



July 16, 2008

Housing Voucher Data for Michigan

- Of Michigan's 53,078 authorized vouchers, only 91 percent were used by families last year.
- This was an improvement over 2005 and 2006, when the voucher program was underfunded and changes in funding policy undermined the effectiveness of the program. Nevertheless, a lower percentage of of Michigan's vouchers were in use in 2007 than in 2004.
- This year, housing agencies in Michigan have sufficient funds, including reserves, to assist 4,619 additional families, thereby using up to 99 percent of their authorized vouchers. To encourage agencies to make efficient use of these resources, agencies must be reassured that voucher renewal funding policy will be both stable and take into account the additional vouchers used by these families. Congress should enact the Section 8 Voucher Reform Act (H.R. 1851 and S. 2684) to provide confidence that renewal funding needs will be met in future years, thereby encouraging agencies to put as many of their vouchers to use as possible.

Agency Name	Code	2004	2005	2006	2007		2008			
		% of Auth- orized Vouchers in Use	% of Auth- orized Vouchers in Use	% of Auth- orized Vouchers in Use	% of Auth- orized Vouchers in Use	Number of Vouchers in Use	Auth- orized Vouchers as of January 2008	Auth- orized Vouchers Funded under Renewal Formula	Additional Auth. Vouchers That Could be Funded Using Remaining Reserves	% of Auth- orized Vouchers Funded (Counting Reserves)
Michigan Total		92%	89%	86%	91%	47,874	53,078	48,782	3,684	99%
Alma	MI121	98%	91%	97%	94%	60	64	62	2	100%
Ann Arbor	MI064	89%	91%	84%	80%	1,068	1,333	1,135	198	100%
Baldwin	MI050	100%	97%	97%	98%	176	180	180	0	100%
Baraga	MI019	92%	88%	84%	84%	21	25	22	3	100%
Bath Charter	MI194	100%	104%	100%	88%	22	25	22	3	100%
Battle Creek	MI035	93%	91%	86%	99%	587	590	527	41	96%
Benton Township	MI032	96%	89%	93%	97%	73	75	75	0	100%
Beton Harbor	MI010	98%	59%	76%	85%	170	200	195	5	100%
Boyne City	MI084	107%	97%	87%	88%	53	60	49	9	97%

