



820 First Street, NE, Suite 510, Washington, DC 20002  
Tel: 202-408-1080 Fax: 202-408-1056 center@cbpp.org www.cbpp.org

*February 17, 2005*

## **LOCAL EFFECTS OF CUTS IN HOUSING VOUCHER ASSISTANCE IN 2005**

### **Nebraska**

The table shows voucher estimates based in part on data that housing agencies reported to HUD covering the period through July 2004. Individual agencies will have more current data they can use to update the estimates. The funding figures in the column “Voucher renewal funding allocated by HUD” come directly from HUD data. The estimated annual per voucher subsidy cost (PUC) is derived by adjusting the agency’s voucher cost data in mid-2004 by the applicable HUD annual adjustment factor. Agencies’ actual voucher costs in 2005 may be more or less than the estimate.

The column “number of vouchers cut” is the number of vouchers the appropriations act directs HUD to fund — authorized vouchers in use in May – July 2004 plus any additional vouchers issued to replace other federal housing subsidies that need renewal funding in 2005 — that are unfunded due to the appropriations shortfall. If the estimated “number of vouchers cut” is less than the total number of expiring vouchers not funded, it is likely that the agency was not using all its vouchers in mid-2004. The number of vouchers in use in February 2005 that are not funded may be less than the estimates provided if an agency is using fewer vouchers than in mid-2004 or if the agency’s average per unit cost has declined substantially. The number of vouchers in use that are not funded may be more than the estimates provided if the agency’s per unit cost is currently greater than the estimate. For some agencies (marked with an asterisk) our estimates include adjustments made in light of HUD’s final funding figures, and may be more subject to error.

Agencies may be able to remedy a funding shortfall through use of reserve funds (if accessed quickly) or other policy changes, rather than by reducing the number of families receiving voucher assistance.

HUD Code	Housing Agency name	Authorized vouchers in January 2005	Number of expiring vouchers	Expiring vouchers eligible for funding under bill formula	Voucher renewal funding allocated by HUD (HAP)	Annual per voucher subsidy cost (PUC)	Number of expiring vouchers funded (based on PUC)	Total expiring vouchers not funded	Vouchers cut in 2005
NE141	Alliance HA	187	187	174	\$432,935	\$2,589	167	-20	-7
NE114	Beatrice HA	204	204	170	\$477,147	\$2,931	163	-41	-7
NE174	Bellevue HA	220	220	205	\$1,043,675	\$5,299	197	-23	-8
NE092	Blair HA	29	29	28	\$123,418	\$4,651	27	-2	-1
NE182*	Central NE Joint HA*	73	73	73	\$156,174	\$2,230	70	-3	-3
NE143	Chadron HA	40	40	38	\$113,332	\$3,117	36	-4	-2
NE104	Columbus HA	100	100	100	\$311,506	\$3,259	96	-4	-4
NE083	Cozad HA	59	59	52	\$156,103	\$3,150	50	-9	-2
NE041	Crete	25	25	25	\$61,256	\$2,585	24	-1	-1
NE153	Douglas County HA	939	939	814	\$4,870,700	\$6,238	781	-158	-33
NE100	Fremont HA	133	133	128	\$435,317	\$3,536	123	-10	-5
NE181	Goldenrod Joint HA	137	137	137	\$368,033	\$2,801	131	-6	-6
NE120	Gothenburg HA	25	25	24	\$57,297	\$2,524	23	-2	-1
NE003	Hall County HA	413	413	351	\$1,331,583	\$3,951	337	-76	-14
NE150	Hastings HA	477	477	452	\$1,132,630	\$2,614	433	-44	-18
NE004	Kearney HA	103	103	99	\$345,676	\$3,640	95	-8	-4
NE010	Lexington HA	122	122	121	\$373,525	\$3,218	116	-6	-5
NE002**	Lincoln HA**	2,864	2,864	2,864	\$11,719,062	\$4,266	2,747	-117	-117
NE123	McCook HA	73	73	64	\$159,577	\$2,586	62	-11	-3
NE012*	Nebraska City HA*	36	36	36	\$75,012	\$2,165	35	-1	-1
NE157	Norfolk HA	254	254	245	\$808,865	\$3,437	235	-19	-10
NE125	North Platte HA	60	60	60	\$157,651	\$2,739	58	-2	-2
NE180	Northeast Nebraska Joint Housing	73	73	61	\$178,845	\$3,064	58	-15	-2
NE001	Omaha	3,964	3,944	3,944	\$23,656,086	\$6,254	3,783	-161	-161
NE078	Scotts Bluff County	410	410	410	\$1,110,417	\$2,826	393	-17	-17
NE175	South Sioux City HA	235	235	233	\$840,370	\$3,766	223	-12	-9
NE179	West Central Nebraska Joint HA	155	155	154	\$432,100	\$2,918	148	-7	-6
NE094	York HA	99	99	91	\$282,872	\$3,245	87	-12	-4
<b>Total for Nebraska</b>		<b>11,509</b>	<b>11,489</b>	<b>11,152</b>	<b>\$51,211,164</b>		<b>10,696</b>	<b>-792</b>	<b>-455</b>

\*\* Agency receiving funding according to special agreement under the Moving To Work (MTW) Demonstration, annual per voucher subsidy cost figures cited for such agencies include administrative costs