

820 First Street NE, Suite 510 Washington, DC 20002

Tel: 202-408-1080 Fax: 202-408-1056

center@cbpp.org www.cbpp.org

Special Series: Economic Recovery Watch

Revised February 26, 2009

ECONOMIC RECOVERY PACKAGE GIVES 3.8 MILLION LOW- AND MODERATE-INCOME STUDENTS — THOUSANDS IN EVERY STATE — ACCESS TO HIGHER-EDUCATION TAX CREDIT by <u>Arloc Sherman</u> and <u>Chye-Ching Huang</u>

The "Hope Credit," which provides a tax subsidy for college tuition costs, was established in 1997. Its goal, in part, was to enable students who could not otherwise afford to attend college to do so. Yet until now, 3.8 million prospective college students — more than a fifth of all high-school-age children nationwide — could not expect to receive any help from this tax credit because their families' incomes were too low to qualify for it.[1]

The recently-passed American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 addresses this issue. It contains a measure to enlarge the Hope credit for students from middle-income families *and* to partially extend this tax credit for the first time to students from lower-income families. The law renames the Hope Credit as the American Opportunity Tax Credit. Currently the expansion is temporary, applying to 2009 and 2010, although the President's budget released February 26 proposes that the expanded credit be made permanent.

Credit Had Shut Out Families that Most Needed Help Affording College

Until now, the Hope Credit was not "refundable." This means that it could benefit only students from families with incomes high enough to owe federal income tax. As a result, students from lower-income families could not qualify for it. A student from a family of four with income of less than \$26,000 in 2009 (more than twice full-time minimum wage earnings) was shut out of the credit entirely for this reason. Moreover, a student from a four-person family could not qualify for the full Hope Credit of \$1,800 unless his or her family had income of more than \$43,567.[2]

In contrast, the newly expanded credit is "refundable," meaning that low-income households that otherwise are eligible can qualify; they can receive a tax "refund" in the amount that the credit exceeds their income tax liability. Based on Census data for 2004-2006, we estimate that about 3.8 million high-school-age children (ages 14-17) live in lower-income families that, as a result of the credit being made refundable, now have access to the Hope Credit if their children go to college.[3] Table 1 shows the number of such students in each state; these are the numbers of students who, as a result of the policy change, no longer are automatically shut out of the credit.

Measure Would Expand Credit and Make It Partially Refundable

The recovery package increases the maximum Hope Credit from \$1,800 to \$2,500, and allows it to be claimed for a maximum of four years of qualifying education instead of the previous limit of two years. The measure would make up to 40 percent of the credit refundable, enabling households with little or no

federal income tax liability to receive a credit of up to \$1,000.[4] The 3.8 million low- and moderateincome students who previously could not expect to benefit from the credit can expect a partial tax credit if they go to college.[5] This step is laudable for four reasons.

- It helps the credit fulfill its basic purpose of promoting college enrollment, by reaching the
 students for whom it would have the largest impact on college enrollment decisions. Common
 sense suggests and academic research corroborates that subsidies for college costs are
 likely to have the largest impact on the college-enrollment decisions of students with low or
 moderate incomes. According to the Congressional Research Service, studies consistently find
 that "lower-income students [are] more sensitive to changes in tuition and aid than students from
 middle- and upper-income families."[6]
- It makes college somewhat more affordable for students who now can barely afford it. Some mistakenly believe that governmental and school-based aid is sufficient to insulate low-income students from high college costs and that these students consequently do not need the assistance that education tax benefits provide. But the National Center for Education Statistics reports that the large majority of low- and moderate-income college undergraduates have significant unmet financial need even *after* taking into account all governmental and institutional grants, subsidized loans, work study, and other aid they may receive.[7]
- It makes the credit more equitable: low- and moderate-income students ought to have access to subsidies for college costs that Congress provides to students from families with higher incomes.
- This is particularly helpful during the current recession. When the labor market is weak and many
 people are unable to find jobs, one of the best long-term investments the nation can make is to
 upgrade the skills of unemployed workers. The more that otherwise unemployed Americans
 receive additional education and skills during the downturn, the more productive the American
 workforce will be and the more competitive American firms will be in the decades ahead.

