

Thursday, November 19, 2009

Contact:

Shannon Spillane

202-408-1080, spillane@cbpp.org

820 First Street, NE, Suite 510
Washington, DC 20002
Tel: 202-408-1080
Fax: 202-408-1056
center@cbpp.org
www.cbpp.org

**STATEMENT OF ROBERT GREENSTEIN,
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, ON
THE NEW SENATE HEALTH REFORM BILL**

Robert Greenstein
Executive Director
T. Scott Bunton
Deputy Director

Board of Directors

David de Ferranti, Chair
Results for Development Institute
Henry J. Aaron
Brookings Institution
Ken Apfel
University of Maryland
Barbara B. Blum
Columbia University
Henry A. Coleman
Rutgers University
Marian Wright Edelman
Children's Defense Fund
James O. Gibson
Center for the Study of Social Policy
Beatrix Hamburg, M.D.
Cornell Medical College
Antonia Hernández
California Community Foundation
Frank Mankiewicz
Hill and Knowlton
Richard P. Nathan
Nelson A Rockefeller Institute of Government
Marion Pines
Johns Hopkins University
Sol Price
Chairman, The Price Company (Retired)
Robert D. Reischauer
Urban Institute
Paul Rudd
Adaptive Analytics, LLC
Susan Sechler
German Marshall Fund
William Julius Wilson
Harvard University

John Kramer
Founding Chair
1937-2006

The new Senate health bill marks a major step toward comprehensive, fiscally responsible health reform. It would extend health insurance coverage to 31 million Americans who lack it, reduce the budget deficit, and put long-term downward pressure on health care costs.

The bill would reduce deficits by an estimated \$130 billion over the 2010-2019 period and by about one-quarter of one percent of GDP in the decade thereafter, according to the Congressional Budget Office (CBO). This amounts to about \$55 billion in 2020 and several hundred billion dollars over the 2020-2029 period. The bill also would likely slow the growth of health care costs over time by, for instance, imposing an excise tax on high-cost health insurance plans, reducing overpayments that private insurers receive through Medicare Advantage, and reducing the cost of prescription drugs in Medicaid.

Moreover, while the bill extends health coverage to 31 million more Americans, it keeps the total federal cost for all health care spending and tax subsidies in the decade after 2019 essentially where it would be under current law, according to CBO. That's because the bill finances its expanded health coverage by redirecting existing spending and tax subsidies from less productive uses elsewhere in the health sector.

All of this represents a stark and welcome change from the treatment of major tax and spending initiatives for nearly a decade. Congress enacted, for instance, the 2001 and 2003 tax cuts and the Medicare prescription drug benefit without offsetting costs that totaled in the trillions of dollars. By contrast, the Senate health reform bill would expand coverage while reducing deficits over both the short and long term. (For more on the fiscal implications of the Senate bill, please read <http://www.cbpp.org/cms/index.cfm?fa=view&id=3005>)

Affordability

The bill strengthens affordability by improving the premium subsidies in the Senate Finance Committee bill for the millions of households with incomes between 154 percent and 400 percent of the poverty line — that is, between \$28,200 and \$73,240 for a family of three. Unfortunately, the new bill *reduces* the subsidies in the Finance Committee bill for near-poor households at the bottom of the subsidy range, which already were less than adequate. A family of three with

income of \$27,465 (150 percent of the poverty line) would have to pay \$1,250 for premiums, or over \$400 more than under the House bill. Many families with incomes this low already struggle to pay the rent and utilities and put food on the table and could have difficulty paying this much for health coverage. (For more on affordability, please read: <http://www.cbpp.org/cms/index.cfm?fa=view&id=3004>)

Employer Responsibility Requirement

The new bill significantly reduces the disincentives that the Finance Committee bill would have created for employers to hire workers from low- and moderate-income families, an important improvement. For some employers, some disincentives to hire or retain such workers would remain, however. Like the Finance Committee bill, the new bill would also create some incentives for employers to convert some full-time positions into positions of just under 30 hours per week. (For more on this point, please read: <http://www.cbpp.org/cms/index.cfm?fa=view&id=3003>)

Fortunately, the House-passed bill is strong in both the affordability and employer responsibility areas, so Congress can address these problems in a House-Senate conference committee when it irons out a final version of the bill, if not before then.

The Senate should now move without delay to consider this landmark legislation, which would represent a dramatic improvement over the current health insurance system.

#

The Center on Budget and Policy Priorities is a nonprofit, nonpartisan research organization and policy institute that conducts research and analysis on a range of government policies and programs. It is supported primarily by foundation grants.