



CENTER ON BUDGET AND POLICY PRIORITIES

820 First Street, NE, Suite 510, Washington, DC 20002
Tel: 202-408-1080 Fax: 202-408-1056 center@cbpp.org www.cbpp.org

ESTIMATED EFFECTS OF THE LOSS OF VOUCHER FUNDING ON THE ELDERLY, PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES AND WORKING FAMILIES IN 2005 AND 2010

North Dakota

This table displays estimates of the effects of cuts in housing voucher assistance on the elderly, people with disabilities and working families under the actual 2005 voucher funding level and under the Administration's budget plan for 2010 based on the limited information available to the public. "Working families" are defined in this table as families obtaining at least some of their income from wages.

The table below can be read as follows: "In 2005, the shortfall in voucher funding needed to support its vouchers will cause [housing agency] to cut an estimated [Overall Number of Vouchers Cut] vouchers. As a result, [2005 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families assisted] elderly/disabled/working families will go without housing assistance. Information available on the Administration's budget plans through 2010 indicate the voucher funding shortfall will grow substantially, resulting in an estimated further cut in the number elderly/disabled/working families assisted by [2010 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted Below 2005 Level]."

HUD Code	Housing Agency Name	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	Overall Number of Vouchers Cut in 2005	2005 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted			2010 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted Below 2005 Level		
				Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families	Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families
ND022	Barnes County HA	155	-6	-1	-1	-3	-5	-7	-14
ND030	Benson County HA	16	-1	0	0	0	-1	0	-1
ND021	Burleigh County HA	1041	-41	-9	-15	-17	-38	-64	-73
ND001	Cass County	379	-15	-3	-3	-8	-13	-12	-33
ND024	Cavalier County HA	50	-2	-1	0	-1	-5	-2	-2
ND040	Cooperstown Housing and Redevelopment Commission	45	-2	-1	0	-1	-5	-1	-3

HUD Code	Housing Agency Name	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	Overall Number of Vouchers Cut in 2005	2005 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted			2010 Estimated Vouchers Cut by Types of Families Assisted Below 2005 Level		
				Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families	Elderly	People with Disabilities	Working Families
ND052	Dickey/Sargent Housing	37	-1	0	0	-1	-2	-1	-3
ND037	Dunn County HA	41	-1	0	0	-1	-2	-1	-3
ND016	Eddy County HA	55	-2	-1	0	-1	-6	-2	-3
ND054	Emmons County HA	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ND014	Fargo HA	1146	-47	-8	-19	-21	-34	-82	-90
ND028	Foster County	60	-2	-1	-1	-1	-5	-3	-2
ND012	Grand Forks HA	1220	-46	-5	-12	-24	-22	-56	-113
ND038	LaMoure County HA	31	-1	-1	0	0	-3	-1	-1
ND055	McHenry Pierce HA	43	-2	0	0	-1	-2	-2	-3
ND039	McIntosh County HA	43	-2	-1	0	0	-5	-1	-2
ND036	McKenzie County HA	37	-1	0	0	-1	-2	-1	-2
ND015	Mercer County HA	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ND017	Minot HA	778	-31	-3	-8	-15	-15	-34	-66
ND010	Morton County Housing	577	-24	-9	-4	-7	-38	-19	-30
ND026	Mountrail County HA	74	-3	0	-1	-1	-2	-2	-6
ND070	Northwest Regional HA	46	-2	0	0	-1	-2	-2	-4
ND044	Pembina County HA	178	-7	-2	-1	-3	-10	-5	-11
ND013	Ramsey County HA	183	-6	-1	-1	-4	-4	-4	-19
ND025	Ransom County HA	55	-2	-1	0	-1	-5	-1	-3
ND035	Richland County HA	61	-2	-1	0	-1	-2	-2	-6
ND003	Rollette County HA	64	-2	0	0	0	0	-1	-2
ND031	Stark County HA	306	-12	-2	-3	-4	-9	-11	-19
ND011	Stutsman County HA	487	-20	-6	-6	-9	-25	-26	-38
ND009	Townder County HA	20	-1	0	0	0	-2	-1	-1
ND019	Traill County HA	39	-2	0	0	-1	-1	-1	-4
ND049	Walsh County HA	94	-4	-1	-1	-2	-6	-4	-7
ND057	Wells County HA	25	-1	-1	0	0	-3	0	-1
ND002	Williston	32	-1	0	-1	0	0	-3	-2
Total for North Dakota		7,429	-295	-62	-80	-127	-273	-353	-566

The estimated numbers of each type of family affected are based on the current proportion of an agency's vouchers now received by families of that type, based on data in HUD's Public and Indian Housing Information (PIC) Center system as of January 21, 2005 (accessed at <http://pic.hud.gov/pic/RCRPublic/rcrmain.asp>). The estimates for 2010 assume that the demographic mix of families served in 2010 would be the same as in January 2005. The numbers of vouchers cut for each type of family do not add up to the total cut because some vouchers are received by families that do not have earnings and are not headed by a person who is elderly or disabled. For an explanation of how we derived these estimates, see the papers referenced at www.cbpp.org/hous2-18-05hous-states.htm. All figures in the table assume that agencies will respond to funding shortfalls by reducing the number of families assisted. Agencies also have some limited flexibility to reduce the level of assistance provided per family, for example by shifting rental burdens onto needy households or reducing the maximum amount of rent a voucher can cover (and therefore limiting the ability of voucher households to live outside high-poverty neighborhoods in areas that may be safer and with better schools and more job opportunities). If agencies took these measures, the reduction in the number of families assisted could be somewhat smaller.