



July 16, 2008

Housing Voucher Data for Missouri

- Of Missouri's 41,712 authorized vouchers, only 93 percent were used by families last year.
- This was an improvement over 2005 and 2006, when the voucher program was underfunded and changes in funding policy undermined the effectiveness of the program. Nevertheless, a lower percentage of Missouri's vouchers were in use in 2007 than in 2004.
- This year, housing agencies in Missouri have sufficient funds, including reserves, to assist 2,869 additional families, thereby using up to 99 percent of their authorized vouchers. To encourage agencies to make efficient use of these resources, agencies must be reassured that voucher renewal funding policy will be both stable and take into account the additional vouchers used by these families. Congress should enact the Section 8 Voucher Reform Act (H.R. 1851 and S. 2684) to provide confidence that renewal funding needs will be met in future years, thereby encouraging agencies to put as many of their vouchers to use as possible.

Agency Name	Code	2004	2005	2006	2007		2008			
		% of Auth- orized Vouchers in Use	% of Auth- orized Vouchers in Use	% of Auth- orized Vouchers in Use	% of Auth- orized Vouchers in Use	Number of Vouchers in Use	Auth- orized Vouchers as of January 2008	Auth- orized Vouchers Funded under Renewal Formula	Additional Auth. Vouchers That Could be Funded Using Remaining Reserves	% of Auth- orized Vouchers Funded (Counting Reserves)
Missouri Total		96%	91%	91%	93%	38,542	41,712	39,528	1,883	99%
ABCD	MO219	92%	83%	83%	80%	182	227	200	27	100%
Ava	MO192	97%	97%	93%	70%	21	30	19	4	77%
Boone Co.	MO198	99%	92%	94%	79%	319	402	314	88	100%
Cabool	MO209	83%	72%	61%	63%	29	46	29	5	74%
Carrollton	MO107	76%	74%	87%	94%	81	86	74	6	93%
Chillicothe	MO065	92%	93%	94%	90%	80	89	80	9	100%
Columbia	MO007	95%	93%	93%	94%	1,001	1,062	1,023	39	100%
Dallas Co.	MO216	94%	94%	88%	94%	540	572	530	42	100%
East Prairie	MO076	98%	98%	95%	92%	90	98	86	6	94%
Excelsior Springs	MO053	95%	89%	96%	101%	168	166	165	1	100%
Franklin Co.	MO205	98%	93%	89%	99%	1,019	1,030	1,030	0	100%
Fulton Missouri	MO014	84%	69%	66%	65%	71	110	75	16	83%
Grundy Co.	MO196	96%	91%	82%	83%	564	682	569	69	94%
Ha... Center on Budget and Policy Priorities	MO026	96%	97%	96%	98%	165	168	167	1	100%

Agency Name	Code	2004	2005	2006	2007		2008			
		% of Auth- orized Vouchers in Use	% of Auth- orized Vouchers in Use	% of Auth- orized Vouchers in Use	% of Auth- orized Vouchers in Use	Number of Vouchers in Use	Auth- orized Vouchers as of January 2008	Auth- orized Vouchers Funded under Renewal Formula	Additional Auth. Vouchers That Could be Funded Using Remaining Reserves	% of Auth- orized Vouchers Funded (Counting Reserves)
Houston	MO040	90%	82%	72%	75%	62	83	59	13	87%
Howell Co.	MO217	95%	85%	90%	89%	409	457	457	0	100%
Independence	MO017	98%	61%	87%	99%	1,605	1,624	1,562	62	100%
Jasper Co.	MO215	99%	90%	97%	98%	334	342	342	0	100%
Jefferson	MO009	94%	78%	77%	78%	180	231	179	45	97%
Joplin	MO188	99%	94%	97%	102%	507	497	497	0	100%
Kansas City	MO002	97%	95%	92%	99%	7,411	7,510	7,510	0	100%
Kirksville	MO145	99%	100%	100%	101%	201	200	171	14	93%
Lafayette Co.	MO204	95%	91%	82%	75%	314	418	352	66	100%
Lee's Summit	MO030	93%	88%	85%	97%	553	570	568	2	100%
Liberty Missouri	MO210	99%	95%	100%	95%	327	343	332	11	100%
Lincoln Co.	MO199	94%	94%	98%	96%	1,966	2,049	2,049	0	100%
Macon	MO111	96%	89%	98%	91%	41	45	42	3	100%
Marshall	MO016	96%	97%	98%	98%	101	103	103	0	100%
Maryville	MO072	97%	99%	99%	97%	85	88	87	1	100%
Mexico	MO010	98%	93%	71%	80%	106	133	116	17	100%
Mtn. Grove	MO060	89%	83%	80%	72%	168	233	169	38	89%
Nevada	MO133	91%	83%	68%	64%	56	88	61	17	89%
New Madrid Co.	MO208	104%	94%	96%	92%	46	50	50	0	100%
Nodaway	MO190	82%	74%	75%	80%	150	187	154	33	100%
Oran	MO080	96%	92%	88%	92%	45	49	47	2	100%
Phelps Co.	MO206	97%	91%	90%	100%	754	756	756	0	100%
Pulaski Co.	MO207	100%	92%	96%	95%	376	394	372	22	100%
Ray Co.	MO213	88%	72%	74%	83%	85	102	91	11	100%
Richmond	MO070	80%	67%	62%	59%	76	129	82	47	100%
Ripley Co.	MO212	99%	92%	82%	92%	326	356	334	1	94%
Rolla	MO149	95%	93%	93%	99%	159	160	160	0	100%
Scotland Co.	MO200	102%	98%	100%	95%	311	329	329	0	100%
Sedalia	MO074	95%	90%	93%	78%	172	221	219	2	100%
Sikeston	MO008	87%	81%	71%	75%	374	496	373	36	82%
Springfield	MO058	99%	99%	95%	100%	739	743	743	0	100%
St. Charles	MO006	99%	92%	92%	97%	256	265	265	0	100%
St. Charles Co.	MO227	N/A	N/A	N/A	94%	677	717	717	0	100%
St. Clair Co.	MO197	102%	95%	95%	103%	1,242	1,215	1,195	20	100%
St. Francois Co.	MO203	91%	81%	89%	83%	1,603	1,936	1,659	277	100%
St. Joseph	MO003	107%	91%	88%	89%	799	924	893	31	100%
St. Louis	MO001	95%	86%	82%	82%	5,123	6,324	5,561	763	100%
St. Louis Co.	MO004	99%	99%	99%	100%	6,243	6,290	6,290	0	100%
West Plains	MO037	95%	89%	89%	79%	161	205	151	24	85%
Weston	MO193	98%	95%	78%	84%	69	82	70	12	100%

Note: Authorized voucher figures for each agency are based on data from HUD's Resident Characteristics Report. The percentage of authorized vouchers in use in each year was determined by analysis of voucher leasing data reported by housing agencies to HUD's Voucher Management System (VMS). The number of vouchers funded in 2008 under the renewal formula was calculated using actual renewal funding awards and an estimate of the additional funds assumed to be available from excess reserves (the "offset" amount); these estimates do not take into account amounts received under the \$50 million in supplemental adjustment funds available under the law. The number of additional authorized vouchers that could be funded using remaining reserves is based on estimates of each agency's fund balance at the end of 2007, excluding the 2008 offset, and per-voucher costs for 2008.