

**Table A**  
**Expanding Eligibility and Simplifying Enrollment:**  
**Trends in Children's Health Coverage Programs**  
**July 1997 to December 2009**

No. of States Implementing Strategy	July 1997 <sup>1</sup>	Nov. 1998 <sup>2</sup>	July 2000 <sup>2</sup>	Jan. 2002 <sup>2</sup>	April 2003 <sup>2</sup>	July 2004 <sup>2</sup>	July 2005 <sup>2</sup>	July 2006 <sup>2</sup>	Jan 2008 <sup>2</sup>	Jan 2009 <sup>2</sup>	Dec 2009 <sup>2</sup>
Total number of children's health coverage programs	51 MCD	51 MCD 19 CHIP	51 MCD 32 CHIP	51 MCD 35 CHIP	51 MCD 35 CHIP	51 MCD 36 CHIP	51 MCD 36 CHIP	51 MCD 36 CHIP	51 MCD <sup>10</sup> 37 CHIP	51 MCD 39 CHIP	51 MCD 39 CHIP
Covered children under age 19 in families with income at or above 200 percent of federal poverty line	6 <sup>3</sup>	22	36	40	39	39	41	41	45	44	47
Joint application for Medicaid and CHIP	N/A	not collected	28	33	34	34	34	33	33	35	36
Eliminated asset test	36	40 (M) 17 (C)	42 (M) 31 (C)	45 (M) 34 (C)	45 (M) 34 (C)	46 (M) 33 (C)	47 (M) 33 (C)	47 (M) 34 (C)	47 (M) 35 (C)	47 (M) 36 (C)	48 (M) 37 (C)
Eliminated face-to-face interview at enrollment	22 <sup>4</sup>	33 <sup>5</sup> (M) not collected (C)	40 (M) 31 (C)	47 (M) 34 (C)	46 (M) 33 (C)	45 (M) 33 (C)	45 (M) 33 (C)	46 (M) 33 (C)	46 (M) 34 (C)	48 (M) 38 (C)	48 (M) 38 (C)
Adopted presumptive eligibility for children	option not available	6 (M)	8 (M) 4 (C)	9 (M) 5 (C)	7 (M) 4 (C)	8 (M) 6 (C)	9 (M) 6 (C)	9 (M) 6 (C)	14 (M) 9 (C)	14 (M) 9 (C)	14 (M) 9 (C)

No. of States Implementing Strategy	July 1997	Nov. 1998 <sup>2</sup>	July 2000 <sup>2</sup>	Jan. 2002 <sup>2</sup>	April 2003 <sup>2</sup>	July 2004 <sup>2</sup>	July 2005 <sup>2</sup>	July 2006 <sup>2</sup>	Jan 2008 <sup>2</sup>	Jan 2009 <sup>2</sup>	Dec 2009 <sup>2</sup>
Family not required to verify income at enrollment	not collected	not collected	10 (M) 7 (C)	13 (M) 11 (C)	12 (M) 11 (C)	10 (M) 10 (C)	9 (M) 9 (C)	9 (M) 9 (C)	10 (M) 8 (C)	11 (M) 10 (C)	12 (M) 10 (C)
Family not required to verify income at renewal	not collected	not collected	not collected	not collected	not collected	not collected	not collected	9 (M) 10 (C)	11 (M) 9 (C)	12 (M) 11 (C)	16 (M) 15 (C)
Eliminated face-to-face interview at renewal	not collected	not collected	43 (M) 32 (C)	48 (M) 34 (C)	49 (M) 35 (C)	48 (M) 35 (C)	48 (M) 35 (C)	48 (M) 35 (C)	48 (M) 36 (C)	49 (M) 38 (C)	50 (M) 38 (C)
Adopted 12-month continuous eligibility for children	option not available	10 (M) not collected (C)	14 (M) 22 (C)	18 (M) 23 (C)	15 (M) 21 (C)	15 (M) 21 (C)	17 (M) 24 (C)	16 (M) 25 (C)	16 (M) 27 (C)	18 (M) 30 (C)	22 (M) 30 (C)
Implemented enrollment freeze	not collected	not collected	not collected	3 (C)	1 (M) <sup>6</sup> 2 (C)	1 (M) <sup>7</sup> 7 (C)	1 (M) <sup>8</sup> 3 (C) <sup>8</sup>	1 (M) 1 (C) <sup>9</sup>	1 (M) 2 (C) <sup>9</sup>	1 (M) <sup>6</sup> 0 (C)	1 (M) <sup>6</sup> 2 (C) <sup>11</sup>

SOURCE: Based on a national survey conducted by the Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured with the Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, 1997-2009.

The numbers in this table reflect the net change in actions taken by states from year to year. Specific strategies may be adopted and retracted by several states during a given year. (M) indicates Medicaid; (C) indicates CHIP.

1. These data reflect states' eligibility expansions and use of simplification strategies for children's Medicaid (poverty level groups).
2. These data reflect states' eligibility expansions and use of simplification strategies for children's Medicaid (poverty level groups) and CHIP-funded separate programs, as indicated.
3. In addition, two (2) states, **Massachusetts** and **New York**, financed children's health coverage to this income level using state funds only.
4. Seven (7) states still required telephone interviews; face-to-face interviews were left to county discretion in one state.
5. Thirty-three (33) states had eliminated the face-to-face interview for children applying for Medicaid. Six (6) states eliminated the face-to-face interview only for families using the joint Medicaid/CHIP application to apply for coverage. No data was collected specifically about separate CHIP programs.
6. In **Tennessee**, enrollment was closed to some but not all children eligible under the state's Medicaid waiver program.
7. In **Tennessee**, enrollment was closed to some but not all children eligible under the state's Medicaid waiver program. In **Massachusetts**, there was a waiting list for state-financed coverage.
8. The three (3) states that froze enrollment in CHIP at some time between July 2004 and July 2005 had all reopened enrollment by July 2005.
9. **Utah** froze enrollment in CHIP as of September 2006. The state reopened enrollment in CHIP in July 2007. **Georgia** stopped enrolling eligible children in its CHIP program in March 2007. The state reopened enrollment in July 2007.
10. **Tennessee** and **Missouri** created separate CHIP-funded programs. **Maryland** replaced its separate CHIP program with an CHIP-funded Medicaid expansion.
11. **California** froze enrollment in CHIP as of July 17, 2009, and reopened enrollment in CHIP on September 16, 2009. Tennessee plans to freeze enrollment in CHIP effective Dec. 1, 2009.