



CENTER ON BUDGET AND POLICY PRIORITIES

820 First Street, NE, Suite 510, Washington, DC 20002
Tel: 202-408-1080 Fax: 202-408-1056 center@cbpp.org www.cbpp.org

Revised March 8, 2005

ESTIMATED VOUCHER FUNDING SHORTFALLS IN 2005, 2006 AND 2010

California

This table displays estimates of cuts in housing voucher assistance under the actual 2005 voucher funding level, the Administration's 2006 budget request, and an estimate of the Administration's budget plan for 2010 based on the limited information available to the public.ⁱ (Please see the endnotes for the methods used to develop these estimates.) The table below can be read as follows:

“In 2005, [housing agency] will receive [2005 funding shortfall] less fundingⁱⁱ than it needs to support its vouchers, causing an estimated [2005 cut in families assisted] low-income families to go without housing assistance.ⁱⁱⁱ Under the Administration's budget for 2006, the funding gap confronting the agency will drop to [2006 funding shortfall], allowing it to restore temporarily [2006 number of cut vouchers restored] of the vouchers that were cut in 2005. But estimates based on available information on the Administration's budget plans through 2010 show the shortfall widening to approximately [2010 funding shortfall], eliminating all of the vouchers restored in 2006 and cutting the number of families assisted by a further [2010 cut in families assisted below 2005 level].”

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
Alameda	1,625	-\$775,260	-62	-\$413,003	30	-\$4,994,881	-289
Alameda Co.	5,456	-\$2,927,319	-222	-\$1,464,065	114	-\$17,706,487	-956
Anaheim HA	6,280	-\$2,072,890	-242	-\$1,093,776	118	-\$13,228,196	-1,113
Baldwin Park	882	-\$231,836	-28	-\$147,277	11	-\$1,781,175	-162
Benicia HA	372	-\$153,824	-15	-\$76,740	8	-\$928,103	-65
Berkeley HA	1,841	-\$945,673	-73	-\$485,494	37	-\$5,871,591	-324
Burbank	1,014	-\$296,221	-41	-\$148,626	21	-\$1,797,488	-178
Butte Co.	1,864	-\$373,681	-74	-\$191,406	37	-\$2,314,877	-328
Calexico	244	-\$44,718	-9	-\$23,828	4	-\$288,183	-43
California Dept. of Hsg and Com	47	-\$6,576	-2	-\$3,453	1	-\$41,762	-8
California Dept. of Hsg and Comm. Dev.	722	-\$128,954	-28	-\$68,893	13	-\$833,191	-128
Carlsbad Housing Agency	703	-\$228,994	-24	-\$135,194	10	-\$1,635,043	-128
Community Development Commission	1,044	-\$300,300	-41	-\$156,397	20	-\$1,891,474	-185
Compton HA	803	-\$232,203	-33	-\$115,842	17	-\$1,401,004	-141
Consolidated Area HA of Sutter Co.	803	-\$160,436	-33	-\$80,121	17	-\$968,982	-141