Agency Name	Code	2004	2005	2006	2007		2008			
		% of Auth- orized Vouchers in Use	% of Auth- orized Vouchers in Use	% of Auth- orized Vouchers in Use	% of Auth- orized Vouchers in Use	Number of Vouchers in Use	Auth- orized Vouchers as of January 2008	Auth- orized Vouchers Funded under Renewal Formula	Additional Auth. Vouchers That Could be Funded Using Remaining Reserves	% of Auth- orized Vouchers Funded (Counting Reserves)
Cadillac	MI060	96%	96%	84%	64%	16	25	17	2	76%
Cheboygan	MI030	100%	100%	100%	98%	118	120	120	0	100%
Clinton Township	MI040	96%	96%	91%	83%	19	23	20	3	100%
Dearborn	MI003	95%	94%	94%	96%	92	96	96	0	100%
Dearborn Heights	MI160	97%	94%	85%	92%	331	359	336	23	100%
Detroit	MI001	87%	81%	74%	72%	4,041	5,635	3,926	1,594	98%
Dowagia	MI120	90%	90%	79%	80%	98	128	87	8	74%
Eastpointe	MI044	100%	93%	74%	96%	165	171	166	5	100%
Evart	MI112	88%	92%	76%	76%	19	25	19	2	84%
Ferndale	MI096	87%	67%	55%	69%	381	584	450	134	100%
Flint	MI009	89%	71%	64%	77%	738	963	757	46	83%
Grand Rapids	MI073	103%	99%	97%	100%	2,828	2,814	2,814	0	100%
Grayling	MI047	97%	95%	94%	98%	128	130	130	0	100%
Greenville	MI023	97%	94%	74%	76%	81	107	74	31	98%
Hancock	MI063	88%	88%	85%	83%	33	40	35	5	100%
Inkster	MI027	62%	69%	51%	74%	521	751	480	271	100%
Ionia	MI117	95%	95%	95%	90%	18	20	20	0	100%
Iron County	MI119	102%	101%	98%	99%	167	169	169	0	100%
Jackson	MI038	96%	97%	98%	99%	471	475	462	13	100%
Kent Co.	MI198	98%	93%	88%	91%	301	330	310	20	100%
Lansing	MI058	75%	84%	88%	89%	1,515	1,700	1,505	42	91%
Lapeer	MI100	145%	93%	97%	98%	120	122	120	2	100%
Lincoln Park	MI051	98%	88%	93%	98%	286	293	293	0	100%
Livonia	MI055	97%	93%	100%	101%	835	849	849	0	100%
Luce County	MI132	100%	100%	99%	100%	110	110	99	8	97%
Madison Heights	MI188	95%	89%	82%	90%	213	237	214	20	99%
Manistique	MI049	98%	95%	97%	90%	53	59	54	5	100%
Marquette	MI070	98%	94%	86%	92%	46	50	49	1	100%
Melvindale	MI048	84%	89%	82%	96%	128	134	129	5	100%
Menominee	MI087	98%	97%	98%	98%	60	61	56	5	100%
Michigan State	MI901	92%	90%	90%	97%	23,072	24,005	23,893	112	100%
Montcalm Co.	MI186	89%	79%	65%	56%	179	318	187	103	91%
Mt. Pleasant	MI074	96%	94%	92%	96%	48	50	50	0	100%
Munising	MI094	96%	92%	92%	88%	22	25	21	2	92%
Muskegon	MI066	95%	96%	95%	99%	163	164	164	0	100%
Muskegon Heights	MI031	86%	82%	66%	94%	47	50	50	0	100%
Plymouth	MI045	96%	98%	92%	83%	1,231	1,489	1,322	167	100%
Pontiac	MI005	86%	80%	74%	69%	533	771	594	177	100%
Port Huron	MI039	96%	99%	96%	97%	524	554	520	6	95%
Pottersville	MI167	100%	88%	84%	80%	20	25	19	6	100%
Reed Ciuty	MI020	84%	81%	73%	59%	53	90	58	25	92%
River Rouge	MI008	107%	88%	97%	97%	242	249	239	10	100%
Rockford	MI093	97%	94%	81%	84%	76	90	73	10	92%
Roseville	MI037	98%	97%	91%	94%	291	309	301	8	100%
Royal Oaks	MI165	95%	90%	86%	87%	193	222	199	23	100%
Saginaw	MI006	100%	90%	84%	96%	1,144	1,197	1,111	54	97%

Agency Name	Code	2004	2005	2006	2007		2008			
		% of Auth- orized Vouchers in Use	% of Auth- orized Vouchers in Use	% of Auth- orized Vouchers in Use	% of Auth- orized Vouchers in Use	Number of Vouchers in Use	Auth- orized Vouchers as of January 2008	Auth- orized Vouchers Funded under Renewal Formula	Additional Auth. Vouchers That Could be Funded Using Remaining Reserves	% of Auth- orized Vouchers Funded (Counting Reserves)
Saint Louis	MI061	98%	94%	93%	95%	148	155	155	0	100%
Sault Ste Marie	MI036	98%	90%	84%	88%	44	50	43	7	100%
Schoolcraft Co.	MI178	91%	86%	91%	77%	27	35	25	5	86%
Southfield	MI097	99%	98%	64%	54%	179	334	206	128	100%
St. Clair	MI052	100%	100%	100%	100%	30	30	30	0	100%
St. Clair Shores	MI059	100%	98%	100%	97%	87	90	90	0	100%
Sterling Heights	MI157	88%	98%	93%	78%	31	40	39	1	100%
Taylor	MI089	96%	90%	69%	81%	789	978	776	202	100%
Traverse City	MI080	92%	89%	87%	92%	183	198	182	16	100%
Westland	MI139	92%	81%	94%	95%	1,031	1,090	974	116	100%
Wyoming	MI115	98%	92%	94%	100%	1,122	1,122	1,122	0	100%
Ypsilanti	MI026	98%	99%	97%	85%	176	216	216	0	100%

Note: Authorized voucher figures for each agency are based on data from HUD's Resident Characteristics Report. The percentage of authorized vouchers in use in each year was determined by analysis of voucher leasing data reported by housing agencies to HUD's Voucher Management System (VMS). The number of vouchers funded in 2008 under the renewal formula was calculated using actual renewal funding awards and an estimate of the additional funds assumed to be available from excess reserves (the "offset" amount); these estimates do not take into account amounts received under the \$50 million in supplemental adjustment funds available under the law. The number of additional authorized vouchers that could be funded using remaining reserves is based on estimates of each agency's fund balance at the end of 2007, excluding the 2008 offset, and per-voucher costs for 2008.