



# CENTER ON BUDGET AND POLICY PRIORITIES

820 First Street, NE, Suite 510, Washington, DC 20002  
Tel: 202-408-1080 Fax: 202-408-1056 center@cbpp.org www.cbpp.org

February 17, 2005

## ESTIMATED VOUCHER FUNDING SHORTFALLS IN 2005, 2006 AND 2010

### Indiana

This table displays estimates of cuts in housing voucher assistance under the actual 2005 voucher funding level, the Administration's 2006 budget request, and an estimate of the Administration's budget plan for 2010 based on the limited information available to the public.<sup>i</sup> The table below can be read as follows:

“In 2005, [housing agency] will receive [2005 funding shortfall] less funding<sup>ii</sup> than it needs to support its vouchers, causing an estimated [2005 cut in families assisted] low-income families to go without housing assistance.<sup>iii</sup> Under the Administration's budget for 2006, the funding gap confronting the agency will drop to [2006 funding shortfall], allowing it to restore temporarily [2006 number of cut vouchers restored] of the vouchers that were cut in 2005. But estimates based on available information on the Administration's budget plans through 2010 show the shortfall widening to approximately [2010 funding shortfall], eliminating all of the vouchers restored in 2006 and cutting the number of families assisted by a further [2010 cut in families assisted below 2005 level].”

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
Vincennes HA	372	-\$55,682	-14	-\$29,638	7	-\$358,441	-66
Fort Wayne HA	2,870	-\$630,805	-110	-\$334,303	53	-\$4,043,086	-509
Delaware Co. HA	256	-\$44,705	-10	-\$22,303	5	-\$269,734	-45
Muncie HA	842	-\$153,422	-32	-\$82,448	15	-\$997,125	-150
Anderson HA	1,153	-\$235,210	-45	-\$123,222	22	-\$1,490,251	-204
HA of city of Kokomo	674	-\$118,077	-25	-\$65,409	11	-\$791,055	-121
Richmond	273	-\$42,923	-11	-\$22,088	5	-\$267,131	-48
Hammond HA	639	-\$165,483	-26	-\$82,773	13	-\$1,001,063	-112
Gary HA	1,408	-\$342,993	-52	-\$187,540	25	-\$2,268,116	-252
HA of city of New Albany	408	-\$91,038	-17	-\$45,417	9	-\$549,280	-71
HA of city of South Bend	2,124	-\$473,563	-83	-\$247,559	41	-\$2,994,000	-376
Evansville HA	1,906	-\$353,390	-78	-\$176,301	40	-\$2,132,190	-334
Indianapolis HA	7,773	-\$1,696,002	-288	-\$931,476	134	-\$11,265,323	-1,390
Tell City HA	67	-\$7,390	-2	-\$4,187	1	-\$50,636	-12
Michigan City HA	251	-\$48,321	-10	-\$24,203	5	-\$292,713	-44
Mishawaka HA	307	-\$55,702	-12	-\$28,628	6	-\$346,230	-54