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
Contra Costa Co.	6,781	-\$3,232,021	-275	-\$1,626,052	140	-\$19,665,569	-1,190
Crescent City HA	590	-\$91,863	-22	-\$49,692	11	-\$600,982	-105
Culver City	384	-\$95,007	-13	-\$59,367	5	-\$717,992	-70
El Dorado Co. Dept. of Community Services	374	-\$97,509	-15	-\$49,806	7	-\$602,352	-66
Encinitas	136	-\$42,230	-6	-\$21,068	3	-\$254,798	-24
Fairfield HA	851	-\$308,485	-34	-\$156,973	17	-\$1,898,440	-150
Fresno Co.	5,587	-\$1,185,205	-223	-\$606,150	112	-\$7,330,810	-984
Fresno, City	6,208	-\$1,358,755	-253	-\$677,861	130	-\$8,198,093	-1,087
Garden Grove	2,234	-\$867,021	-91	-\$434,190	47	-\$5,251,126	-391
Glendale	1,592	-\$468,144	-64	-\$238,434	32	-\$2,883,633	-280
Hawaiian Gardens HA	145	-\$37,563	-6	-\$18,739	3	-\$226,636	-25
Hawthorne	684	-\$186,365	-26	-\$99,849	12	-\$1,207,579	-122
Hollister	319	-\$115,500	-13	-\$57,677	7	-\$697,555	-56
Humboldt Co. HA	1,222	-\$213,039	-46	-\$114,979	22	-\$1,390,562	-218
Imperial Valley HA	1,626	-\$264,923	-62	-\$140,412	30	-\$1,698,156	-289
Inglewood HA	1,002	-\$298,853	-38	-\$159,762	18	-\$1,932,174	-178
Kern Co.	3,260	-\$523,520	-123	-\$281,517	59	-\$3,404,683	-580
Kings Co. HA	688	-\$131,102	-28	-\$65,851	14	-\$796,404	-121
Lake Co. Housing Commission	224	-\$44,865	-9	-\$23,256	4	-\$281,256	-40
Lakewood HA	215	-\$65,099	-8	-\$35,408	4	-\$428,222	-38
Lassen Co.	113	-\$16,216	-4	-\$8,579	2	-\$103,750	-20
Lawndale HA	212	-\$62,526	-8	-\$35,252	3	-\$426,337	-38
Livermore	719	-\$309,331	-29	-\$154,320	15	-\$1,866,357	-126
Lomita HA	86	-\$17,641	-2	-\$12,829	1	-\$155,157	-16
Long Beach HA	6,244	-\$2,395,177	-255	-\$1,195,165	131	-\$14,454,403	-1,093
Los Angeles Co. HA	20,347	-\$7,474,660	-805	-\$3,848,367	401	-\$46,542,385	-3,588
Los Angeles, City	48,870	-\$15,374,714	-1,995	-\$7,670,201	1,026	-\$92,763,877	-8,556
Madera	725	-\$156,008	-30	-\$77,981	15	-\$943,108	-127
Marin HA	2,109	-\$1,056,188	-86	-\$526,916	44	-\$6,372,548	-369
Mariposa Co.	165	-\$24,210	-6	-\$13,269	3	-\$160,480	-29
Mendocino Co. CDC	909	-\$176,731	-33	-\$99,409	15	-\$1,202,257	-163
Merced	2,705	-\$583,477	-104	-\$310,400	50	-\$3,753,993	-480
Monterey Co.	3,818	-\$1,135,220	-153	-\$576,297	77	-\$6,969,770	-671
Napa HA	1,218	-\$362,310	-48	-\$187,047	24	-\$2,262,159	-215
Needles	20	-\$3,293	-1	-\$1,733	0	-\$20,962	-4
Nevada Co. HA	294	-\$51,915	-12	-\$26,496	6	-\$320,439	-52
Norwalk HA	705	-\$204,460	-28	-\$106,515	14	-\$1,288,195	-125
Oakland HA	10,871	-\$5,330,472	-414	-\$2,848,179	199	-\$34,446,054	-1,933

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
Oceanside CDC	1,313	-\$508,965	-52	-\$262,275	26	-\$3,171,968	-232
Orange Co.	9,619	-\$3,659,561	-380	-\$1,884,905	190	-\$22,796,154	-1,696
Oxnard HA	1,642	-\$551,666	-66	-\$279,998	33	-\$3,386,318	-289
Paramount HA	203	-\$58,617	-8	-\$31,927	4	-\$386,130	-36
Pasadena CDC	1,315	-\$410,735	-52	-\$210,896	26	-\$2,550,591	-232
Pico Rivera Housing Assistance Agency	517	-\$169,833	-20	-\$88,286	10	-\$1,067,740	-91
Pittsburg	948	-\$447,615	-38	-\$228,530	19	-\$2,763,859	-167
Placer Co. HA	276	-\$63,689	-10	-\$35,007	5	-\$423,372	-49
Plumas Co. CDC	335	-\$56,659	-13	-\$29,651	6	-\$358,601	-59
Pomona	997	-\$348,503	-41	-\$173,863	21	-\$2,102,708	-174
Port Hueneme	279	-\$94,842	-11	-\$49,725	5	-\$601,378	-49
Redding	1,502	-\$249,640	-60	-\$126,394	31	-\$1,528,617	-264
Redondo Beach HA	593	-\$182,450	-21	-\$104,862	9	-\$1,268,213	-107
Richmond HA	1,750	-\$763,284	-64	-\$424,837	29	-\$5,138,000	-314
Riverside Co.	8,107	-\$2,060,984	-316	-\$1,076,241	155	-\$13,016,120	-1,434
Roseville HA	562	-\$134,279	-23	-\$68,049	11	-\$822,989	-99
Sacramento Co.	11,087	-\$3,859,126	-452	-\$1,928,520	232	-\$23,323,635	-1,942
San Bernardino Co.	8,344	-\$2,113,324	-341	-\$1,054,304	175	-\$12,750,817	-1,461
San Buenaventura	1,189	-\$439,004	-48	-\$223,502	24	-\$2,703,050	-209
San Diego Co.	10,404	-\$3,790,523	-425	-\$1,891,032	218	-\$22,870,254	-1,821
San Diego Housing Commission	12,043	-\$4,690,618	-491	-\$2,341,559	253	-\$28,318,962	-2,109
San Francisco HA	7,379	-\$4,953,656	-300	-\$2,482,575	154	-\$30,024,417	-1,293
San Joaquin Co.	4,871	-\$1,266,177	-197	-\$639,097	100	-\$7,729,278	-855
San Jose	6,429	-\$3,677,288	-259	-\$1,859,244	131	-\$22,485,817	-1,129
San Juan Bautista	25	-\$8,510	-1	-\$4,249	1	-\$51,393	-4
San Luis Obispo	1,825	-\$554,932	-75	-\$276,847	38	-\$3,348,199	-320
San Mateo Co.	4,023	-\$2,394,586	-162	-\$1,214,174	82	-\$14,684,290	-707
Santa Ana	2,558	-\$931,355	-103	-\$469,251	53	-\$5,675,148	-449
Santa Barbara City HA	1,955	-\$731,172	-76	-\$385,184	37	-\$4,658,435	-347
Santa Barbara Co.	3,396	-\$1,218,212	-134	-\$630,021	66	-\$7,619,510	-599
Santa Clara Co.	9,527	-\$5,451,244	-384	-\$2,756,136	195	-\$33,332,874	-1,673
Santa Cruz Co.	3,811	-\$1,673,518	-152	-\$857,386	76	-\$10,369,275	-671
Santa Fe Springs HA	224	-\$61,494	-9	-\$31,752	4	-\$384,011	-40
Santa Monica sec. 8 voucher	1,092	-\$516,310	-44	-\$261,450	22	-\$3,161,996	-192
Santa Paula	577	-\$193,137	-23	-\$96,813	12	-\$1,170,862	-101
Santa Rosa HA	1,367	-\$491,200	-56	-\$245,052	29	-\$2,963,674	-239
Shasta Co. HA	644	-\$110,597	-26	-\$56,842	13	-\$687,448	-114
Solano Co. HA	250	-\$80,995	-10	-\$41,916	5	-\$506,941	-44

