	-\$90,675	-11	-\$53,610	5	-\$648,358	-58
New Brunswick Housing	810	-\$316,241	-33	-\$157,767	17	-\$1,908,049	-142
Newark HA	5,348	-\$1,454,697	-201	-\$787,657	95	-\$9,525,968	-953
NJ DCA	18,388	-\$6,236,409	-725	-\$3,222,582	360	-\$38,974,107	-3,245
NJ HMFA	1,488	-\$298,170	-61	-\$148,752	31	-\$1,799,020	-261

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
North Bergen	457	-\$153,364	-19	-\$76,712	10	-\$927,763	-80
Old Bridge HA	204	-\$59,455	-8	-\$30,254	4	-\$365,897	-36
Orange	601	-\$179,135	-23	-\$97,257	11	-\$1,176,233	-107
Passaic Co. PHA	835	-\$304,792	-34	-\$153,527	17	-\$1,856,760	-147
Passaic HA	1,792	-\$456,023	-57	-\$293,545	21	-\$3,550,156	-330
Paterson	808	-\$350,185	-33	-\$174,702	17	-\$2,112,852	-141
Paterson DCD	1,071	-\$567,246	-44	-\$282,990	22	-\$3,422,500	-188
Penns Grove	35	-\$10,274	-1	-\$5,914	1	-\$71,526	-6
Pennsauken HA	76	-\$21,502	-3	-\$11,219	1	-\$135,686	-13
Perth Amboy HA	596	-\$222,686	-24	-\$113,441	12	-\$1,371,968	-105
Phillipsburg	228	-\$62,333	-9	-\$31,097	5	-\$376,086	-40
Plainfield HA	603	-\$221,243	-25	-\$110,558	13	-\$1,337,099	-106
Rahway	188	-\$66,545	-8	-\$33,496	4	-\$405,099	-33
Red Bank	260	-\$82,272	-9	-\$46,156	4	-\$558,211	-47
Salem HA	40	-\$10,012	-2	-\$4,995	1	-\$60,411	-7
Sayreville HA	174	-\$62,790	-7	-\$31,628	4	-\$382,510	-31
Secaucus	250	-\$87,130	-10	-\$43,468	5	-\$525,704	-44
Somerville, Borough	170	-\$68,059	-7	-\$34,426	3	-\$416,349	-30
South Amboy	111	-\$37,552	-4	-\$20,320	2	-\$245,757	-20
Union City HA	646	-\$173,876	-26	-\$87,512	13	-\$1,058,378	-113
Union Co.	371	-\$120,196	-15	-\$60,618	8	-\$733,115	-65
Union Township HA	163	-\$45,606	-6	-\$23,875	3	-\$288,746	-29
Vineland	347	-\$86,350	-14	-\$44,392	7	-\$536,880	-61
Warren Co. Housing Program	666	-\$156,673	-27	-\$78,306	14	-\$947,038	-117
Weehawken	350	-\$100,234	-14	-\$51,649	7	-\$624,642	-62
West New York	462	-\$146,770	-19	-\$73,221	10	-\$885,540	-81
West Orange PHA	120	-\$35,070	-5	-\$18,047	2	-\$218,261	-21
Woodbridge HA	405	-\$177,155	-16	-\$89,336	8	-\$1,080,432	-71
New Jersey	65,064	-\$21,581,048	-2,547	-\$11,223,795	1,257	-\$135,741,266	-11,501

ⁱ The Administration has released its planned 2010 funding level for a “housing assistance” category that includes the voucher program, public housing and several other programs taken together, but has not released a funding level for the voucher program separately. We have estimated the 2010 voucher funding level by assuming that the Administration plans to cut all housing assistance programs proportionately. It is possible that the Administration actually intends to impose larger cuts in voucher assistance than we estimate and smaller cuts in other programs, or vice versa. See Appendix, “The Basis for the Estimate that the Budget Would Support 370,000 Fewer Vouchers in 2010,” <http://www.cbpp.org/2-18-05hous-app.htm>.

ⁱⁱ We assume that the amount of funding needed to support an agency’s vouchers in 2005 is equal to the agency’s average voucher cost in May -July 2004 plus the applicable HUD inflation adjustment, multiplied by the sum of the number of the agency’s vouchers in use in May -July 2004 and the number of new vouchers requiring funding that were issued to families losing public housing or other types

of federal housing assistance. In 2006 and 2010 we assumed the agencies would need funding for approximately the same number of vouchers as in 2005, but that the average cost of these vouchers would rise based on a national average CBO voucher cost inflation estimate.

ⁱⁱⁱ All figures in the table assume that agencies will respond to funding shortfalls by reducing the number of families assisted. Agencies also have some limited flexibility to reduce the level of assistance provided per family, for example by shifting rental burdens onto needy households or reducing the maximum amount of rent a voucher can cover (and therefore limiting the ability of voucher households to live outside high-poverty neighborhoods in areas that may be safer and with better schools and more job opportunities). If agencies took these measures, the reduction in the number of families assisted could be somewhat smaller.