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
Terre Haute	826	-\$123,255	-32	-\$64,131	16	-\$775,601	-146
Bloomington HA	1,284	-\$299,252	-49	-\$158,585	24	-\$1,917,938	-228
Jeffersonville	382	-\$64,005	-15	-\$33,473	7	-\$404,824	-68
Charleston HA	70	-\$10,297	-3	-\$5,171	1	-\$62,540	-12
Elkhart HA	633	-\$129,960	-25	-\$65,982	13	-\$797,985	-111
HA of city of East Chicago	636	-\$136,558	-23	-\$76,016	11	-\$919,339	-114
Bedford HA	161	-\$21,090	-6	-\$11,874	3	-\$143,600	-29
Bloomfield Housing	50	-\$6,351	-2	-\$3,547	1	-\$42,893	-9
HA of city of Sullivan	50	-\$5,954	-2	-\$3,375	1	-\$40,822	-9
Brazil HA	115	-\$13,883	-4	-\$7,666	2	-\$92,708	-21
Kendallville HA	15	-\$2,038	-1	-\$1,144	0	-\$13,837	-3
HA of city of Mt. Vernon	203	-\$29,547	-8	-\$14,740	4	-\$178,272	-36
HA of City of Marion	421	-\$70,008	-17	-\$34,926	9	-\$422,399	-74
Cannelton HA	179	-\$22,490	-6	-\$12,847	3	-\$155,367	-32
HA of city of Crawfordsville	417	-\$69,856	-17	-\$34,850	9	-\$421,478	-73
Rockville HA	133	-\$19,192	-5	-\$10,133	2	-\$122,550	-24
New Castle	274	-\$41,823	-11	-\$21,412	5	-\$258,961	-48
Linton HA	219	-\$22,316	-8	-\$12,397	4	-\$149,933	-39
HA of city of Seymour	158	-\$22,794	-6	-\$11,493	3	-\$138,998	-28
Columbus	654	-\$101,173	-24	-\$57,011	11	-\$689,497	-118
Warsaw HA	175	-\$25,543	-7	-\$12,743	4	-\$154,116	-31
Decatur	178	-\$24,171	-7	-\$12,338	4	-\$149,223	-31
Knox Co. HA	334	-\$44,090	-14	-\$21,996	7	-\$266,019	-58
Fulton Co. HA	175	-\$23,416	-7	-\$12,025	3	-\$145,437	-31
PHA of Franklin Co.	100	-\$10,741	-4	-\$5,957	2	-\$72,044	-18
Lafayette HA	1,099	-\$212,306	-44	-\$108,651	22	-\$1,314,030	-194
Fayette Co. HA	376	-\$48,193	-15	-\$25,226	7	-\$305,084	-67
Jasonville HA	50	-\$5,262	-2	-\$3,029	1	-\$36,633	-9
Greensburg HA	115	-\$17,432	-5	-\$9,051	2	-\$109,466	-20
Elwood HA	301	-\$51,450	-12	-\$27,045	6	-\$327,083	-53
Noblesville HA	185	-\$38,144	-7	-\$20,057	3	-\$242,575	-33
Sellersburg HA	55	-\$9,426	-2	-\$5,057	1	-\$61,155	-10
Portland HA	50	-\$6,901	-2	-\$3,597	1	-\$43,505	-9
Union City HA	113	-\$15,635	-5	-\$7,869	2	-\$95,173	-20
Rome City HA	5	-\$927	0	-\$462	0	-\$5,590	-1
Peru HA	212	-\$31,168	-9	-\$15,549	4	-\$188,052	-37
Logansport HA	283	-\$35,799	-11	-\$18,094	6	-\$218,831	-50
Greencastle HA	184	-\$23,522	-7	-\$11,885	4	-\$143,744	-32

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
St. Joseph HA	224	-\$35,121	-9	-\$18,283	4	-\$221,115	-40
Goshen HA	320	-\$79,194	-13	-\$39,509	7	-\$477,819	-56
Marshall Co.	171	-\$25,681	-7	-\$13,171	3	-\$159,293	-30
Indiana Family & Soc. Svc. Adm.	4,413	-\$760,181	-159	-\$430,213	71	-\$5,203,017	-794
<b>Indiana</b>	<b>37,321</b>	<b>-\$7,250,851</b>	<b>-1,427</b>	<b>-\$3,870,075</b>	<b>687</b>	<b>-\$46,804,920</b>	<b>-6,631</b>

<sup>i</sup> The Administration has released its planned 2010 funding level for a “housing assistance” category that includes the voucher program, public housing and several other programs taken together, but has not released a funding level for the voucher program separately. See Ruth Carlitz, “Domestic Discretionary Funding Levels For 2006 Through 2010, Detailed Data,” <http://www.cbpp.org/2-15-05bud.htm>. We have estimated the 2010 voucher funding level by assuming that the Administration plans to cut all housing assistance programs proportionately. It is possible that the Administration actually intends to impose larger cuts in voucher assistance than we estimate and smaller cuts in other programs, or vice versa.

<sup>ii</sup> We assume that the amount of funding needed to support an agency’s vouchers in 2005 is equal to the agency’s average voucher cost in May -July 2004 plus the applicable HUD inflation adjustment, multiplied by the sum of the number of the agency’s vouchers in use in May -July 2004 and the number of new vouchers requiring funding that were issued to families losing public housing or other types of federal housing assistance. In 2006 and 2010 we assumed the agencies would need funding for approximately the same number of vouchers as in 2005, but that the average cost of these vouchers would rise based on a national average CBO voucher cost inflation estimate.

<sup>iii</sup> All figures in the table assume that agencies will respond to funding shortfalls by reducing the number of families assisted. Agencies also have some limited flexibility to reduce the level of assistance provided per family, for example by shifting rental burdens onto needy households or reducing the maximum amount of rent a voucher can cover (and therefore limiting the ability of voucher households to live outside high-poverty neighborhoods in areas that may be safer and with better schools and more job opportunities). If agencies took these measures, the reduction in the number of families assisted could be somewhat smaller.