Housing Agency	Current Number of Authorized Vouchers	2005 Actual Funding		2006 Administration Request		2010 Administration Budget Plan (Estimated)	
		Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted	Funding Shortfall	Number of Cut Vouchers Restored	Funding Shortfall	Cut in Families Assisted Below 2005 Level
Sonoma Co. CDC	2,722	-\$883,664	-111	-\$443,128	57	-\$5,359,217	-477
South Gate HA	654	-\$169,416	-24	-\$93,905	11	-\$1,135,693	-117
Stanislaus Co.	3,984	-\$900,283	-156	-\$468,554	77	-\$5,666,724	-704
Suisun City HA	335	-\$107,560	-14	-\$53,660	7	-\$648,965	-59
Tehama Co.	144	-\$18,385	-6	-\$9,591	3	-\$115,989	-25
Torrance	690	-\$194,890	-25	-\$111,749	11	-\$1,351,502	-124
Tulare County HA	2,800	-\$561,315	-114	-\$280,031	59	-\$3,386,714	-490
Upland HA	624	-\$150,736	-25	-\$76,543	13	-\$925,713	-110
Vacaville HA	1,143	-\$394,598	-46	-\$200,009	23	-\$2,418,923	-201
Vallejo	2,266	-\$1,110,808	-93	-\$554,164	48	-\$6,702,098	-397
Ventura Co.	2,532	-\$925,071	-100	-\$478,376	50	-\$5,785,510	-447
West Hollywood HA	97	-\$32,517	-4	-\$17,202	2	-\$208,038	-17
Yolo Co. HA	1,466	-\$310,896	-60	-\$155,101	31	-\$1,875,802	-257
Yuba Co. HA	449	-\$70,159	-17	-\$38,748	8	-\$468,623	-80
California	300,836	-\$108,039,101	-12,027	-\$55,023,224	6,060	-\$665,454,248	-52,925

ⁱ The Administration has released its planned 2010 funding level for a “housing assistance” category that includes the voucher program, public housing and several other programs taken together, but has not released a funding level for the voucher program separately. We have estimated the 2010 voucher funding level by assuming that the Administration plans to cut all housing assistance programs proportionately. It is possible that the Administration actually intends to impose larger cuts in voucher assistance than we estimate and smaller cuts in other programs, or vice versa. See Appendix, “The Basis for the Estimate that the Budget Would Support 370,000 Fewer Vouchers in 2010,” <http://www.cbpp.org/2-18-05hous-app.htm>.

ⁱⁱ We assume that the amount of funding needed to support an agency’s vouchers in 2005 is equal to the agency’s average voucher cost in May -July 2004 plus the applicable HUD inflation adjustment, multiplied by the sum of the number of the agency’s vouchers in use in May -July 2004 and the number of new vouchers requiring funding that were issued to families losing public housing or other types of federal housing assistance. In 2006 and 2010 we assumed the agencies would need funding for approximately the same number of vouchers as in 2005, but that the average cost of these vouchers would rise based on a national average CBO voucher cost inflation estimate.

ⁱⁱⁱ All figures in the table assume that agencies will respond to funding shortfalls by reducing the number of families assisted. Agencies also have some limited flexibility to reduce the level of assistance provided per family, for example by shifting rental burdens onto needy households or reducing the maximum amount of rent a voucher can cover (and therefore limiting the ability of voucher households to live outside high-poverty neighborhoods in areas that may be safer and with better schools and more job opportunities). If agencies took these measures, the reduction in the number of families assisted could be somewhat smaller.