TABLE 1: Students Helped by Making the Tax Credit Refundable			
State	Number	Margin of Error	
Alabama	70,000	±15,000	
Alaska	7,000	±2,000	
Arizona	75,000	±17,000	
Arkansas	44,000	±9,600	
California	522,000	±44,800	
Colorado	36,000	±11,700	
Connecticut	30,000	±9,100	
Delaware	8,000	±2,400	
D.C.	10,000	±2,300	
Florida	195,000	±25,900	
Georgia	120,000	±20,000	
Hawaii	11,000	±3,100	
Idaho	20,000	±4,700	
Illinois	156,000	±23,300	
Indiana	76,000	±16,000	
Iowa	25,000	±7,800	

Kansas	33,000	±8,600
Kentucky	72,000	±15,100
Louisiana	77,000	±15,800
Maine	17,000	±4,600
Maryland	53,000	±13,600
Massachusetts	71,000	±15,400
Michigan	121,000	±20,300
Minnesota	41,000	±11,800
Mississippi	63,000	±11,700
Missouri	74,000	±15,800
Montana	12,000	±3,000
Nebraska	17,000	±4,900
Nevada	32,000	±8,200
New Hampshire	9,000	±3,000
New Jersey	77,000	±16,400
New Mexico	36,000	±8,000
New York	295,000	±32,600
North Carolina	118,000	±20,200
North Dakota	6,000	±1,800
Ohio	128,000	±20,600
Oklahoma	53,000	±12,200
Oregon	41,000	±11,400
Pennsylvania	138,000	±21,700
Rhode Island	14,000	±3,600
South Carolina	58,000	±13,800
South Dakota	7,000	±2,000
Tennessee	87,000	±17,100
Texas	346,000	±37,200
Utah	24,000	±6,300
Vermont	5,000	±1,700
Virginia	71,000	±15,500
Washington	67,000	±15,600
West Virginia	27,000	±5,600
Wisconsin	63,000	±14,700
Wyoming	5,000	±1,500
United States	3,762,000	±107,900

Source: CBPP estimates based on data from the 2005-2007 Annual Social and Economic Supplements to the Census Current Population Survey, which cover years 2004-2006. Figures are averages for 2004-2006, based on 2009 tax law.

The estimates refer to numbers of high-school-age children, rather than those of college age, because Census data do not link college-age children living away from home with the incomes of their parents. We take the number of high-school-age students in families with too little tax liability to benefit from a non-refundable education tax credit as an indicator of what the number will be in four years when these same children reach college age. The estimates shown here may be too conservative insofar as they do not take into account any other tax credits or deductions to which families may be entitled, and thus may understate the number of families with insufficient tax liability to benefit from the credit.

End Notes:

[1] The final recovery legislation adopts a Hope Credit provision originally passed by the House. The provision is discussed in greater detail in http://www.cbpp.org/1-21-09tax2.htm

[2] This figure assumes that the family does not qualify for other non-refundable credits such as the DCTC.

[3] In practice, many more likely would not benefit because of the credit's narrow definition of qualifying expenses. See Chye-Ching Huang, Robert Greenstein, and Gillian Brunet, "House Recovery Package Would Improve Higher-Education Tax Credits, January 21, 2009, Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, <u>http://www.cbpp.org/1-21-09tax2.htm</u>.

[4] To receive a credit of \$1,000, a low-income student would need to have at least \$4,000 in qualifying education expenses. See http://www.cbpp.org/1-21-09tax2.htm.

[5] http://www.cbpp.org/1-21-09tax2.htm

[6] Pamela J. Jackson, "Higher Education Tax Credits: An Economic Analysis," Congressional Research Service, updated February 20, 2007, <u>http://opencrs.cdt.org/rpts/RL32507_20070220.pdf</u>.

[7] http://www.cbpp.org/1-21-09tax2.htm. This paper also explains that, even with the additional Pell Grants proposed (and adopted) in the recovery package, large levels of unmet need will remain among many students from low-income families.